

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES:

The True, Unsanitised Story Of

"Searchlight" Mole Ray Hill

with a critique of The Other Face of Terror



Contents

Page 1	Acknowledgments
Page 3	To the Reader
Page 4	From Racial Hatred To Racial Treason
Page 6	A Mole Surfaces Or A Worm Turns?
Page 8	Critique Of Ray Hill - 1
Page 10	Critique Of Ray Hill - 2
Page 13	Critique Of Ray Hill - 3
Page 23	Critique Of Ray Hill - 4
Page 31	Critique Of Ray Hill - 5
Page 36	Critique Of Ray Hill - 6
Page 45	Critique Of Ray Hill - 7
Page 52	Critique Of Ray Hill - 8
Page 56	Critique Of Ray Hill - 9
Page 61	Critique Of Ray Hill - 10
Page 71	Critique Of Ray Hill - 11
Page 73	Critique Of Ray Hill - 12
Page 75	Critique Of Ray Hill - 13
Page 77	Critique Of Ray Hill - 14
Page 82	Conclusion
Page 84	Appendix A: Ray Hill's British Movement Expulsion Letter
Page 86	Appendix B: The Other Face Of Terror: Critique Of The Film
Page 90	Appendix C: The Other Face Of "Searchlight": Critique
Page 92	Appendix D: Ray Hill's 1962 Indictment
Page 94	Appendix E: Ray Hill - Bibliography
Page 102	Notes And References

"It is my story. And every word of it is true!"

- Ray Hill, 1987.

"Liars ought to have good memories."

- Algernon Sidney, 1698.

This book is dedicated to all those White Nationalists who over the decades have been smeared as bigots and haters by the Jewish hatemongers and *goy* fellow travellers of *Searchlight* and similar organisations, and to all those countless, decent people of the Jewish faith, ordinary men and women, who have never been able to understand why *fascists* hate them so much. After reading this, they surely will.

Mark Taha

Mark Taha graduated from the University of Essex in 1980 with a BA in History and Government. He is a subscriber to the Libertarian Alliance, an animal rights activist, a passionate anti-censorship campaigner, and an uncompromising defender of individual liberty. Since 1991, he has been beaten up by "anti-fascist" thugs in Kensington Library, mugged in the street, manhandled by the BNP's cameraman in Collett's book shop, and libelled by *Searchlight*. Mr Taha, who lives in Stamford Hill, is a student of world politics and a voracious reader of all extremist literature: right, left and loony. He is also a blood donor.

Alexander Baron

Alexander Baron is a member of the Libertarian Alliance; he has been researching into the extreme right since 1988. Like Mark Taha he is over thirty and unmarried, but insists they are just good friends. On November 28th 1993, he was attacked by three mallet-wielding "anti-fascist" thugs on his doorstep and hospitalised. Incredibly, nothing was broken, least of all his spirit. This attack followed two articles on him published in the November 1993 issue of *Searchlight* magazine. At the time of writing, this is the subject of litigation.

Acknowledgments

Although some of the information in this book has been gleaned from anonymous sources and from personal experience, it has, wherever possible, been thoroughly referenced so that the skeptical reader can, to a large extent, verify the facts for himself. I would encourage *any* skeptical reader to do this.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

The author would like to thank in particular the staff of the following libraries for their invaluable assistance in researching and writing this volume: the British Library (Bloomsbury) and the British Library Newspaper Library, (Colindale); the Official Publications Library; the Wiener Library; the library of the *Guardian* newspaper; the library of the South African Embassy, London; the library of the *Lincolnshire Echo* newspaper; and the library of the Commission for Racial Equality - may they and the rest of the staff of this perfidious organisation soon be redundant. A very special thanks must go to Jeremy Clay of the library of the *Leicester Mercury* newspaper, without whom this exposé wouldn't have been half as devastating.

I would also like to thank the following named and unnamed individuals: Chris R. Tame of the Libertarian Alliance, unlike Gable and his ugly friends, an uncompromising defender of individual liberty and a true friend of the Jewish people; Larry O'Hara, independent researcher who has so far managed to avoid any unregistered parcel deliveries; Nick Griffin, who is not afraid to name the real enemy; a current member of a "far right" organisation; Mrs Paul of the Lord Chancellor's Department, Trevelyan House; Superintendent Ken Day of Special Branch, New Scotland Yard; Miss A.M. Enright of the Directorate of Public Affairs, Room 1334, New Scotland Yard; Laraine Beranic, Information Officer for West Midlands Police News Bureau; Mr George Lewis; Mr Colin Jordan; Kevin Scott; and Lady Birdwood. Lastly, a very special thank you to Mark Taha, without whom this entire project would never have been conceived. Mark also assisted me with a considerable amount of research - and donkey work, including proof-reading - all of it unpaid. But I must stress that he had nothing whatsoever to do with writing the manuscript. I stress this because, having already been physically attacked myself on account of my exposés of *Searchlight*, I am anxious that the thugs who put me in hospital should not seek to inflict such suffering on my friends.

To The Reader

In 1988, after reading *The Other Face of Terror*, Mark Taha sat down and wrote a critical review of the book. Sadly, this was never published at the time. Or maybe not so sadly, because things might have turned out differently. Mark found a plethora of errors and lies in the book, and this led to both of us re-reading it and eventually reading and re-reading every issue of *Searchlight* magazine from the first issue of the 1965 broadsheet to date. And with every re-reading we found more errors and more lies. This slim volume is the result of part of this ongoing research.

Part 1: From Racial Hatred To Racial Treason

It has often been said that leopards don't change their spots. Human beings are not leopards; human nature is as capricious as Mother Nature is immutable, and changes with the seasons. Many of us start out as youthful and idealistic, and grow old and cynical. If we are born into poverty and become extremely wealthy, it may be that this pattern is reversed. Some of us also experience conversions like Saul on the road to Damascus; it's rare but it happens. Ray Hill is one leopard who would have us believe that he has changed his spots, that he has been converted from a fascist bigot to a dedicated "anti-fascist". Who is Ray Hill? Ray Hill was a leading "Nazi". For many years he was a prominent member of Britain's mistakenly named "Nazi" parties, first in the late sixties with the British Movement, then in the early eighties with the British Movement, National Front and British National Party. Ray Hill earned a reputation as a hard man in that time; now he would have us believe that this was all a pretence. In 1979 (he claims), while living in South Africa, he defected from the "fascist" movement to the "anti-fascist" movement. Where before he rubbed shoulders with men who openly attacked Jews, blacks and other non-whites, now he rubs shoulders with men who openly preach and instigate violence against his former comrades. Whatever his true personal beliefs, Ray Hill has undoubtedly made the right decision. The "anti-fascists" are far stronger, far better organised, and, in spite of their professed socialism, far better funded than the "fascists" of the BNP ad nauseum. And, in spite of strenuous efforts by "anti-fascists" to convince us that another Holocaust is imminent and that we must continue to support the suppression of "fascists", their civil liberties and democratic rights, and, of course, continue pouring vast sums of money into the coffers of "anti-fascist" organisations, in spite of all the screaming and hysteria about *racism* and anti-Semitism, there is not, never has been, and (hopefully) never will be, any significant support in this country for totalitarianism from the common people. That doesn't mean dictatorship will never come, in fact, it is here already in good measure: the suppression of free speech under the notorious *Race Relations Act*; the end of the presumption of innocence in drugs cases; increasing govern-

ment regulation of the economy, even under a Conservative government that has sold off virtually all the family silver; oppressive and often subtle taxation without representation; the unremitting attempts to destroy our national sovereignty....Currently, attempts are being made to introduce I.D. cards, and, incredibly, to tighten up the *Race Relations Act* yet again. (1) Dictatorship, if and when it comes, will be imposed, as always, from the top down. Ludwig von Mises, arguably the greatest economist of the Twentieth Century, wrote, "The great ideological conflict of our age must not be confused with the mutual rivalries among the various totalitarian movements." (3) Likewise, nor must the "conversion" of Ray Hill from "fascism" to "anti-fascism" be interpreted as a conversion from statist to democratic values. In reality, Mr Hill has changed neither his spots nor his ideology, but has, pragmatically, shifted to what he perceives will be the winning team. (3)

Part 2: A Mole Surfaces Or A Worm Turns?

On March 25th, 1984, it was revealed to the world that Ray Hill, a leading member of the British National Party had been working as a "mole" deep inside the far right. (1) Hill was said to have been deputy leader of the British National Party but to have "seen the light" in 1979 - "five years ago". (2) The article revealed that Hill had built up a "Dossier of Evil", including the 1981 plot to bomb the Notting Hill Carnival - which his exposure prevented - safe-housing wanted terrorists, and conspiring with overseas fascists to bring a terror campaign to Britain. These are sensational claims, and, if true, Hill's "coming out" was quite a coup for his controllers - *Searchlight* magazine, in particular, Gerry Gable.

The April 1984 issue of *Searchlight* crowed that Hill had not only been deputy leader of the British National Party but also of the British Movement, that, after a twelve year emigration to South Africa he had approached the magazine offering his services, that he had undergone a genuine conversion from being a "nazi" and that he had "quite literally put his life at stake for the cause of anti-fascism."

Furthermore, as well as saving "countless lives", Hill's "unique work" led "directly to the arrests of violent fanatics". And all this was done out of idealism, a desire to make amends for his evil ways when as a young man he had been ensnared by the wicked *racists* of the far right, for, "Ray Hill never once asked for payment for his efforts". (3)

In this short study we will demolish all these lies and more. To start with though we will demolish *Searchlight's* poor arithmetic. Hill did not spend twelve years in South Africa. His return was announced by an article in the *Guardian* on May 11th, 1979. (4) And he was still in England in November 1969, because an article in the *Leicester Mercury* during that month reported a burglary at his home. (5) So that makes a maximum of just under nine and a half years Mr Hill spent in South Africa. (6)

The claim that Hill was or had once been deputy leader of the British Movement is a total fabrication, (7) and while he does seem to have wormed his way into a position of trust in the British National Party, of which he was a founder member, (8) he was never deputy leader of that outfit either, because

"...no such position exists in the Constitution of the BNP." (9) The real reason Hill rose so high and so fast in the BNP was, I suspect, because John Tyndall grossly overestimated his importance as a former British Movement organiser, possibly being taken in by the *Searchlight*-inspired disinformation campaign. Tyndall obviously liked Hill and made him the BNP's Publicity Officer on the strength of this - in retrospect misguided - kinship. Tyndall is also unquestionably a sincere man - as well as a terribly misguided one - so he must have been hurt when Hill's treachery was revealed. He wrote about the entire matter with great equanimity shortly afterwards, and with none of the back-biting and venom one would expect of most, and, dare I say it, lesser men? (10)

Exactly how great a danger to life and limb Ray Hill suffered during and after his worm-turning act, the reader will have to judge for himself, but it will soon become apparent that it was never that great. Obviously, if Ray were to be caught in a tight spot by some of his old pals or new BNP recruits he could expect a good kicking, but that would hardly be surprising; spies and traitors are never popular among their former friends and comrades.

Part 3: The Other Face Of Ray Hill:

A Critique Of The Other Face Of Terror - 1

"It all started as a bit of a game - the odd night out attacking a few Pakis. I even desecrated a synagogue." (1)

In 1989, the National Front published a pamphlet called *The Other Face of Searchlight* (2) which devotes some space to Mr Hill. It is not perfect by any means and contains a number of inaccuracies. (3) On page 23, a British Democratic Party memorandum is reproduced. This makes a number of extraordinary claims about Hill, namely that he was a leading and active member of the National Socialist Movement between 1959 and 1963, that in April 1964 he made genocidal remarks - about blacks and Jews - to the (now long defunct) *Daily Sketch* newspaper, that he had convictions for unlawful sexual intercourse, embezzlement and bank robbery, and that he had two half-caste children by his Nigerian mistress Martha Ngrumba!

All of these claims are total fabrications, (4) but, as with the *Protocols of Zion*, the truth is even more grotesque than the fantasy. Ray Hill has written his life story, with a little acknowledged help from Andrew Bell, (5) and, undoubtedly, with a lot of unacknowledged help from Gerry Gable. So what better way to unravel the true story of Ray Hill than by making a critical analysis of his book? We will also examine the equally fictional documentary of the same name, and a few of his appearances in the error-prone, lie-ridden magazine *Searchlight*.

The *Preface* begins on page 7. Here, Hill pays tribute to his long-suffering wife, whom, he says, is completely apolitical. That may be the case, but as we shall see when we discuss certain events in South Africa, she isn't necessarily guiltless. It is probably uncharitable to make too much of this though, women are strange creatures, and often misplaced loyalty will lead them to do all manner of things for their unworthy spouses and paramours that they wouldn't dream of doing off their own bat.

Also on page 7, Hill's urging his readers to try to understand young, tattooed, National Front skinheads is in stark contrast to the "no-platform-for-fascists" operated by *Searchlight* and its fellow travellers.

Page 8: A hitherto unknown face of Gerry Gable is revealed. Apparently, he is "an extremely funny comic". The forever wailing and gnashing of teeth Mr Gable becomes "my mate, Gerry". Gable is said to be "Always fair, even to his enemies". Tell that to Larry O'Hara, (6) or to any of the countless other innocent victims of his smears. And he is the "most dedicated and consistent" of his group of people. Which, if this refers to liars and hatemongers, is most definitely true. With mates like Gable, who needs enemies?

Hill says that his involvement with Gable and co led to the exposure of "dozens of violent nazis". This is a curious thing to say since most of the time the "anti-fascist" movement is clambering to deny these people "the oxygen of publicity". Furthermore, this exposure was said to have shattered "the confidence of the international fascist establishment". This is nonsense, it simply provided them with a burst of publicity, which some of them handled better than others. (7)

The book, says Hill, contains accounts of terrorism in France, plots to cause explosions in London, and to set up "a pirate nazi broadcasting station" in the Midlands. As none of this nonsense ever came to fruition, or even got off the drawing board, the conclusion the astute reader will draw is that the only places these schemes ever existed for certain were in Messrs Gable's and Hill's heads.

Part 4: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 2

Hill's *Prologue* begins on page 9. Here, he claims that he had worked as Gable's "deep throat" inside the far right. I'll resist the temptation to ask what exactly it was he swallowed for Gable. The claim that Gable has achieved "almost legendary status in the far right" is extremely doubtful, though he is certainly hated, and with good reason. Two places where Gable, or rather his lies, innuendo and incredibly sloppy research, have indeed achieved legendary status, are the BBC and *Private Eye* magazine. In 1984, Gable "researched" a programme for the BBC TV series *Panorama*. (1) The following March, this programme was exposed by the *Daily Mail* as a tissue of lies, innuendo and half truths. (2) To take just one example, then Conservative MP Gerald Howarth was smeared as an "extremist" on the basis of his mother's membership of the National Front. Mrs Howarth was said to have been a member from 1967 to 1970. In reality she had been a member for a few months in 1967, (3) and at that time the NF was a perfectly respectable political party; it was the hate campaign of the organised "anti-fascist" movement and Organised Jewry - including Searchlight - which drove all the decent people out of the Front.

This programme resulted in the BBC paying out massive damages, which, according to one author, cost the BBC half a million pounds and played a major part in bringing about the resignation of Director-General Alastair Milne. (4) Following this, it was claimed in *Searchlight* that a Conservative MP had hatched a plot to kidnap and murder Gable. The April 1986 issue ran the headline: *EXPOSED! TORY MP IN PLOT TO MURDER SEARCHLIGHT JOURNALIST*. This was also widely reported in the mainstream press at the time, in particular the "satirical" magazine, *Private Eye*, which also ended up shelling out libel damages. (5)

Page 10: Hill states clearly that it was he who first made contact with *Searchlight* and not vice versa. This claim is borne out by *Searchlight*, so it is misleading as is sometimes claimed that Gable recruited Hill. (6)

Page 11: Hill claims, not for the last time, to have had "a considerable following among younger members of the...British Movement". See Appendix A for the credibility of this claim. Much is made of a "gun-running racket" in Leicester, both here and later, which involved members of the British Demo-

cratic Party. This was supposedly exposed by a TV programme, *Guns To The Right*, which was screened on July 6, 1981. (7) In reality, this was a set-up by *agents provocateurs* from beginning to end. The police clearly recognised this because they refused to take any action over it, much to *Searchlight's* annoyance. (8) He writes that he was 'expelled' from the British Movement (in quotation marks) as though this expulsion was not valid. His expulsion was perfectly valid, as we shall soon see.

The claim that the "dispute" with British Movement leader - in reality National Chairman - Michael McLaughlin, had been carefully engineered with the aim of splitting the organisation, is pure fantasy. As is Hill's claim that McLaughlin could never in his wildest dreams have suspected Hill of such perfidy as his role in the TV programme. In reality, McLaughlin was one of the few people who had sussed Hill, if not from the beginning, then certainly from the end of 1982. In issue 12 of the *Phoenix*, (undated but published cNovember 1982), McLaughlin related details of Hill's flight from South Africa and his hob-nobbing with Organised Jewry in that country, of which more anon.

Hill's opinion of his personal achievements on the extreme right are greatly exaggerated, as are the importance of his "revelations". On page 13 he claims to have held "leading positions in British nazi groups". Such as? He says he was intimately acquainted with "secret high-level nazi operations, like the publishing networks..." There is nothing at all secret about such publishing networks; the publishers and distributors of mainstream *racist* and anti-Semitic literature are well-known, and indeed, they often advertise in far right journals. In Britain and Europe they have to be a little circumspect, but in the United States, which Hill also claims to be familiar with, there are Constitutional guarantees on freedom of publishing, and the most disgusting anti-black and anti-Semitic literature is openly advertised and freely available. And there is no evidence that Americans have been more corrupted by *racist* and anti-Semitic propaganda than the British as a result. The claim made earlier on page 12 that there were gangs of young fascist thugs who regarded him as their true leader is again not true, but the claims that he earned a reputation as a hard man, and a vitriolic, venomous demagogue are true. However, these are boasts which bring him no credit. Hill's reputation as a thug was earned both inside and outside the political arena, and he was actually expelled from the supposedly violent National Front for assaulting another member. As to his being vitriolic, this is something which many leaders on right are *not* renowned for. John Tyndall for example is regarded as

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

a very eloquent speaker, although the only time I was forced to listen to him I nearly fell asleep. (9)

Right, which was uttered on July 6, 1981. (7) In reality, Hill's speech was not a very eloquent one, and he was not a very eloquent speaker. The police clearly recognized this because they refused to take any action over it, much to Hill's annoyance. (8) The writer that he was "expelled" from the British Movement (in quotation marks) as though this expulsion was not valid. His expulsion was perfectly valid, as we shall soon see.

The chairman of the "debate" with British Movement leader - in reality National Chairman - Michael McLaughlin, had been carefully engineered with the aim of splitting the organization, a pure fantasy. As is Hill's claim that McLaughlin could never in his wildest dreams have suspected Hill of such perfidy as his role in the TV programme. In reality, McLaughlin was one of the few people who had named Hill as not from the beginning, then certainly from the end of 1982, in issue 12 of the *Frontier*, (founded but published November 1982). McLaughlin related details of Hill's flight from South Africa and his hob-nobbing with Organized Jewry in that country, of which more anon.

Hill's opinion of his personal achievements on the extreme right are greatly exaggerated, as are the importance of his "revolutions". On page 13 he claims to have held "leading positions in British Nazi groups". Such as? He says he was intimately acquainted with "secret high-level Nazi operations, like the publishing networks...". There is nothing at all secret about such publishing networks; the publishers and distributors of mainstream racist and anti-Semitic literature are well-known, and indeed, they often advertise in far right journals. In Britain and Europe they have to be a little discreet, but in the United States, which Hill also claims to be familiar with, there are Constitutional guarantees on freedom of publishing, and the most disgusting anti-black and anti-Semitic literature is openly advertised and freely available. And there is no evidence that Americans have been more corrupted by racist and anti-Semitic propaganda than the British as a result. The claim made earlier on page 12 that there were gangs of young fascist thugs who regarded him as their true leader is again not true, but the claims that he carried a reputation as a hard man, and a virile, venomous demagogue are true. However, these are boasts which bring him no credit. Hill's reputation as a thug was earned both inside and outside the political arena, and he was actually expelled from the supposedly violent National Front for assaulting another member. As to his being virile, this is something which many leaders on right are not renowned for. John Tyndall for example is regarded as

Part 5: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 3

Hill's story begins in earnest on page 21, chapter 1 *The Making of a Nazi*.

On page 23, Hill reveals that he was born in 1939 in Mossley, Manchester. Life was undoubtedly rougher for kids then than it is now, and he appears to have had a none too happy childhood. He entered the army at the age of eighteen. His outstanding achievement there appears to have been to make the boxing team, a sport which was to stand him in good stead for his later violent activities.

On page 26, Hill admits that he was none too bright as a youth and says that after he left the army he spent the next five years "doing nothing much other than scraping a living as best I could..." He also admits to hitting a foreman when he was employed in a scrap metal yard in West Bromwich. What he omits though is his conviction for wounding and grievous bodily harm at Birmingham Assizes on 27 July 1962. This was for a particularly nasty assault.

The *Birmingham Mail* for July 28, 1962 reported that the twenty-two year old Hill, of Richmond Hall Road, together with two younger men, had been trying to break into a cigarette machine. A police officer tried to arrest the man who had thrown the brick, 18 year old Michael Huckfield, and was set upon by all three of them. He was thrown to the ground and in the struggle, part of his ear was ripped off! For his sins, Hill was given a two year sentence for wounding; he also received 6 months for the attempted theft and a total of eighteen months for causing actual bodily harm and common assault in an unrelated incident or incidents. (1) Full particulars of the indictment against Hill and his partners-in-crime can be found in Appendix D. By coincidence, the date of the assault on PC Albert Worrall, 2nd July 1962, was actually the day after Colin Jordan's "Free Britain from Jewish Control" rally. It would be another six years before Hill was to make his first foray into the murky world of extremist politics.

As Hill was still a young man when this happened, and as anyone is entitled to one mistake - as my mother told me when I was young - it would be churlish to hold even as serious a conviction as this against Hill for his entire life. Furthermore, any sort of criminal record, be it for dishonesty or violence, can lead to problems in later life. Who wants to employ a convicted thug or convicted

thief, much less both? In Hill's case however it soon becomes clear that he did not fall into the usual downward spiral of a dog with a bad name. After his release from prison he moved to Leicester, married, and took up regular employment. In the early sixties, before the widespread use of computers and laser printed CVs, it was easier for a one-off offender to cover his tracks. So Hill's further "lapses" can only be put down to serious character defects, in particular, a willingness to resort to violence to deal with people whom he disliked.

Page 27: Hill, working as a casual labourer, meets his future long-suffering wife, they marry in June 1966, find somewhere to live and his wife becomes pregnant. As far as we can tell, this is accurate. However, he gives his daughter's name as Susan; the birth record at St Catherine's House gives it as Suzanne Marion, and Mrs Hill's maiden name as Shapcott.

Page 28: Hill claims that his obviously humble circumstances and large scale immigration from India fuelled his resentment of immigration, and that he was drawn into the anti-immigration movement after seeing a full page advertisement from AIMS - the Anti-Immigration Society - in the local paper, the *Leicester Mercury*.

In the glossary on page 299, AIMS is referred to, incorrectly, as the Anti-Immigration Movement. Hill says that AIMS was used as a recruiting ground by hardened fascists, including "former Mosley blackshirts", one of whom he names as Ted Budden. At the time of writing, Ted Budden is still a leading member of the National Front, but he is too young to have been a Blackshirt. The idea that anyone who opposes non-white immigration must be a Nazi, fascist or fellow traveller is of course absolute nonsense, but remains a constant theme of Hill's manipulators, and other anti-British and anti-white groups which masquerade as anti-fascist.

The Anti-Immigration Society was actually founded in Leicester in March 1968, (2) but it does not appear to have held its first public meeting until May. At any rate, the advertisement Hill refers to appeared on page 8 of the *Leicester Mercury* on May 6th, 1968. Mr Dennis Taylor, a local teacher, headed the organisation. Hill refers to him as Denis Taylor. Mary Dyer was the secretary - according to the *Leicester Mercury* - Hill refers to her as Mary Dwyer. (3) By the end of the month, AIMS was said to have had more than 9,000 members. (4)

Hill though has been uncharacteristically modest about his role in the Anti-Immigration Society, for, according to the *Leicester Mercury* at least, he

was the secretary of the north-west district of the society. (5) Although AIMS was an entirely respectable organisation, this did not prevent it from becoming the victim of organised hatred, such as is directed at nationalist groups by Searchlight today. On June 6, 1968, a private meeting of its organisers at Leicester's Coronation Hotel was invaded by students and the by now tiresome charges of gas chambers were hurled at its members. (6) In response to this, Hill made two rather curious defences: one, that he was not anti-black as he was fostering a coloured child, and two, that he bore no ill-will towards Jews because he was a Jew himself! (7)

As the claim about fostering a Negro child was repeated in the paper the following year, (8) we can assume that Hill did in fact make it and that these words were not put into his mouth by a journalist for whatever reason. This lie is obviously the factual basis for the spurious claim repeated in *The Other Face of Searchlight* that Hill had two half-caste children by his Nigerian mistress Martha Ngrumba. (9)

Colin Jordan told the current writer that he had heard a rumour in the 70s to the effect that Hill had either fostered or fathered "some Coloured child" but did not hear about this at the time. "If I had, I need hardly emphasize [sic] that it would have caused me to become at least highly suspicious of the man, if not to detach myself from him." (10) One can only wonder what he would have said about Hill's reputed Jewish origins! It is of course totally untrue that Hill is of Jewish origin - something for which Jews should be thankful! - but it may well be that he claimed he had Jewish blood when he was sucking up to Organised Jewry in South Africa, as we shall see later. (11)

One other thing should be noted, it is quite likely that Hill's remark about being Jewish was made innocently and purely as a response to the attack on AIMS. He may, in fact probably did not become an anti-Semite or even aware of the Jewish Question until later that year, in particular when he was introduced to Colin Jordan.

Page 30: Hill claims to have been sucked into the "nationalist" movement by reading Racial Preservation Society literature. It was here that he first learned of the "gigantic, secret conspiracy, designed to destroy the British nation genetically, economically, and socially." All conspiracies are by definition secret, but as this plan to destroy Britain "genetically, economically, and socially" is *not* a secret and never has been, it hardly fits the bill.

On page 31, Hill is man enough to admit that he walked around with a chip on his shoulder; he learned too about the menace of international finance. The reality of this menace was confirmed when he read "a booklet" called *Fraudulent Conspiracy* (page 33) by self-professed Nazi and Hitler worshipper Colin Jordan. On the same page he says of *Fraudulent Conspiracy* that it "seemed to make complete sense" by laying all Britain's problems at the door of the minority everybody has always loved to hate. "Britain's problems, it said, are the fault of the financial system. The financial system is run by Jews. Therefore, the problem is the Jews."

Notwithstanding this faulty logic, (12) Hill's claims in this connection are blatant and provable lies. Colin Jordan never wrote any such booklet called *Fraudulent Conspiracy*. In 1955, he published a 144 page hardback book called *Fraudulent Conversion*. (13) However, *Fraudulent Conversion* does not mention the Jews of Britain, (14) but is concerned solely with the alleged power of Jewry in Russia at the time the book was written. Specifically, it claims that the Jews (still) control Russia and that the well-documented anti-Semitism of the Soviet régime was a red herring. (15)

The fact that Hill is totally ignorant of Jordan's book is a tribute to the sloppiness of his lies, and of the "research" of his collaborator, Oxford graduate Andrew Bell. And to *Searchlight* editor Gerry Gable. How could "anti-fascist" and "expert" on fascism Gable be so ignorant? How could this "anti-fascist" organisation, the first port of call for journalists and academics researching the extreme right, have allowed such an enormous blunder to slip through? This in fact has been the most noticeable feature of *Searchlight's* smears over the years, the carelessness and the sloppiness of them. It is almost as if the magazine's controllers don't care what they print, as if they just sit in their office, manufacture any old rubbish and sling it together in the belief - often vindicated - that because it reflects badly on "fascists" and appears in an "anti-fascist" magazine, people will automatically believe it.

Still on page 33: Hill's claim that in the late fifties and early sixties right wing gangs under the nominal leadership of Colin Jordan had been roaming the streets of Notting Hill carrying out attacks on blacks is complete rubbish. Jordan himself had never condoned any sort of violence and at that time was employed by the local authority in Coventry as a teacher. Although the Second World War was a lot closer then than today, and the hysteria about *six million Jews* ad nauseum had not been subjected to any meaningful public debate, Britain was

in a sense a somewhat freer society than now, and although he had been warned about his political activities, Jordan's right to free expression had been defended for three years by local authority officials. (16) There was never any suggestion that he had brought his politics into the classroom, and although he received several warnings about his extracurricular activities, it wasn't until August 1962 that he was finally dismissed from his post. (17) Naturally, if there had been any suggestion that Jordan was involved with or even condoned racially motivated attacks, he would long since have been dismissed.

The reference to the synagogue arsons, which was obviously supplied by if not written by Gable, is similarly misleading. Dozen of synagogues were not destroyed; Brondesbury synagogue was completely burned out. (18) Damage here was estimated, conservatively, at £120,000 - the price of a large house nowadays but much more then. (19) But the damage done to Clapton synagogue was a mere £1,000, and to Ilford synagogue, £130. (20) Altogether there were three trials, two in 1966 involving young former members of the National Socialist Movement, who had apparently been seduced (ideologically) by Jordan's fanatically anti-Jewish wife Françoise Dior, and the trial of Dior herself, who was convicted in 1968 of conspiracy and jailed for eighteen months. (21) As to their receiving heavy sentences, when one considers that the maximum sentence for arson is life, they did not receive particularly heavy sentences, at least, not the sort of sentences one would have expected them to receive if the Jews in fact did have the stranglehold on Britain Jordan and company believed them to have. The heaviest sentence handed out was five years. (22) There were other synagogue arsons at this time, but they were totally unrelated to Jordan's neophytes. (23)

Page 34: Hill says he wrote a complimentary letter to Jordan and received a courteous reply and an invitation to meet him. "We met, and I was even more impressed." I too have corresponded with Mr Jordan and found him both courteous and helpful. I wanted to interview him in connection with my on-going researches but he politely declined saying that he always declines interviews. Mr Jordan is now an old man, he has his own agenda, and, as for most older people, his precious time passes far too quickly, so I felt it would be selfish to press the point, however, in our limited correspondence, (24) Mr Jordan has given me enough information to piece together the facts as he remembers them. And, frankly, I have infinitely more confidence in the integrity of Colin Jordan, however misguided he may be politically, than in the word of a proven liar, thug,

thief and pragmatist like Ray Hill. It seems unlikely that Hill did in fact write to Jordan because, as we shall see later, he did not in fact meet Jordan at the latter's invitation but at the invitation of one John Grand Scrutton.

Also on page 34, Hill says that Jordan's talents "inspired others to enormous destruction and damage". He is careful though not to imply that Mr Jordan was in any way directly responsible for, or that he even approved of, the synagogue arsons (25) which his former wife the French heiress and fanatical Jew-hater François Dior was jailed for inciting. (26)

Again on page 34 Hill says that he is bitter towards Jordan for "enticing me into the nazi underworld". Needless to say, Mr Jordan remembers things slightly differently. "...I believe I first met him at a BM meeting in Birmingham on November 10th 1968." (27) Mr Jordan's memory is spot on; another person who undoubtedly remembers that fateful day is Peter Bernard Robinson, a press photographer with the *Birmingham Post*. The *Leicester Mercury* for November 11th reported that Hill, a 29 year old "free-lance salesman" was remanded on £40 bail with £40 surety for assaulting Mr Robinson. (28) Two weeks later he was again remanded on bail, (29) and on December 9th, Hill was convicted of assault occasioning actual bodily harm and of committing a disorderly act. He was given a six month sentence suspended for two years. (30)

The assault appears to have been totally gratuitous, and may have been carried out to impress Colin Jordan, although being one of the intellectuals of the movement, Mr Jordan would doubtless not have been that impressed. Indeed, he appears to have been blissfully unaware of it, or at least of the court case. The photographer was at the scene to photograph Mr Jordan, and Hill, who thought he was also going to photograph him, objected. The most curious thing about this case though is that Hill is said to have claimed that he was not a supporter of Colin Jordan, but had gone to the meeting "at the invitation of a friend who was a 'keen student of politics'." (31) This is at odds with Hill's later account in his lie-ridden book, so the latter must be dismissed. Hill was not seduced by Colin Jordan, nor by Jordan's writings, which he was totally ignorant of, and remains totally ignorant of to this day.

On pages 35-6, Hill says that he was asked by Jordan to become the Leicester organiser of the British Movement, and on page 37 he says that he became one of CJ's most trusted lieutenants, "so trusted that he 'honoured' me in June 1969 with the task of working as his election agent..."

This is confirmed by Mr Jordan who says, "In the first period of contact, which was the one when I did see a lot of him, I would say that if he was acting a part then, and not a sincere racist, he was a terrific actor as he certainly had me convinced of his genuineness [sic] and devotion. At the same time it is to be observed that he was obsessed with obtaining publicity." (32) More so than Mr Jordan, it seems. It was this publicity which, Mr Jordan believes, was partly to blame for Hill's later treachery. This is rather too charitable of Mr Jordan; money obviously had a lot more to do with it.

Also on page 36, Hill says that in the late summer of 1968 his group - the British Movement - became involved in a violent confrontation with Anti-Apartheid Movement supporters. British Movement and National Front supporters were supposed to have worked together: "...we had given the reds a bloody nose and won some useful publicity in the local press..." Whether or not anything like this happened, Hill played no role in it at all as by his 1968 admission he was not a supporter of Colin Jordan when he went to the November 10th meeting. In short, this is another lie.

On the same page, he reports that a group of "about fifteen of us" disrupted a Secular Society meeting at the Secular Hall. This incident did happen, but not until February the following year. It was reported in the local press that Hill, who by this time was said to be a group organiser for the British Movement, was one of several people who had kicked up a row at the meeting during a discussion on immigration. (33) On June 17th, the *Leicester Mercury* published a letter from the Leicester Humanist Society dissociating itself from Ray Hill's "objectionable...racist hysteria." (34)

Hill's obsession with publicity continued all through 1969. On February 26th the local press reported a leaflet *Who The Hell?* which was allegedly being produced by Leicester students and handed out to schoolkids. (35) On March 11th it was reported that Hill had made an official complaint over this leaflet. (36) By his own account, Hill was "rapidly making a name for myself as one of the most eager and uncompromising members of Jordan's nazi street gangs", (page 37).

At the top of the same page, Hill says, "These activities went on for a couple of years. As Leicester organizer I was in almost daily contact with Colin Jordan, whom we all referred to as 'The Leader'." According to my information, Colin Jordan was in fact referred to as Colin, or as CJ. From my limited experience of Mr Jordan, and from my information from within the British National

Socialist movement, CJ was far from the pompous, bombastic Tyndall. He is simply a highly intelligent, dedicated idealist who believes that Hitler was right and is not afraid to say so. Even though Mr Jordan is most definitely an anti-Semite and has swallowed whole large chunks of blatantly anti-Semitic propaganda, he is by no means a pathological Jew-hater.

Leaving this aside, Hill's activities with the British Movement did not go on for a couple of years because we have established that, whatever he claims in *The Other Face of Terror*, he did not meet Jordan until 10th November 1968, and at the end of 1969 he fled to South Africa. This means that he was active in the British Movement for a maximum of thirteen and a half months, which is *not* a couple of years. The British Movement was set up in the summer of 1968; it replaced the old National Socialist Movement. (37) It would be fair to say then that although he was not a founder member, he was an early member.

Still on page 37, Hill recounts how he worked as Jordan's election agent in the Ladywood by-election. He doesn't though recount how he was attacked by someone in the street who objected to his leafleting during the election campaign. He was said to have retaliated mildly. No action was taken. (38) On page 38 he refers to the British Movement's open Nazism in glowing terms. "...we paraded proudly with swastikas on our sleeves and published photographs of Hitler on the covers of our journals..." Of this, Colin Jordan says, "This with reference to his time in the British Movement under my leadership is absolute rubbish: it never happened." (39) The swastika story is not a total fiction though, because a story in the *Guardian* at the time of Ladywood reported that posters of swastikas had been put up in the area superimposed with the word "Jordan". Anti-Jordan leaflets were also posted through people's letter boxes. These leaflets were printed anonymously, obviously, because this sort of proselytising is a criminal offence. (40)

Hill also claims there was no ideological difference between the British Movement and the National Front, but that the NF were simply trying to appear respectable. This part of the book was unquestionably written by Gerry Gable, the vicious, anti-British and anti-Aryan hater, who, together with his co-racist the late Maurice Ludmer, did so much to smear all British nationalists as Nazis. Including A.K. Chesterton, the founder of the National Front, who, as well as being a one-time fascist, fought in both world wars, the latter to make Britain a safe place for Jews like Gable to live in. (41)

On page 39, Hill refers to Ladywood as "a campaign where swastikas, jackboots, and pictures of Hitler figured prominently." Again, Colin Jordan takes issue with this: "Obviously, if it had been true, there would be newspaper reports and photographs galore about it, and there are not, not one single one." (42)

On the same page, Hill recounts how in September 1969 he punched a café owner on the nose. According to his version, a scuffle had developed between some British Movement members and some Leicester University students. "...the proprietor intervened and pushed me against a wall. Losing control a little, I punched him on the nose. Police arrived and I was charged with assault and actual bodily harm." No Ray, it wasn't like that at all, was it? To begin with, this incident didn't happen in September but in August. (43)

It was while he was on bail for this incident that Hill fled to South Africa. Having two previous convictions for violence, and being in breach of his suspended sentence for the last one, he must have realised that a jail sentence was on the cards. So what really happened? On August 21st, 1969, Hill assaulted Mr Peter Josephs, the owner of the *Chameleon* café King Street, Leicester. Hill's claim in his lie-ridden book that a scuffle had developed is not borne out by press reports of the incident; it was claimed here that Hill had gone to the café to "sort out previous difficulties". This resulted in him being shown the door. Hill was said to have hit Mr Josephs several times in the face. What was that, Ray, losing control a little? Punching him on the nose? Pushed me against a wall?

Hill denied ABH but admitted common assault. He also denied damaging cups, saucers and a ceiling! The fact that Hill appeared in court ten years after this incident and the fact that he had returned to the UK to face the music, and the fact that two witnesses had since emigrated, all these saved him from a jail sentence. Had the court been aware of the circumstances of his flight from South Africa, it might have taken a less charitable view of his belated repentance. He was given a sentence of 9 months suspended for 12 months; he was also, as stated, in breach of a six month suspended sentence which was renewed for 3 years.

The court might also have taken into account the fact that the owner of the café was Jewish. The *Jewish Chronicle* reported that Hill had shouted "Bastard Jew!" at Mr Josephs. (44) Also in the *Jewish Chronicle* it was reported that Hill was "not now affiliated to any political party", another brazen lie, and that he "did not abscond to [South Africa] but *emigrated* there". (45) Hill of course first

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

absconded *to* South Africa then absconded *from* South Africa. As he left the dock at Leicester Crown Court, he was said to have raised his arm to four young men in the public gallery. A gesture that was obviously intended to indicate that he had indeed dropped out of far right politics and that "this antisemitic [sic] activity" had indeed died. (46)

Part 6: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 4

Returning to September 1969, Hill, who was said to have been branch organiser of the British Movement, was reported to have caused disruption at a management meeting discussing race relations. (1) This meeting appears to have become more than a little heated. "If you think you can throw me out try it," Mr Hill replied. (2) Bearing in mind that he was already on bail for assault, this was not a particularly intelligent thing to say. Hill was also quoted thus: "Mr Hill stood up to say that he had fostered a negro child for years and had 'grown to love the child as one of my own.'" (3) We have already dealt with and disposed of this lie. Its repetition proves Hill's rather than the paper's culpability for it.

In October, Hill was in the local press again. He had been distributing "leprosy leaflets" and was warned that if he continued to do so he could face prosecution under a city by-law. (4) The following day it was claimed that no action was likely after all. (5)

The final report on Hill in the local press appeared on November 11th 1969, a year to the day after the report of his assault on a press photographer. It was reported that a number of documents, including personal letters and photographs, had been stolen from his home in a burglary. (6) Nothing of value was taken, and the burglary, if there really was one, appears to have been politically motivated. In view of Hill's now many times proven duplicity, one cannot now take such a claim at face value.

On page 39 of his book, Hill claims that his wife gave him an ultimatum following his assault on Jewish café owner Peter Josephs: already unhappy about his political activity, if he got involved in anything else like this, that would be the end of their marriage. In view of Hill's proven track record as a man of violence it would be interesting to speculate if he ever beat his wife. Far less unpleasant men than Hill have been known to do so, and the fact that she has stuck with him through thick and thin does not necessarily rule out the possibility. Let us though afford Hill a charity he clearly does not deserve and say there is no evidence that he was ever a wife-beater. His claim that they emigrated to South Africa under her impetus or mostly under her impetus cannot be taken any more seriously than his claim that he didn't know whether or not the South Africans knew of his political background. In the first instance,

Hill was clearly motivated by avoiding an almost certain jail sentence; emigration to South Africa must have seemed an extraordinarily pleasant alternative. Whether or not they had considered emigrating before is neither here nor there.

In the second instance, it is a certainty that Hill would never have been accepted as an emigrant if his true character had been known to the South African authorities. Hill's political activities would most definitely not have gone down at all well with the South Africans, who have never liked "Nazis" or *racists*, contrary to the propaganda of the anti-apartheid machine. And it should not be forgotten that Hill had already served one jail sentence, he had another conviction which had been rewarded with a suspended sentence, and he was then on bail for a third. Three acts of violence in a six year period - one of them very serious - would most certainly have disqualified him from entrance into the country. It goes without saying that he must have lied to get into the country. Page 41: Hill claims to have landed at Jan Smuts Airport on New Year's Day 1970, his long-suffering wife and their young child to follow.

Page 43: Hill's wife and child followed a few weeks later, and, he says, he had no difficulty in finding well-paid work. This would indicate either that the South Africans were extremely desperate for any sort of white labour - something which I cannot quite believe - or that Hill lied about his qualifications, and, most certainly, his antecedents. He claims to have worked as a joiner and as an electrician. Again, one perhaps shouldn't judge him too severely for this, if he genuinely wanted to provide a future for his family. The fact that Hill was able to emigrate to South Africa with such relative ease would tend to indicate that perhaps others with equally appalling antecedents did the same. However, his claim that the expatriate community lived for booze and wife-swapping, (pages 44-5), does not have the ring of truth. And again, we may surmise that this passage was penned not by Hill but by his manipulator Gerry Gable, who couldn't resist the temptation to add his two cents' worth of hate in a polemic against a civilisation he and his kind have made no secret of their desire to destroy. Hill appears to have enjoyed his first few years in South Africa, which were good clearly for someone whose only marketable talent was a white skin. Then things turned sour.

Pages 46-7: Hill was, he says, involved in a serious motor accident which shattered his arm, ended his lucrative employment in a mine, and reduced him to near poverty. Somehow he survived though, now a father of two, and he

became involved in far right politics in South Africa, (page 48). Hill says this accident - he was the victim of a hit-and-run driver - happened on his way to a boxing match. This was on a Saturday night. Pat McCormack, the former British light-welterweight champion, was fighting a local boxer. McCormack actually fought twice in South Africa in 1975, on June 14th and again on June 27th. June 14th was a Saturday, but, according to the trade paper *Boxing News*, the report of McCormack's points defeat by Gert Craemer was filed from Praetoria. (7) However, Praetoria is only about 25 miles from Johannesburg, so it is not impossible that Hill is telling the truth. A search of both the Johannesburg press and the Praetoria press for the few days after the fight revealed no mention of a hit-and-run incident, at least the current writer found no mention, but this proves nothing. However, in view of Hill's obvious error over the location of the boxing match and his proven track record as an arch liar, we are entitled to ask did this accident really happen, and if not, how was his arm injured, if indeed it was? Unfortunately, the investigation of this anomaly is beyond the current writer's slender resources. But not beyond those of the national press. Investigative journalists take note!

Page 48: "Not exactly a liberal, I was nevertheless not the hard-line nazi who had packed his bags and quit Leicester four years earlier." Actually it was over five years by this time. "In late 1978, I dipped my toe, a little hesitantly at first, into the waters of racist politics." Not for the first or the last time, Hill is betrayed by chronology. How late in 1978 could it have been? Bearing in mind Hill's later claim (pages 62-3) that over the five or six months prior to his departure from South Africa (in April 1979) he fed a constant stream of information to Organised Jewry in Johannesburg, that doesn't leave much time at all. If Hill's first involvement was "writing occasional articles for [the HNP's] *Die Afrikaaner*", (page 49), that doesn't leave much time for him to have joined the SANF. The truth is that Hill was in the SANF, if not right from the beginning, then from very early days.

Still on page 49, Hill says of the HNP that it was "Founded by former National Party member and government minister Albert Herzog in 1969, the HNP...[had] a firmly anti-Jewish explanation of South Africa's problems...The 'Jewish conspiracy', for the HNP, was the way to understanding what made the world tick..." He can't even get the name right; it was Hertzog, not Herzog. The HNP barred Jews, Catholics and atheists when it was founded, (8) but its belief in the Jewish conspiracy is open to serious question. It wasn't so much anti-Semitic as

pro-Afrikaner. (9) Certainly it has a pathological hatred of Jewish multi-millionaire Harry Oppenheimer; its leader Jaap Marais referred in the early eighties to Botha's government as *Kaffirboetie* [nigger-lover] and Hoggenheimer [caricature of rich, generally Jewish capitalist]. (10) But the fact that the HNP is against the big capitalists, some of whom are Jewish, is hardly evidence of its virulent anti-Semitism. The activities of these men did undermine apartheid; a study of the history of South Africa will show that this dates back to the last century.

Hill's aforementioned claim that his involvement with the HNP was confined, initially, to writing the occasional article for its paper does not tally either. Exactly how Hill, an outsider, an ordinary working man, and one who had been through hard times at that, came to write for the xenophobic Afrikaaner nationalist press is not made clear. On page 50, Hill says that he worked for the HNP during a parliamentary by-election in Alberton near Johannesburg. His chronology dates this to 1978; neither the current writer nor his fellow researcher can find any reference to any such parliamentary by-election at this time. The world political almanac *Facts On File* reported that the National Party had easily won a by-election in Springs, Johannesburg, on April 5th, (11) which may be what Hill is referring to.

Still on page 50, Hill claims that the Herstigtes were anxious to use the skills he had acquired as a Nazi activist in England. Although Hill had been an enthusiastic "Nazi", he had, by his own admission, and one which is corroborated by Colin Jordan, been active in the extreme right for a little over a year. Even if one adds to this his initial involvement with the anti-immigration movement, he may have been considered useful, but was hardly a prize catch. On the same page he says that Hertzog once confided in him his belief that "Premier John Vorster was 'in the pay of the Jews'."

Obviously, this is a reference to the all-pervasive Jewish conspiracy. In view of the fact that Hill can't even spell the gentleman's name correctly, we should not pay too much attention to such a claim. Indeed, we should not pay too much attention to it in any case, because although anti-Semitism can be found even among establishment politicians, nobody other than the lunatic fringe nutters Hill associated with really believes in the Jewish conspiracy. On page 51, Hill claims that Hertzog was joined by "another Cabinet Minister, Jaap Marais". Marais was never a Cabinet Minister. (12)

Hill's claim in the second paragraph of page 50 that he became the first person ever to address an HNP meeting in English, may be true. He also made a speech to a thousand strong HNP election rally in March 1979. However, the circumstances of how he came to make *that* speech do not lend credence to his claim that this was a privilege that was bestowed upon him, rather that it was a liberty which he took. And it is here, perhaps, that a hint of Hill's real agenda shows through. Hill was not invited to make a speech, instead, he jumped up onto the platform immediately after Jaap Marais had finished speaking, "...and launched into a highly emotional diatribe against Jews". His speech contained, "not a single fact" as he "thundered on like a demagogue in a vicious anti-Semitic tirade." (13)

This led to the resignation of a senior HNP member, Martin Louw, who said Hill's speech was an "insult to the large numbers of Jewish boys serving on our borders alongside our own." (14) It may well have been then that Gable's hatemongering co-racialists in Organised South African Jewry used Hill to discredit the HNP. In this context the reader should again bear in mind that these "Jews", powerful Zionists and "anti-fascists", are not ordinary men and women of the Jewish faith or of Jewish origin, like the Jewish boys Martin Louw referred to serving alongside *Aryans* in defence of their country. Rather, like Gable, his predecessor Ludmer, and the Board of Deputies "defence committee", they are the sweepings of the ghetto who bring the hatred of the *goyim* not only onto their own heads, but onto the heads of their entire race. And, like the *Searchlight* team, they do it wilfully, because racial hatred is their *raison d'être*.

Page 53: Hill relates how he met Jack Noble, whom he describes on page 52 as the founder in March 1978 of the South African National Front. (15) This may be true, but according to someone who was also active in the South African National Front, Hill was co-founder of this organisation. I have no way of confirming this, and my source does have an axe to grind with Hill, but although it's his word against Hill's I don't think this point is too important. (16) As will shortly become clear, Hill was a big wheel in the SANF and as nasty a piece of work here as ever.

Also on page 52, Hill claims that Noble had emigrated to South Africa in 1971, but according to a 1978 report in the *Sunday Times*, Noble had lived in the country for seventeen years, which dates his emigration to 1961. (17) In this

same article, Noble is said to have been dismissed from his job as a barman on account of his political activities. (18)

On page 53, Hill reveals how he stole the show at a SANF meeting with a rousing speech. This was, he says, reported in the following day's (Johannesburg) *Sunday Times*. Unfortunately, earlier on the same page he states that "...I drove into Johannesburg after work one weekday evening to hear what the Front had to offer." As Sunday does not follow a weekday he must be either lying or wrong on one of these points, if not both of them. By now the reader will have had enough time to assess Mr Hill's character to decide which of these is the most likely.

Hill so impressed his fellow rightists that he was immediately made chairman of the group, and set about stirring things up. Pages 55-6 he relates how Jack Noble had developed links with expatriate Italian fascists. My unnamed source referred to them as Nazis.

On page 57, Hill mentions the campaign the SANF launched against "money-grabbing Zionist landlords" who had been hiring out their property to Indians in white areas. This led to people being evicted from their homes, something Hill claims he knew nothing about. This story appeared in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Express* which reported that one MP had written to the government demanding that the Front be prosecuted for inciting racial hatred! Hill and his buddies were said to have published four anti-black and "anti-Zionist" pamphlets in three months. (19) This didn't go down at all well with South African Jewry either because the following week the paper reported that four hundred Jews had stormed through the city in protest at the Front's spreading anti-Semitic propaganda. (20)

Hill's protests of innocence - or ignorance - are yet more of his pragmatic lies. He claims that the first he learnt of people being evicted from their homes was one bright Sunday afternoon in late March when he drove his daughter to see some friends in a nearby town. Page 58: "Directly opposite our pavement table was a truly pathetic-looking Indian family, squatting at the roadside with what few possessions they owned tied up in bundles of blankets. Wandering across the road I asked the husband what the problem was. When he replied that they had been evicted by police from the house they had been living in for the last year, and the police had blamed the NF, I felt a knot tighten in my guts."

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

This so shocked Hill that he experienced his road to Damascus type conversion, "My days as a racist were over", (page 59). Hill says that he read an article in a Sunday paper a month or so earlier which had quoted him. Neither the paper nor the article are named nor dated, but not only is chronology against him, the facts are as well, because the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Express* for 4th March 1979 carried a two page spread on the activities of the SANF - including Ray Hill! - which paints an entirely different picture of him. Rather than a repentant *racist* what we find is a man with a heart of ice, at least as far as all non-whites are concerned, Asians *and* blacks.

The activities of the SANF were said to have resulted in many Coloureds and Indians being charged with illegal occupation. The supposedly so-*racist* South African authorities (21) had been turning a blind eye to such technically illegal occupations, until Hill and his ugly friends started poking their noses in. (22) Hill, who would have his readers believe that he was horrified by seeing an Indian family thrown out onto the street, remember, had even unkind words for blacks. The SANF was said to be propagating a policy of "controlling the Black birthrate" that would, if enacted, have resulted in a large rise in the mortality rate of black infants. When questioned on this, National Front Chairman Hill replied, "tough - but that's natural selection." (23) One would have expected a little more compassion or at least empathy from a man who had been through what Hill had experienced, the serious road accident, arm injury and loss of livelihood. But there is no more compassion in Ray Hill any more than there was ever a gramme of idealism.

On the National Front's responsibility for the recent spate of evictions, Chairman Hill was again quoted, "It's probably true - it's against the law for them to live there and so we reported it to the authorities. We're good citizens." (24) What was that about the pathetic-looking Indian family at the roadside? *Racism* aside, such talk coming from the likes of Hill is total *chutzpah*. (25)

Someone else who thought ill of the SANF's policy was Denis Diamond, a spokesman for the South African Board of Deputies. (26) For a leading spokesman of Organised Jewry to claim that a particular party's *racism* is worse than its anti-Semitism indicates that the former must be very bad indeed. Diamond was quoted thus: "The National Front's solution for controlling the Black birthrate almost amounts to the final solution - approaching genocide. (27) This effectively disposes of Hill's road to Damascus conversion.

In his book, page 60, Hill now talks about having "already established friendships with a couple of Jewish chaps who had been extremely kind to my family and myself when times had been hard." For "been extremely kind" read "they gave me money". But what did Hill give them in return? That doesn't take much imagination: "They knew all about my peculiar political views, but did not seem to hold them against me..." Read: "They were very interested in my political views, and cultivated my friendship on account of this." Hill admits to having gone to the races with them, not once, but many times; and Hill was an ordinary working man, never let it be forgotten. And who were these "Jewish chaps?" Hill doesn't name them, but Michael McLaughlin, National Chairman of the British Movement, was not so coy.

After Hill had issued a writ against McLaughlin alleging wrongful expulsion, the BM's leader wrote in the party's paper, the *Phoenix*: "Raymond Hill who has a criminal record both in Britain and South Africa, is known for his connections with influential Jews in the Republic of South Africa and just before he fled from that country on serious embezzlement charges was a close colleague of one Max Kaufman, a friend of the Chairman of the South African Board of Jewish Deputies. Kaufman and Hill were seen together weekly at the Republic's race courses.

Another close associate of Raymond Hill was the influential Johannesburg Jew, Eddie Cohen." (28)

A couple of Jewish chaps, indeed. Far from being ordinary Jewish working men, or professional people, these were two of the most influential figures in South African Organised Jewry. It goes without saying that under ordinary circumstances the likes of Kaufman and Cohen would have no interest at all in rubbing shoulders with the likes of Hill. And they would most certainly not have made his acquaintance first. No, it was Hill who approached them, and that could be for one reason only, to betray his comrades. (29) Or to set them up? Hill has dated his alleged accident to June 1975, so he had the best part of three years to cultivate the acquaintance of the South African Zionists before he re-entered politics. It is not unlikely that they put him on hold, and perhaps paid him a retainer in the meantime.

Part 7: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 5

"Some do this in perfect good faith and honesty, and thus unconsciously help the enemies of their cause. Others, no doubt, as the struggle develops, will actually be employed, often unknowingly, by those very clever people, the big Jews, to make wild and foolish attacks upon Jews in general, in order to discredit anti-Semitism." - Sir Oswald Mosley writing in the *Blackshirt*, October 3rd, 1936, warning against violent anti-Semitic propagandists.

Hill's socialising with Organised Jewry is even more suspicious on account of some of his activities in the SANF. We have already mentioned the speech he gave to the HNP which resulted in the resignation of Martin Louw. Ironically, the SANF was said to be distrusted on account of its foreign origins! Whatever, as Hill's speech was made shortly before he fled South Africa with the police in hot pursuit, it provides further evidence that his road to Damascus conversion is a brazen lie. The most plausible explanation is that, as we have speculated, Hill was used by Organised Jewry to discredit the HNP.

On page 61 in his lie-ridden book, Hill claims that decisions were being taken in the SANF in a conspiratorial manner, and says that he was not part of the circle. On page 62 his "conversion" becomes total and "Weighing up the risks to myself, and to my family, I decided to stay on, acting out the role of dedicated racist demagogue, but using any information to which this gave me access against the Front. I decided...to become a 'mole'."

If Hill's demagoguery were entirely an act, then his was clearly the work of an *agent provocateur*. The only question outstanding is whether or not Mr Hill was paid for his services. How much and by whom are not really important. On pages 62-3, he says: "I made contact with a leading figure in the Jewish community...and, over the five or six months between my change of heart and my departure...I fed a steady stream of information in his direction - lists of NF members, details of high-level party discussions and meetings, and highly secret plans for a major party rally in Johannesburg."

This was due to take place in January 1979 and was actually reported in the *Sunday Express*, February 4, 1979. (1) So not for the first time Hill is betrayed

by chronology. Hill's and the SANF's campaign against Coloured and Indian families was reported in the March 4th issue of the *Sunday Express*, remember? And, according to Hill, (page 58), it was not until late March that he realised the error of his ways. If Hill passed details of the rally to one of his Jewish "friends" - in reality either Eddie Cohen or more likely Max Kaufman - he was clearly in the pockets of Organised Jewry well before he met the Indian family who had been evicted from their home. But, even if we ignore this, Hill's claim that he fed information to the Jews for five or six months before his departure still does not tally. The *Guardian* reported on May 11, 1979 that Hill had returned to the UK. (2) From late March to May 11th is six, seven weeks at most, not five months.

Hill boasts proudly that it was his actions alone which caused the SANF all this aggro. But this is hardly something to be proud of or to take credit for; in reality, all Hill was doing, as stated, was acting as *agent provocateur*. Without his stirring up trouble there would have been no public unrest in the first place. Hill also claims that it was as a direct consequence of these events that John Tyndall was refused entry into the country. Yet it was him who had invited JT to South Africa in the first place! (3)

Page 65: Hill says his return to England took place in something of a hurry and at the time he was working as a physical training teacher at an Anglican boys' orphanage. It is very doubtful if Hill would have been employed in a job working with children if his antecedents had been known. In issue 144, page 4, Hill's current employer, *Searchlight*, crowed over the dismissal of leading National Front member Steve Brady from his job as a teacher. At the time, Brady had one conviction for violence. It is a pity they failed to apply the same standard to Hill, their "mole".

Still on pages 65-6, Hill claims: "In February, a friend had asked me to lend him some money so that he could buy a birthday present for his wife. Not having any cash to hand...I rather foolishly handed him my credit card and told him to get what he wanted...In very little time at all he had run up a bill of more than £3,000, which I was neither willing nor able to pay. The police visited me, and I was charged with credit card fraud..." He was bailed for the equivalent of £1,500. Of course, this claim is utter baloney. According to my anonymous South African source, Hill was ripping off supermarkets with this credit card fraud and was caught bang to rights with the groceries in his house.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

Notwithstanding this, Hill has subsequently lied about this account in print. In *Searchlight*, July, 1993, issue 217, page 7, Hill writes: "O'Hara accuses me of being a convicted fraudster and arsonist. I have never been charged with or convicted of either of these offences..." Whether or not Hill actually wrote these words or simply allowed his name to be put to them, Larry O'Hara never accused him of being a convicted arsonist or a convicted fraudster. Also, in the August 27, 1993 issue of *New Statesman & Society*, Hill writes thus: "I stand accused by O'Hara of having criminal convictions for fraud and arson. For the record, this is a complete lie." (4) Again, it is Hill who is lying; O'Hara never said any such thing.

On page 66, Hill admits that he jumped bail, something he could hardly deny, but expresses mock horror at a claim in the British press that he had been involved in a large scale fraud of an entirely different and far more serious kind. "...no sooner had we arrived back in England than I was astonished to read newspaper stories in the British press connecting me with the alleged embezzlement of over £20,000..."

This money was said to have been embezzled from the Sons of England, a masonic-style mutual aid society. (5) Hill does though admit that he was the society's secretary. It was undoubtedly this as much as the credit card fraud which persuaded Hill to leave South Africa. The Johannesburg *Star*, March 29, 1979, ran an article which claimed that an urgent application in the Rand Supreme Court the previous day sought to prevent three men from withdrawing funds from the society. These men were Ray Hill, George Lewis and Franck Hill, who was presumably no relation. (6) Mr Lewis, who was said to be, among other things, treasurer of the National Front, was in police custody, though it was not stated for. We shall meet him again later. Ray Hill, described as "chairman of the National Front", was said to currently be on bail on fraud charges. (7) The return date for this case was 10th April; over R30,000 was said to have been missing.

In his lie-ridden book (page 67), Hill claims that it was Jack Noble who stole the money, and that he donated most of it to the National Front. This is yet another attempt to blacken the name of the National Front, and the name of the late Jack Noble, who, for all his faults, was no thief and was not mentioned in the court case. In fact, Noble himself insisted that Hill was responsible for ripping off this money and told anyone and everyone who would listen that it had been Hill's doing. He claimed too that Hill had disappeared with a fair

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

quantity of Kruggerands and a couple of fake passports. (8) And, according to the National Front, the tale Hill himself told when he first returned to England was that he had indeed used his position as Secretary of the Sons of England Society to steal the money and had smuggled it into Britain as Kruggerands hidden in his underwear! (9) George Lewis too insisted that he was completely innocent and that Hill had tried to implicate him in the theft. (10) My South African contact told me that the fraud was a joint effort between Mr and Mrs Hill: that he drew out the money and she cashed the cheques. This has the ring of truth; if he is no wife-beater, then it would be totally in character for Hill to drag his long-suffering wife into such a fraud. The poor woman's life must have been hell living with someone like him, and one can only have the greatest sympathy for her.

Of course, if Hill is totally innocent of this and the credit card fraud, he can still return to South Africa and face the music under the government of Comrade Mandela, who will doubtless view his previous activities as an undercover "anti-racist" with great sympathy.

Finally, let us quote from someone else who knew Hill in South Africa, HNP leader Jaap Marais. The current writer wrote to Mr Marais and put to him the claims made by Hill that the latter had worked for the HNP, that he had written for the HNP's newspaper, and that the HNP had been branded anti-Semitic by Hill and his manipulators. In a letter dated 31 January 1994, Mr Marais replied that "In South Africa [Hill's] reputation is that of a coward, a liar, a thief and a completely unwholesome piece of work." As to the South African National Front, this had "only a nominal shortlived existence, its 'membership' being confined to Jack Noble and Hill." Presumably this is a reference to the hard core, but then again, who am I to argue with the leader of the HNP, who was there at the time? It may well have been that the SANF's "membership" was padded with drinking cronies of Hill and Noble. Noble was a barman, remember; we need look no further than Hill's rich Jewish friends to find who bought the drinks, and doubtless picked up the tab for all his, and the SANF's, anti-Semitic and anti-black activities.

According to Mr Marais, "Noble was violently opposed by a group of young Jews. His house was even badly damaged. Ray Hill was scared out of his wits." Or perhaps he only pretended to be scared. This is a pattern we have seen time and time again: wicked, mysteriously funded "Nazis" appearing on the scene, outraged young Jewish "anti-fascists" violently opposing them, and the gullible

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

goyim lining up behind the Chosen Race in order to save these poor, persecuted people from being turned into lampshades.

As to Hill's working for Mr Marais's party, "It is downright nonsense that he had any formal association of any kind with the HNP. If he had worked for the HNP in a by-election in Alberton it was without the knowledge of either the candidate, the local divisional council or the HNP leadership." So if there had been a by-election in Alberton, he played no part in the campaign; another Hill half-truth is exposed. As to his writing for the HNP's paper "...on a regular basis, there is not the slightest evidence of it. If he, on his own, had written a letter to the [editor] or had once sent a piece for publication, his name might have appeared in the paper. This is like letting a chicken wade through some water and then calling it chicken soup!"

Chicken soup is of course a traditional Jewish recipe, but if Hill was keeping kosher, so was the HNP, because Mr Marais refutes charges of anti-Semitism. "The HNP is a true nationalist party, like the party of which Dr Verwoerd and his predecessors were leaders. Dr Verwoerd especially, was also accused of anti-semitism because of his nationalist convictions. It is standard leftist practice in South Africa to associate nationalism with fascism and from there with anti-semitism, and to present this as the argument against which there is no argument. For a mind attuned to mischief such as Hill's, this is to be expected." This is standard leftist practice not just in South Africa but with "white" nationalism everywhere, and of course, it is not Hill's mind that is the root cause of the mischief here, but that of his powerful, and thoroughly evil, Jewish manipulators for whom hatred is not only inevitable, but necessary.

Part 8: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 6

Hill's (or more likely Gable's) recap of the history of British fascism contains a number of inaccuracies typical of the latter's sloppy "research", in particular it is stated (page 78) that because of his fanatical devotion to Hitler, British fascist and anti-Semite Arnold Leese was imprisoned during the Second World War. In reality, Leese, like many thousands of others, didn't see the point of the *goyim* killing each other in another, bloody, futile, imperialist war. Leese had already served in the First World War and was appalled by the senseless slaughter. (1) It might be added that some 12,000 Jews died on the German side in the First World War, so the idea that only "treacherous fascists" didn't want to fight Hitler is a lie of the first order. As for Leese being fanatically devoted to Hitler: Leese spoke at an IFL meeting on April 19th, 1940 "stating his disgust at the German action in Norway..." Leese was upset by Hitler's pact with Russia and thought Hitler should retire in favour of Goering. His audience was unsympathetic. (2)

Hill returned to England, specifically to Leicester where he and his family lived with his wife's mother. (3) He then sought out a solicitor to sort out the ten year old charge against him of assaulting a café owner. Who just happened to be Jewish, don't let's forget. It was here that he met up with solicitor Anthony Reed-Herbert. On page 79, attempting to give his readers a short history of British fascism, Hill - or whoever wrote this nonsense - claims that "The White Defence League...made a name for itself by its violent intervention in the 1958 'race riots' in Notting Hill." According to Colin Jordan, who surely knows better, "The WDL was not in existence at the time of those riots, being founded afterwards, later that year." (4) Mr Jordan might have added that John Tyndall was never in the White Defence League as Hill also claims on page 79, while on pages 78-9, the British People's Party is referred to as "a post-war effort of the 12th Duke of Bedford..." The British People's Party was actually founded in 1939. (5)

On page 85, Hill says that he returned to Leicester in 1980; actually, it was 1979. On page 86 he refers again to his eleven years in South Africa; we have already pointed out that it was somewhat less than eleven years. Also on page 86, Hill claims that, in order to stand him in good stead as a "hard-line and

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

dedicated nazi" his assault on café owner Peter Josephs was blown up by *Searchlight* into "a vicious attack on a Jewish man". Which is exactly what it was, a vicious, unprovoked attack on a Jewish man by a rabid, anti-Semitic thug. One who also thought he was a Nazi. (4)

On page 89, Hill says that he was invited to the National Front's AGM in Great Yarmouth that summer. As was every card-carrying member! The NF's 1979 AGM was actually held in October. (7) On his return to England, Hill was said to have joined the National Front in late April 1979 and to have remained a member until March 1980. (8) In other words, no sooner was he back in the country than he was poking his nose into extremist politics. Hill's application to join the Front was accepted by Leicester solicitor and local organiser Anthony Reed-Herbert, (9) "much to the chagrin of some of the London-based leadership." (10)

On pages 90-91, Hill claims, "In the few months following the election, the Front disintegrated into no less than four separate organizations." Actually the National Front split took over a year after the May 1979 General Election, from September 1979, Fountaine's expulsion, to June 1980, the founding of the New National Front.

One of these factions was Anthony Reed-Herbert's British Democratic Party, which had originally been known as the British People's Party. On page 92, Hill claims, "I believed then, and still do, that Reed Herbert represented a particular threat from the right that his rivals did not...His privately expressed hard-line views were unknown outside the ranks of his supporters and associates..." Someone else who was active in the National Front at the time made an entirely different assessment of Reed-Herbert. According to him, it is doubtful if the British Democratic Party had many members outside Leicester; it certainly seems to have been confined to the East Midlands. (11) At this point, Hill became so frightened by the "success" of the BDP - and the imminent *Holocaust* too, no doubt, that he decided to contact *Searchlight*.

Page 93: he says he telephoned the Birmingham office, which was then run by veteran race agitator Maurice Ludmer, and passed on snippets of information. Here, Hill claims that this act of treachery was intended to be "my last modest fling before I slipped away from politics and resumed a normal family life." This is in stark contrast to his earlier claim (already cited) that "I decided to stay on, acting out the role of dedicated racist demagogue, but using any information to which this gave me access against the Front." Referring here to

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

the South African National Front. Of course, both claims are total rubbish; Hill decided to keep feeding "information" to Organised Jewry for as long as they would pay him.

Back in England, one day, the man on the other end of the line, known as Derek, asked him if he'd like to meet. The apparently Anglo-Saxon "Derek" appears to have been Manny Carpel. (12) In 1964, along with his co-racialist Gerry Gable, Carpel was convicted of conning his way into David Irving's flat in order to find material to hand over to Special Branch. (13) In 1981, while still working for *Searchlight*, he was jailed for two and a half years for arson; he burned down the Hancocks' printing press. (14)

When Hill revealed his identity to "Derek", his *Searchlight* contact sounded surprised, (page 94). The implication here is that Hill was a big fish. It is worth noting at this point that the sum total of Hill's involvement with the extreme right in his entire career is as follows:

He met Colin Jordan in November 1968, became a British Movement activist and organiser and effectively quit at the end of 1969. One cannot in all honesty count any involvement he may have had with the Anti-Immigration Society in Leicester.

Hill joined the South African National Front in March 1978 or soon after that. He left South Africa in April the following year. Again, one cannot count whatever minimal involvement he may have had with the HNP. One should bear in mind here that Hill was an ordinary working man, and one who had been down on his luck. (Until a "couple of Jewish chaps" helped him out). He was also an outsider, and therefore regarded with suspicion. Certainly the South African National Front was never popular with the establishment and would never have made any real headway. Altogether, Hill's involvement in extremist politics amounts to a little over two years. Hill made his first approach to *Searchlight* after the BDP scored 11% in a local council election. And, if one takes into account his admitted mischief-making and spying for Organised Jewry in South Africa, he had already spent most of his political career as a liar, traitor and sneak. We will never know exactly when he first offered his services to Organised Jewry in South Africa, but it seems most unlikely that it was much later than 1975. Hill's claim on page 34 that Colin Jordan "owes me about ten years of my life", is thus exposed as utterly bogus.

This then is the truth about "Nazi mole" Ray Hill, he never was any such thing; rather he was in the "enemy camp" right from the start. And it sure as hell wasn't

idealism which motivated him, in the first instance it was racial hatred, or at best, the genuine concern many ordinary decent white people (15) feel about their country, their culture and their race being swamped. And later, it was money. Hill learned enough about Jews to realise that they are paranoid about "Nazis", and later he sought out, not ordinary Jews, but the lowest of the low, the high powered wire-pullers of South African Organised Jewry, and the sweepings of the ghetto, the *Searchlight* clique of hardened race agitator Maurice Ludmer and arch-Aryan-hater Gerry Gable.

On page 96, Hill refers to a *World in Action* investigation of an alleged gun running racket by the BDP in Leicester. He says, (pages 96-7), "...*World in Action*, *Searchlight* and myself ran such rings around the characters involved that they must have believed the gods themselves were conspiring against them."

Hill claims this operation wrecked the BDP, as it undoubtedly did. But the only gun-running operation that took place here was the one Hill and his fellow - and far from god-like - conspirators set up. The *modus operandi* of arch-Aryan-hater Gerry Gable has become more than a little obvious over the years. Gable and his fellow hatemongers at *Searchlight* and in the anti-fascist movement generally, Jew and Gentile alike, believe that *racism* and fascism should be outlawed, even though they none of them understands what fascism is. (16) Or *racism* for that matter. (17) They realise that as long as we have some pretence to democracy in this country the parties of the extreme right cannot be banned. The only way they *can* be banned is if they commit overtly illegal acts, in particular if they resort to terrorism. This is something they have never shown the slightest inclination of doing. So Gable and co's strategy has been to give them a little help by stimulating the lunatic fringe to become involved in "gun-running", racial attacks and the like. Also, by making sure that the anti-Semitic right recognises that most of those who are denying them their democratic rights are Jews, they are wilfully inciting hatred against ordinary Jews.

On page 97, Hill refers to the British Movement Leader as "the former milkman from Liverpool". Strange isn't it how so many of these professed egalitarians and endless campaigners for a "classless society" look down their noses at an ordinary working man? McLaughlin had actually been a merchant seaman. When he left the navy, he worked for an engineering company. On his ascension to chairmanship of the British Movement, he took a job as a milkman because it was the only job that allowed him to fit in his running the Movement. (18)

Also on page 97, Hill claims that: "McLaughlin fairly leapt at the opportunity of recruiting an old nazi stalwart of proven ability and approved my application for membership in June 1980 without question."

No! McLaughlin did not leap at this opportunity [sic]. He was extremely wary of Hill. (19) As we have already demonstrated, McLaughlin was one of the few people who were not taken in by Hill, having obviously received reliable intelligence on him from South Africa. Hill claims that although he never joined the BDP, he made himself virtually indispensable to Reed-Herbert. Hill then boasts on page 98 that he grassed up Reed-Herbert for running an illegal bar, something which the police were not the slightest bit interested in, probably because it is not unknown for police officers to patronise such places and it is certainly not unknown for them to drink after hours. This party, the BDP, was the new NSDAP, don't forget. Hill was so concerned at their potential that he went running to *Searchlight*, and ends up touting stories about an illegal drinking club to the *Daily Mirror*!

While Hill was doing all this, he was a member of the British Movement, something which he says made Reed-Herbert uneasy. On page 98, Hill says he wanted in the near future, to "challenge Michael McLaughlin for the leadership of BM."

Later, on page 165, referring to *Searchlight's* alleged attempts to destabilise the political "parties" of the extreme right he says, "we anticipated that we would later be in a position, if BNP began to grow, to split it down the middle by provoking a life or death leadership battle between myself and Tyndall..." (20)

If either or both these claims are true, they contradict his claim, (page 163), that he had "no intention of actually leading a nazi outfit of my own..."

While on page 133, he had again talked about "...establish[ing] myself as a rival to McLaughlin for the party leadership." (21)

Another curious thing here is that if Hill's and *Searchlight's* plan had been to destroy the extreme right totally, the most logical strategy would have been for them to campaign all out for "nationalist unity", a big issue at the time. Yet in the June 1981 issue of *Spearhead*, Hill and Tyndall published a joint article putting forward the case for and against. Führer Tyndall naturally wanted to bring all far right groups under his control, but Hill argued *against* unity. (22)

On page 99, Reed-Herbert was said to have attempted to procure weapons to equip his street army for the coming race war. And to want to set up a pirate TV station to broadcast propaganda. It is difficult to credit that a reasonably

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

successful Leicester solicitor would seriously consider such drivel. Difficult but not impossible. Whatever Walter Mitty ideas were going through Reed-Herbert's head, surely the last thing on his mind was gun running.

The account on the next few pages clearly demonstrates that Reed-Herbert was set up by an *agent provocateur* working for *World in Action* and *Searchlight*. An alleged American right wing extremist wanted to trade guns for TV transmitters. Reed-Herbert agreed to supply one gun for £200, (page 101). John Grand Scrutton was dragged into this; Hill describes him as Reed-Herbert's errand boy, (23) but what Hill neglects to mention is that it was the gullible Grand Scrutton who first introduced Hill to extremist politics when he took him along to meet Colin Jordan in 1968. (24)

Grand Scrutton left a Luger under a bush in the city centre wrapped in a black polythene bag, (page 102). All this was recorded on tape and the "evidence" was soon to be presented to the nation in the *Guns to the Right* programme which was screened on independent television on July 6, 1980.

Although all this "information" was passed on to the police, they weren't the slightest bit interested. The November *Searchlight*, issue 77, railed at the Chief Constable of Leicestershire and invited its readers to write to him asking why there had been no arrests, much less prosecutions. I wrote to the police in connection with this myself, as part of my on-going researches into *Searchlight*; I received a reply to the effect that they couldn't comment, but a reply really isn't necessary. The police aren't quite the fools they are often made out to be by the likes of Hill and Gable. They knew perfectly well what had happened here, that an attempt had been made to set up Reed-Herbert, and that without the presence of *World in Action* and *Searchlight* there would have been no investigation, *and no crime*. Policing is a labour intensive job and there are all manner of serious crimes being committed every minute of the day from burglary and car theft to rape and murder, and our boys in blue, love 'em or hate 'em, have better things to do than chase around after Nazi fantasists on the whim of Jewish hatemongers like Gerry Gable, and their *shabbez goyim*.

Of course, the whole story stinks from beginning to end: an American turns up in Leicester, and, claiming to be part of a far right group on an American military base, asks a solicitor to supply him with weapons! Notwithstanding the fact that on their own side of the Pond most Americans have more or less free access to weapons - automatics, not Lugers - and notwithstanding the fact that if this man were part of an organised group on an American military base -

unnamed, of course - he would have far easier access to weapons than a Leicester solicitor, we are asked to believe that Reed-Herbert, who wanted to obtain weapons "to equip his street army for 'the big showdown'..." (page 99) then *supplied* weapons to this mysterious American. And who were the members of his street army? Grand Scrutton, Hill?

On page 107, Hill reveals that Reed-Herbert offered him a paid position with his embroidery company, Sales Manager. As Hill, by his own account, was a man with no marketable skills, landing such a plum job was fortunate indeed. Hill has already disclosed how he rewarded Reed-Herbert's friendship. Whatever Reed-Herbert's political ambitions, for a solicitor he was more than a little naïve. Hill was a man he knew to have at least two conviction for assault, the attack on Jewish café owner Peter Josephs, and the assault on photographer, Peter Robinson. He almost certainly knew about Hill's 1962 conviction too, and he must have been aware of the allegations made against Hill in South Africa. In this context it is notable too that John Tyndall, a principled man if nothing else, chose to ignore, if not entirely disbelieve, the stories about Hill that came out of South Africa, including from official sources. Hill's character, while not Svengali-like, must certainly have been Machiavellian. The one person who was most definitely *not* taken in was Michael McLaughlin, as we have clearly demonstrated.

Page 108: At this point, Les Vaughan enters Hill's fable. Vaughan was said to be "a member of the underground nazi group Column 88, which specialized in providing paramilitary training for the 'cream' of Britain's nazis, and undertaking 'intelligence work' on behalf of the movement..." Column 88 will be the subject of a separate study by the current writer. I have already discussed it briefly in two of my previous works on this subject (25) It will suffice here to point out that while Column 88 did indeed exist, it was nothing more than a drinking club for military fantasists and head cases. The existence of this group was first revealed by Richard David (Dave) Roberts (1949-82), who was *Searchlight's* first "mole" inside the extreme right. Unlike Hill, Roberts wasn't a sneak who betrayed his comrades for thirty pieces of silver but a dedicated communist who "infiltrated" the lunatic Nazi fringe in Birmingham. He joined, or at least attended, Column 88 meetings without too much difficulty and sent back all manner of reports of weird goings-on to *Searchlight's* then editor Maurice Ludmer.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

In July 1975, Roberts and two of his Nazi drinking cronies were arrested, charged with and convicted of conspiracy to assault the staff of an Indian restaurant. Perhaps to save his skin, Roberts then turned over to the authorities twelve to thirteen hours of covert tape recordings. But in spite of all the talk about poisoning water supplies, corrupting the young and adventure holidays in Northern Ireland with a "guaranteed kill", the most heinous crime that was ever pinned on this group was celebrating the birthday of its glorious Führer. (26)

Much of this Roberts-inspired rubbish is regurgitated here. The diminutive BDP is said to have been under the direct control of Column 88. In reality, Les Vaughan was Column 88, the other major players included a prison officer and a school caretaker described by Hill himself (page 224) as "a fanatical Hitlerite with a handlebar moustache and monocle, who liked nothing better than parading around in full stormtrooper uniform and coal scuttle helmet."

Page 109: Les Vaughan is said to have boasted to Hill that he had put one "dissident" Column 88 member in hospital for eight months. Of course, this "dissident" is not named for the obvious reason that he never existed. One would have thought that, in view of his activities in exposing this "organisation", Dave Roberts would have been sought out and punished. He was still alive at this point. In reality, although he claimed to be living in fear, Roberts wormed his way onto a course at Staffordshire Polytechnic - now Staffordshire University - where he died from natural causes at the young age of 32. (27)

The farce over the "exile" of John Grand Scrutton is reported in some depth. This (unquestionably) gullible man, a one-time friend of Hill, was turned into some sort of gun-running terrorist by Hill and his manipulators, but in spite of his being shuttled off to the Irish Republic, then coming back, there was no fugitive hunt for him. Hill's claim on page 113 that "Two firm proposals...were actually put forward for murdering him..." does not have the ring of truth. Even when Grand Scrutton returned to Leicester with a signed confession (page 115) the police were not interested. Clearly they knew all the time what was going on. As well as acting as *agents provocateurs*, Hill and his buddies from *Searchlight* and *World in Action* exploited a none too intelligent and unquestionably sincere man who they dragged into this "gun-running" operation in order to criminalise a legitimate political party.

The *World in Action* pseudo-exposé *Guns to the Right* was a major piece of mischief-making, but not the major achievement of *Searchlight* in 1981. Pride of

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

place for that must go to the Notting Hill Carnival bomb plot, but in the next chapter, *Burying the Phoenix*, Hill and his co-conspirators wax lyrical about their alleged achievements in destroying the British Movement.

Part 9: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 7

On page 117, it is said that the British Movement "still believed that...coloured immigration was part of a Jewish-sponsored plot to dilute the purity of the white race..."

Absurd as this idea sounds, it is not entirely without foundation. Immigration and miscegenation have indeed been promoted by Jewish organisations - like *Searchlight* - but to call it a conspiracy is to miss the point. There is no conspiracy at work here, only hatred, in particular, the pathological hatred Mr Gable and certain of his co-racialists have for the *Aryan* race. It only looks like a conspiracy because like minds co-operate globally, but a full discussion of this would take us far afield.

Earlier, on page 116, Hill claims that the (unsuccessful) Racial Preservation Society prosecution under the *Race Relations Act* took place soon after its passage. The *Race Relations Act* was passed in 1965, so the 1968 prosecution was hardly soon after.

On page 118, Hill claims that in 1968, Colin Jordan used the pseudonym Roger McDermott. This refers to a time before Hill met Jordan, so it may be that he is repeating somebody else's lies/hearsay. Whatever, Jordan himself denies it, "This is new to me, being completely untrue." (1) On page 119, Hill claims that Colin Jordan was arrested in Coventry in 1976. Actually, he was arrested in Leamington in 1975. (2)

The claim on page 120 that McLaughlin referred to Colin Jordan as a clown is not true. (3) Certainly there was no love lost between the two men, but most of the animosity seemed to be on Jordan's side. Also on page 120 it is claimed that: "Seething with anger and resentment, [Jordan]...retired to a small farmhouse in...Yorkshire..."

Actually, Jordan had already bought his house when McLaughlin took over. (4) At the time, Jordan was National Chairman of the British Movement; McLaughlin was already National Secretary. It is unclear exactly how much Jordan's conviction for shoplifting at a Jewish-owned supermarket had to do with his succession by McLaughlin, but it is true that he had family problems, in particular, he had to look after his elderly mother. (5) In 1976, Colin Jordan still contributed articles to McLaughlin's publication *British Patriot*. I have it on

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

good authority that he wrote the odd item for this magazine as late as 1978. (6) The circumstances of Jordan and McLaughlin's fall out are unclear, but it appears to have been around 1978; McLaughlin was subsequently to claim that Jordan's shoplifting affair had discredited him. (7) Under the circumstances, this claim appears to have been disingenuous. Jordan certainly sent a message to the Movement which was read out at its 1977 Annual Congress by Arthur Calland. (8)

Also on page 120 it is stated that *Gothic Ripples* was a pre-war journal published by Arnold Leese. The first issue of *Gothic Ripples* is actually dated 22nd June 1945, which hardly makes it pre-war. (9) Rather than being a journal, it was a crudely typed anti-Jewish hate sheet, usually four pages. Leese published this until his death in 1956 when Anthony Gittens took over the editorship. Later, Colin Jordan inherited the title, but, without wishing to whitewash Mr Jordan, the vicious anti-Semitism the "journal" exhibited under Leese and Gittens is nowhere manifested in his writings.

On page 121, Hill says that McLaughlin had a "massively over-inflated ego". This was not true, he was certainly arrogant but he was not pompous, and he could at times be very aggressive. (10) In any case, coming from a man who claims on no good evidence to have all but single-handedly saved the Western world from an imminent race war of megalithic proportions, this is an incredible statement to make. It should be added that McLaughlin's commitment to the National Socialist movement was total, as was his sincerity, or if he was not entirely sincere, then he certainly gave a very good impression of sincerity. The same commitment was, and indeed still is, shown by BNP Führer John Tyndall. (11)

On page 122, Hill states that the Party HQ had been in Jordan's home in Coventry and that the shop was in Chester. The shop was most certainly not in Chester; rather the premises were in Shotton, Deeside, over the top of a mini-cab service. The rest of the building belonged to Arthur Calland, one of the Movement's godfathers, (perhaps sugar daddy would be a better word). (12)

The claim further up this page, that racially motivated attacks are covertly encouraged by the leaderships of all right wing leaders is a transparent lie, and one that was undoubtedly furnished by the vicious, *Aryan*-hating Jewish "anti-fascist" Gerry Gable.

On page 124, Hill (Gable?) gloats over the jailing of Robert Relf for the heinous crime of advertising his home "For sale - to a white family only". A

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

contemporary report in the *Times* at the time of this "controversy" rendered this "for sale to an *English* family only", (my italics). (13) While there is no denying that Relf was (and undoubtedly still is) a bigot of the most appalling sort, this misses the point entirely. The whole framework of Draconian race laws amounts to a gross violation of individual rights. And for Hill's manipulators, they are still not strong enough.

On page 127, Hill says that "[McLaughlin] had set up in a two-room office suite above a mini-cab firm on Chester Road East".

This is untrue; the building was massive and at least eight of its ten or so rooms were used by the Party. (14)

Earlier, on page 126, Hill says that when he wrote to McLaughlin at the behest of *Searchlight*, McLaughlin, knowing his reputation, wrote back almost immediately welcoming his application and inviting him down to the BM's HQ to discuss the contribution he might make. In reality, McLaughlin was extremely reserved about Hill and didn't trust him at all. (15) When Hill visited McLaughlin, he found, he claims, (page 127) "a pig-sty; uncleaned, furnished with junk furniture and decorated only, but predictably, with portraits and busts of Hitler and other nazi heroes."

This is completely untrue, there were no busts of Hitler or of anyone else. What there was, was a Rhodesian Independence flag on the wall. The library room - but not the office - had one eagle and swastika wall plaque and an oil painting of Arnold Leese; there were, perhaps surprisingly, no portraits of the Führer, but not all today's "Nazis" are totally obsessed with Hitler, indeed, it is possible to be both pro-Nazi and anti-Hitler; it should never be forgotten that Hitler had rivals and enemies within the Nazi Party and that some even tried to kill him. The office was very cramped, with lots of shelves full of books, files etc., (16) but the same can be said of many offices of political and non-political organisations.

Hill claims too that security precautions were virtually non-existent. Again, this is untrue. If the electronic security device, enormous door with huge steel bolts, shatterproof glass and inner doors with plates on them do not constitute security then what does? There was also special glass in the front window overlooking the street. (17) Nor is the claim that the place was "ankle-deep in unsold nazi literature" strictly accurate. Many publishers' offices are awash with back issues of magazines and the like, as anyone who has ever visited one will

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

know. In reality, there was stuff stacked in the corridor due to lack of space. (18)

Still on page 127, Hill claims that McLaughlin greeted him with the words, "Mr Hill, I presume. I'm Mike McLaughlin - the Leader." People who knew him at this time will tell you, dear reader, that this was not McLaughlin's style. McLaughlin is said to have launched into a bitter tirade against the police, accusing them of being "the puppets of a treasonable regime." This sort of language is of course more often the rhetoric of the extreme left, however, McLaughlin does seem to have had a point. He had indeed recently lost his driving licence. McLaughlin claimed in an internal bulletin at the time that the police had lain in wait for him. (19) This is almost certainly true too; McLaughlin's telephone had been bugged, probably by Special Branch, and he was convinced, again probably correctly, that there had been collusion between Special Branch and the local police to stitch him up. (20)

Hill's claim on page 128 that he was promoted almost immediately to "Area Leader" is another bare-faced lie (21) and one that is refuted by his letter of expulsion, (see Appendix A). McLaughlin is said to have concluded that Reed-Herbert's BDP was "a locally-based flash in the pan". This is obviously a far more accurate assessment of Reed-Herbert than Hill's, that he was some kind of latter-day Führer.

McLaughlin is said to have made no mention of expenses. The reality of course is that as a general rule, all political activists of the extreme right and extreme left meet their expenses out of their own pockets. Although, paradoxically, the left has always been far better funded than the right, no one on the left really expects to get paid either. When you're fighting for race and nation against the international Jewish conspiracy, or for the oppressed peoples of the Earth against the fiendish capitalists, ruling class and their fascist lackeys, you expect to have to make some sacrifices. Hill of course was long used to being paid for his services, but selling one's soul to Organised Jewry hardly counts as idealism.

Still on page 128, Hill claims to have recruited a couple of dozen former National Front supporters to the BM in no time at all. Again, refer to Appendix A for an alternative interpretation of his runaway success.

One need not devote too much space to Hill's account of his Leicester BM activities, but it is worth mentioning Peter Marriner. Said to be the British Movement's Birmingham organiser, in September 1971, Marriner was jailed for

twelve months for stealing money from two homosexuals while engaging in indecent acts with them in public lavatories. Not the first time, either. He was active in the National Front in the Midlands area. (22) Marriner featured on the front cover of an early issue of *Searchlight*. (23) It remains to be seen whether or not Marriner was a Nazi who infiltrated the Labour Party, a Labourite who infiltrated the Nazi movement, or one of the weird characters one finds in extremist politics who joins every outfit under the sun. But it has been suggested elsewhere that Marriner had been passing information on to Special Branch about both the extreme right and the extreme left and that *Searchlight* was aware of this. (24) People with bizarre sexual practices such as Marriner's are often recruited - blackmailed? - by the intelligence community, to pass on information about "subversives".

One thing is for sure though, BNP Führer John Tyndall, whose loathing of homosexuality is well documented, would have had no truck with Marriner if his sexual proclivities had been known, and neither would almost anyone else on the extreme right. Whatever their other policies, *homophobia* is one of the few virtues all genuine "Nazis" share.

On page 130, Hill claims that he pulled a stunt on the *Leicester Mercury*. This is indeed true. (25)

On page 133, Hill recounts his fantasy of deposing Michael McLaughlin as British Movement leader and on page 134 attempts to put some flesh on this skeleton by claiming that at the October 1980 Welling demonstration/march, he deputised for McLaughlin. "McLaughlin...phoned me to ask if I would stand in for him at the head of the demonstration."

This is yet another outright lie, for while it is true that McLaughlin couldn't attend, Hill did not "deputise" for him, he simply went along and, in his usual, forceful, arrogant, exhibitionist-style, made himself out to be a major player. (26) Certainly none of the British Movement Leader Guard were impressed with him. (27) Hill says that he was photographed giving the Nazi salute, a claim which is borne out by the photograph reproduced in the book between pages 160 and 161, although he appears to be waving more than Sieg Heiling. Also in these plates is a photo of Hill waving a piece of paper "as agitator for the South African National Front".

Assuming that on these occasions at least, the camera did not lie, one is entitled to compare this with the claim Hill makes on page 47, "To this day, however, I am still partially disabled...I cannot swivel my arm from the elbow."

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

One does not need to swivel one's arm in order to give the Nazi salute, or in Mr Hill's case, to punch someone in the face, but in view of his consistent lying, one is entitled, indeed obliged, to question every claim he makes. Indeed, lying is about the only thing Mr Hill has ever done consistently.

Page 134: McLaughlin's commendation of Hill for his speech and conduct at the meeting need not be seen as an endorsement of Hill's claims, only of politeness, of which even Nazis are capable. There were closer to 500 people on this march rather than the 200 "skinheads" Hill claims. And whether or not he "led" the march, ie was at the front, he was most definitely *not* in charge. (28)

On pages 136-7, Hill states that, "By the time [McLaughlin] took over he could see the larger NF starting to slip and BM beginning to pick up momentum."

McLaughlin took over in 1975. The BM began to pick up momentum in 1979, after the General Election and the NF internal split; they had been gaining members before, but only "took off" then.

On pages 137-8, Hill claims the British Movement wanted an election for a new leader. This is not true. (29) Page 138: on the Paddington march, there were at least 1,000 marchers, not 500 as Hill claims. (30) Afterwards, the police waded into them, something which the "fascist" police have often done to "red" demonstrators. Hill also claims that "Faced with persistent questioning from...Bryan Gould" Albert Chambers "turned his back and fled into the crowd."

He did not, rather he told Gould to piss off, and stronger, turned his back on him and walked off. (31) Hill's claim that there was a lot of chanting and clapping for him at the end of his address, (page 139), is another bare-faced lie. (32)

Page 141 records Hill's expulsion from the British Movement, which, he says, caused a furore among the membership. He claims to have had the backing of Tony Malski, the military fantasist he was later to implicate in the mythical Notting Hill Carnival bomb plot in much the same way he tried to set up his old friend the gullible John Grand Scrutton in the Leicester gun dealing farce.

On pages 144-5, Hill refers to the "majority of London organizers, hard-core activists like Mark Thomas, Nicky Crane, Steve and Matt Morgan, Glen Bennett and John Barton..."

Steve and Matt Morgan were not organisers, and Nicky Crane was in prison at this time. (33) Barton was a member of the Leader Guard and had joined the Foreign Legion. (34)

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

Page 145: "I now had the support of Colin Jordan, most BM organizers and about half of the membership."

This is pure fantasy, Hill did not have the support of Jordan, of most organisers or of the Leader Guard, and certainly not half the membership. (35) He claims too to have had the support of the League of St George. So what?

"It took almost two years...but there can be no doubt that BM's slide into oblivion, with its disbandment formally announced by McLaughlin in September 1983, began at this point."

The British Movement did not slide into oblivion and it did not disband. McLaughlin did not announce any such thing in September 1983. He withdrew in September 1984 and the Movement was taken over by the 1984 Committee. (36)

Hill did indeed issue a writ against McLaughlin as he claims, but he was in no way responsible for the break up of the British Movement. As to Dr Goebbels' advice about the big lie, (page 146), Hill shows precisely how profound is his ignorance of National Socialism. (37) It was not Goebbels but Hitler who mentioned the Big Lie technique, and he did not advocate it, but accused the Jews of using it! And, if he had accused only Jewish (read Zionist) leaders and Jewish "anti-fascists" instead of the Jewish people as a whole, he would have been entirely vindicated.

Part 10: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 8

Page 148: Hill claims, "In the New Year of 1981, ... [the] British Movement, as an organization, was clearly on the skids and no useful purpose would be served by devoting time and energy to a protracted struggle with McLaughlin for readmission or for the leadership."

Then why did he? His and Gable's behaviour is as erratic as *Searchlight* is error-prone. At this point, it was decided too to make overtures to future BNP Führer John Tyndall. In view of the trail of havoc he had left behind him and rumours which had filtered back from South Africa, Tyndall, in retrospect, undoubtedly realises he should have given Hill the bum's rush. But we all make mistakes, and doubtless he won't be conned so easily next time. (1)

On page 152, Hill gives an utterly unconvincing account of an attack on an elderly Asian man by two members of the British Movement, an attack which he claims he witnessed. At first I was tempted to dismiss this attack as yet another of his manipulator's fantasies, but further enquiries revealed that it did in fact happen, and that the perpetrators, two men named Bentley and Hawes, were in fact subsequently jailed for a similar offence. However, more than one person I have interviewed, who knew him at this time, has told me that Hill was always bragging about engaging in such attacks, and indeed that he often incited them, so this seems like yet another case of Mr Hill being in the right place with the right people at the right time. (See also Part 14 and the author's interview with Keith Thompson).

Page 159: Hill claims that Tyndall quit the National Front in 1981. Dear oh dear, can't he get anything right? On leaving the National Front, Tyndall formed the New National Front, which was inaugurated 22nd June 1980. (2) On page 160, Hill admits/boasts of earlier threatening Martin Webster with violence, with intent apparently. As this is to some extent corroborated by Hill's appalling record of thuggery, we can take this claim at face value. But why would anyone want to threaten a nice boy like Martin Webster? (3)

On page 162, Hill claims that Glen Bennett, a British Movement organiser, was jailed for attacking an Asian man with an axe. Much as we deplore racially motivated violence, we would like to point out that Mr Bennett actually attacked a West Indian. But they all look alike, don't they, Ray?

Page 163: Hill refers to the Smithfield rally and a WISE (4) meeting. This rally and the meeting were not held in October as claimed, but in July, and he has no excuse for getting this wrong as not only did he speak at the rally but a photo of him making his speech appeared in the September issue of *Searchlight*! (5)

Also on page 165, Hill claims that he turned up at a meeting with, among others, Martin Haine from Brighton, and Paul Gallagher, both of whom he described as British Movement members. In reality, by this time, Martin Haine had been out of the British Movement for about three years and Paul Gallagher (said to be an organiser) also was no longer a member. (6) Much of what Hill says about internal British Movement politics has clearly been embroidered. For example, on page 170 he claims that McLaughlin was "furious at the swathe of members I had poached from him..." This is simply not true. (7)

Page 169: Hill refers to a speech he made at the inaugural BNP demonstration/march/rally. In this, Hill claims he used the phrase: "...when sub-humans riot in our streets". If this is a reference to blacks, what is usually termed *racist* language, then this is clearly Hill acting as *agent provocateur*. Lady Birdwood, whom Hill refers to on page 168 as a "veteran racist campaigner", would never dream of using such language, and indeed, most people on the far right and in the extreme right of the Conservative Party, do not hold such offensive opinions of either blacks or Asians or Jews, they simply do not want to be forced to integrate with non-whites.

Referring to an anonymous leaflet allegedly published by McLaughlin, Hill claims on pages 170-1 that he boasted of his conviction for violent assault in Leicester (on Jewish café owner Peter Josephs) whom he libelled, calling him "a communist Jew". He added, that if the perpetrator of the smear were in the hall, "I'll soon have another conviction."

So here we have Michael McLaughlin, a committed National Socialist, denouncing Hill for committing a criminal act against a Jew, while Hill, who by his own admission was working for the Zionist-controlled magazine *Searchlight*, boasts not only that he is proud of assaulting a totally innocent Jewish man, but that he will gladly assault another man, albeit a *goy*. This does tend to reflect rather better on the Nazi than on the Zionist-sponsored *agent provocateur* and is further proof, if further proof were needed, that it is neither the Nazi nor the anti-Semite - real or imagined - who is the real enemy of the Jewish people, but

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

the lowlife of their own race. And they don't come any lower than the *Searchlight* team and their *shabbez goyim*.

Also on page 171, Hill claims that at the BNP rally Charles Parker spoke after him, actually Parker spoke before him. (8)

On page 175, Hill describes Tyndall as "weak, vacillating, pompous and arrogant..." Pompous and arrogant, yes, but weak? No. And JT, whatever his political convictions or however absurd beliefs about the Jews, can hardly be described as vacillating.

On pages 175-6, Hill and his manipulators try to smear the right wing Conservative Alan Clark. Clark is said to share "common views on many questions" with Führer Tyndall. In reality, so does Gerry Gable. Both Gable and Tyndall would probably condemn cruelty to animals for example. Tyndall is also a strong advocate of the death penalty for murder; most of the population believes in the death sentence for murder - though not the current writer - so on this basis, most if not all of us can be smeared as fascist sympathisers or fellow travellers. Confirmation of Clark's alleged fascist sympathies is found in his "Bongo Bongo Land" comment of three years later, (page 177). This is too ridiculous for words; if that makes Clark a fascist then comedian Bernard Manning is a latter day Streicher.

On page 179, Hill claims that Greville Janner was chairman of the Board of Deputies of British Jews. Actually, he was President. Hill claims it is absurd to believe that anyone who is both Jewish and holds public office must owe their first loyalty to Israel. This is not an absurd belief at all. There are many Jewish MPs and holders of public office whose loyalty to Britain cannot be questioned: Michael Howard the current Home Secretary, Thatcher fall-guy Leon Brittan and Defence Secretary Malcolm Rifkind to name but three, but there have been and remain others whose loyalty to Israel and Organised Jewry clearly transcends their loyalty to Britain, the late Lord Fisher, for example, himself a President of the Board of Deputies of "British" Jews. (9)

On page 184, Hill dates the death of Sir Oswald Mosley as 1981. Actually, Mosley died on December 3rd, 1980; his obituary appeared in the press the following day. (10) On the same page, the League of St George is said to have "set itself the task of keeping alive the spirit of genuine national socialism." Now, this may be true, but if it is, then National Socialism and anti-Semitism do not necessarily go hand in hand as Hill's manipulators would have us believe, because the future Mrs Gable was once a member of this "Nazi fan club."

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

In issue 10 of *League Review*, the League's theoretical journal, the then Sonia Hochfelder published *No More Wars Between Brothers*, (11) an article which included the following quote: "The enemies of the White Race operate on an international scale and will continue to dictate to us if we fight amongst ourselves." (12) And, two years later, C. Horner wrote to the journal complaining thus: "Dear Sir, I am heartily sick and tired of Jew S. Hochfelder's ceaseless efforts to force this troublesome tribe of self *chosen* people down our throats...Must their infiltration, whining and take-over be put up with even in the last bastions of white-racial circles?" (13) So, this was not a case of Sonia the mole, because her ethnic origins were known all along. What's a nice Jewish girl like you doing rubbing shoulders with these wicked Nazis? Don't you know they're all anti-Semitic?

Part 11: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 9

Chapter 7 runs from pages 182-201 and is called *Safe Housing Conspiracy*. Larry O'Hara, who has interviewed many people on the far right - something the current writer has not done - has published his analysis of this, and other goings-on in several issues of the parapolitics magazine *Lobster*. (1) Though it is true that wanted Italian fugitives were indeed "safe-housed" in Britain, it appears that they turned up unexpected, and probably unwanted, after the 1980 Bologna station bombing.

At 10.25am on 2nd August 1980, a bomb exploded at Bologna railway station which resulted in 85 deaths and 200 injuries. This was the worst terrorist atrocity in Europe since the end of World War Two, and, because it was laid at the door of the fascist right rather than of the "liberation" movements of the left, it attracted considerable outrage. (2)

Although the extreme left made considerable political capital out of the Bologna bombing, it was never cleared up and the involvement of fascists has never been conclusively proved. Writing in the 1991 book *PUPPETMASTERS*, Philip Willan noted: "More than a decade later very little is known for certain about the bombing. What is clear, however, is that the Italian secret services worked hard to prevent judicial investigators from uncovering the truth." (3)

On July 14, 1988, four right wing extremists were convicted of and jailed for life for the atrocity, however, on July 18, 1990, their convictions were quashed. (4) In his lie-ridden book and the film of the same name, Hill - at the behest of his puppetmasters - does his best to implicate all and sundry in the Bologna bombing. In view of subsequent developments, above, Hill's lies are self-evident.

On September 26, 1980, a bomb exploded at the Munich Oktoberfest killing thirteen people and injuring over two hundred. Hill also tries to rope his Nazi colleagues into this, as we shall see later.

The *Ray Hill* story, parts 1 & 2 featured in *Searchlight* shortly after his "coming out". (5) Hill was said to have been introduced to "The Terror Network" by Anthony "Teflon Tony" Hancock. (6) Hill was said then to have been a trusted leader of the British Movement and on the strength of this to have been invited down to the Hancock family home in Brighton. This was "early in 1981". (7) We will return to this article later when we discuss the mythical bomb plot.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

The Ray Hill story part 2, published in the June 1984 issue of *Searchlight* again refers to Hill's twelve years in South Africa; we have already established that he arrived there on New Year's Day 1970 and returned, with the police in hot pursuit, in April 1979. The extradition proceedings for the wanted Italians were said to have been bungled, but the reality is that these men were not considered highly dangerous, and they are still "at large", and although they may not be the most desirable of immigrants - racism aside - it should be pointed out that there is no evidence that they have been responsible for any terrorist outrages, or indeed anything more outrageous than earning a living as tour guides and waiters in pizza restaurants. Such lifestyles are totally out of keeping with the image of bank robbing, extortion and other such nonsense which "fascist" fanatics are said to engage in to fund their terror and hate campaigns.

In his aforementioned *Lobster* article, Larry O'Hara, who interviewed, among others, Steve Brady, presented a much more plausible view of the safe-housing "conspiracy". According to Brady, Roberto Fiore turned up at his flat one morning late in 1980 at 6am asking for help. He was the vanguard of "a couple of dozen" others who had fled in the wake of Bologna. (8) The Italian authorities had started a mass round up of rightists, and Fiore et al, fearing they would be set up, decided, sensibly or otherwise, to do a bunk. Brady and others helped them out. The Italians protested their innocence, as they might well have been expected to do. The idea that they might have been fitted up by the Italian government, or more likely the Secret State, may not have carried a lot of weight then, but we know better now.

In this country, since the Bologna bombing, we have seen the successful appeals of the Birmingham Six and Guildford Four - convictions which predate Bologna - and many other cases in which the police have, with no political motive, fitted up innocent men, beaten them, faked evidence and confessions and suppressed evidence that would have led to their acquittal. The Birmingham Six was a particularly disgraceful case, six men falsely convicted of monstrous crimes and spending sixteen years in jail. This and the other Irish terrorist cases resulted in a number of police officers being charged with conspiring to pervert the course of justice, but the trials collapsed and not one police officer was ever brought to book for these terrible miscarriages of justice, even though the Birmingham Six case alone involved a massive cover-up and conspiracy by more than a dozen police officers. In the light of all this, and the fact that the only people convicted of the Bologna bombing were later cleared, it is not too

imaginative to believe that the fugitive Italians genuinely feared the same kind of thing might have happened to them.

This aside, the strongest evidence of "Nazi" involvement in safe-housing came not from Hill but from the arrest of two German fugitives at the home of Major Ian Souter-Clarence, (cited by Hill on pages 197-8). This was in 1983, and again no one was prosecuted for wilfully harbouring wanted terrorists.

A few words may be in order here on Roberto Fiore. On page 294, Hill points out that he was convicted *in absentia* of terrorist offences. In reality, the "crime" Fiore was convicted of - on the word of a "grass" - was *subversive association*, a law passed by the government of Benito Mussolini to outlaw communism. This is indeed ironic; Fiore has since won a number of libel actions against the Italian media, and most of his accusers are now in prison for corruption. (9)

Returning to the book, back on pages 192-3, much is made of a company called Heritage Tours which was being run by leading National Front members. "...it was suspected of raising funds for right-wing activities." Why only suspected? Any legitimate business or employment right wingers are engaged in can be and probably is used for this purpose, ditto left wingers, Christians, spiritualists, model railway enthusiasts. So what and big deal?

On page 194, Hill says that he went to Diksmuide with League of St George members in 1980. This was also reported in the *News of the World*, which ran the headline *WE EXPOSE THE NEW NASTY NAZIS*. (10) In this article, Hill is said to have been a leading member of the National Front. In reality, Hill's attempt to renew his membership of the Front in 1980 was rejected and he had formally resigned from the NF "by March 1980". (11) [If I appear to be jumping about all over the place it is because I am following Hill's major storyline - in *The Other Face of Terror*]. Also in this article, Hill is said to be 31. Hill was of course born in 1939, not 1949.

The reporters claim to have seen "young Britons in swastika armbands beating up blacks." At Diksmuide? This is obviously part and parcel of the media fantasy about wicked Nazis. This is not to say that such things never happen, but certainly nothing like this happened here. It would be interesting to speculate how much if any of this story came from the *Searchlight* cabal.

What Hill doesn't mention though is that the following year when he claimed to have led a delegation of British Movement members to Diksmuide, he was disowned by the British Movement! According to a contemporary account published in the *Leicester Mercury*, Hill led an eighteen strong British Movement

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

party to the festival. McLaughlin was quoted by the paper as not knowing Hill's whereabouts but being very interested; Hill was said not to have been a member for six months. (12) For his sins, Hill was also said to have threatened a coloured couple in a bar. He denied the claim. (13) An unconfirmed anecdote which was passed on to me by another independent researcher - with whom I have no connection - is that Hill abused a black baby. The child was in a pushchair with one or both of its parents and Hill offered it a banana. When the child took it, Hill started jumping around making ape noises. This, I must stress, is unconfirmed, and it may be that if it happened this was the incident the *Leicester Mercury* was referring to. Whether or not it is true, one can hardly imagine John Tyndall ever behaving in such an outrageous manner. Real Nazis are better bred. It goes without saying that if either of these claims are true, they are to be laid at Hill's door and his alone. Pleading that he was a "mole" is no good. Hill's claim on page 194 that he attended Diksmuide from 1980 to 84 is disputed by at least one very senior member of the British Movement. (14)

One other thing we should take note of here. Hill, who says he never received any expenses from the British Movement, was moving about freely in these circles. Being a convicted thug and thief without any qualifications (15) he would only have been able to obtain menial labour. And he had a wife, daughter and later two sons to support. So who paid for his jaunts to Diksmuide and elsewhere? On page 107, he says that he was offered a job by Reed-Herbert. Which he appears to have taken. This may have gone some way towards defraying his expenses. Before this though, it was reported when he returned to the UK that he had intended to open a night club, and, don't forget, his wife had forfeited £1,500 bail. (16) Assuming he jumped bail with the full R30,000 attributed to him, how long would this have lasted? It is not unreasonable to postulate that Hill had financial backing from somewhere or other, another "couple of Jewish chaps" perhaps? As we shall see presently, he certainly found one Jewish chap to help him out, unfortunately for him, the chap he had in mind thought otherwise, and a very messy court case ensued.

The claim on page 195, that Steve Brady ordered British Movement skinheads to beat up League of St George member Tony Creese is nonsense. Though Creese was beaten up, the idea that British Movement skinheads (or any member of the British Movement) would have taken orders from Steve Brady, is absurd. (17)

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

On page 199, Hill tries to drag in Major Ian Souter-Clarence. "I travelled to Dorset to meet him in October 1983. Arriving unannounced, I turned up at Clarence's house one morning just as the Major was about to go out. The conversation was brief but instructive."

It may have been for Hill, but it certainly wasn't for Souter-Clarence, (18) who had no idea who he was talking to, and suspected Hill of being a reporter. (19) And here we will bring in the film for the first time. *The Other Face of Terror* was a documentary which was shown on Channel Four on March 29, 1984. It was produced (UK end) by Gerry Gable, which means that every claim it makes must be suspect. Directed by Ludi Boeken, it was screened internationally, networked to Holland and France and who knows where else. The credits at the end state that it was produced by Belbo Film Productions for Channel 4 in association with *Searchlight* magazine. (20)

Throughout the film, Hill was "wired up", or his conversations with rightists were recorded and photographed by some other means. Even then, no concrete evidence was adduced of illegal activity, certainly not a single arrest resulted from the film. However, when Hill visited Souter-Clarence, although he was filmed, his conversation with him was *not* recorded, even though he was most definitely wired-up. Clarence, a former SAS officer, is claimed by the *Searchlight* team to be the military commander of Column 88. He is most definitely not. (21) The reason this conversation was not taped - or rather why the tape was buried - is obviously because Clarence didn't say the things Hill and co claimed, (see the book, page 200).

Part 12: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 10

Chapter 8, which begins on page 202, is called *Inside the Terror Network*, and this is undoubtedly the climax of Hill's story. And his lies. On page 204, the French far right party FANE (later known as FNE) is described as "nazi" - ever the small 'n'! If this is true, then the question of "racial purity" or indeed of race generally within the "Nazi" movement is one which needs clarification. It is generally understood that race is the be-all and end-all of National Socialist politics. Actually, it isn't, but race is a fundamental of it. The first plank of the Nazi Party programme reads in part: "The German Reich is the home of the Germans...Formation of a homogeneous national State, embracing all of German race...None but Germans who profess entire community with the spirit and destiny of Germany may exercise the rights of a citizen of the State." (1) One would therefore expect such racial ideology to be a central plank of any French Nazi party. But it is apparently not for FANE because this "Nazi" party has a leading member by the name of Yann Tran Long, who is an ethnic Vietnamese. Neither Hill nor his buddies at *Searchlight* have ever it appears seen anything remotely contradictory about this state of affairs. Either Nazis are not quite as bigoted as they would have us believe, or FANE are not Nazis at all. (2)

On page 204, Hill mentions three bombings on the Continent; (including Bologna, already alluded to). While these bombings did actually take place, and very likely were the work of right wing extremists - Bologna excepted - Hill produces not a shred of evidence in his book that anyone he associated with was connected in any way with them. Although all such bombings are outrages and must be totally condemned, the idea that these were the work of particularly evil Nazis, more so than any other terrorists, does not hold water. The reality is that many extremists will commit crimes in order to further their ideology, including murder. If murder were an essential plank of Nazi ideology, then it might well be singled out as particularly evil, but it is not and never was, Final Solution or no Final Solution. (3)

The fact that Hill was indeed invited to the Hancock's Brighton retreat is proof only that "Nazis" (4) are capable of hospitality the same as the rest of us.

On page 207, Hill claims that Oumow had already had a successful meeting with Tony Malski, leader of the National Socialist Action Party [sic]. So what?

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

In the film of *The Other Face of Terror*, Malski boasted to Hill that the NSAP had a thousand members. I was told that if he had a hundred that was very lucky. (5) Personally I think ten would be a far more realistic estimate. In the film, the conversation went:

Hill: So how's things? How's life?

Malski: All right. Tell you what, our party's going fucking well...just over a thousand members now...fucking good. We're having our first meeting in London in the next four months. It'll be a private meeting, all wearing the uniform.

And, like this conversation, it will take place in a phone box, no doubt. (6)

Page 208: Hill claims that Malski trusted him so much that he even asked him to become the leader of his NSAP. This does not have the ring of truth, Führers never ask other people to lead for them.

Now comes the bomb plot proper. Hill travelled to Paris, presumably again at his own expense, where he met the non-Aryan Nazi Yann Tran Long. Pages 210-11: on his first evening in Paris, he claims, his "Nazi" hosts gave him a taste of things to come when, without warning - or silencers - they began taking pot shots at pigeons on a neighbour's roof. "I fully expected the police to come banging on the door at any moment, but, to my amazement, none did." As Yann had been arrested on several occasions (page 210), this scenario seems extremely unlikely. And of course, it never happened. Not content with this, they - seven of them - took him out in two cars with guns and petrol bombs. They then firebombed a Jewish restaurant (7) and beat up two passers-by whom they had decided were Jewish. Obviously if such events had actually happened they would have long since been documented in *Searchlight*, and arrests made. As *Searchlight* never carried any such report, this whole incident is almost certainly another colossal fabrication. (8) The account given in Hill's book is also significantly different from the *News of the World's* version. In this, which was published four years earlier, Hill claims that: "Suddenly they pulled up outside a cafe and ran in. Within minutes they'd wrecked the joint, smashing the front window." (9)

No mention here of firebombs, which surely a sensationalist gutter rag like the *News of the World* would have reported. Obviously they were added subsequently to beef up the tale. Also, the two Jewish-looking passersby who were

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

attacked in the book become "Two Jewish people inside [who] were given a heavy going over." (10)

Returning to Yann Tran Long, another point to note about this undeniably sinister character is that his flat was said to be "a safehouse for wanted killers". (11) This conflicts with the claim in the book (above, page 210), that Yann had been arrested several times. Presumably the police knew his address, and that he was an arms dealer; this makes his flat an unlikely, indeed absurd, safehouse for anyone on the run, much less "wanted killers". The status of Tran Long's arms dealing is not clear, but presumably he was not entirely outside the law or he would not just have been arrested but serving a long prison term.

The bomb plot was also reported in *Searchlight*, the *Daily Mirror* - where the story first appeared - the *News of the World*, and the film, so we have five versions to check against one another. We should take into account that there will be five slightly different versions, and we should not be too skeptical about minutae. It is inevitable whenever stories are retold or when different people witness the same phenomenon that such differences will occur. (12) But there are limits.

In the book, Hill, who had travelled to Paris by boat train and then by car to Clichy, was treated like "an honoured guest" at the Hitler birthday party, (page 212), the ostensible reason for the meeting. While struggling through a massive meal the "fingerless character" (13) sitting next to him suggested that Britain's "Nazis" arrange another Bologna. In the film, he says he was approached by people who were not English, who asked him if anyone would be interested in staging an English Bologna or Munich, while the *News of the World* article attributed the plot to Tony Malski, or at least Malski is said to have attributed it to Malski. (14) As the person who planted the bomb was supposed to go up with it, if there is any truth at all in Malski's claim, one can only assume that he left the minutae of the plot to someone else! *Searchlight*, May 1984, page 11, refers to "Those proposing the idea", which indicates that the mythical plot was put to Hill by more than one party.

When the story first appeared, in the *Daily Mirror*, July 21, 1981, there was of course no mention of Hill, instead it was claimed that the plot had been discovered by "Special Branch officers", (page 1). (15) They were said to have infiltrated the group and to be "still investigating the men behind the plot." This does not tally with the current writer's "informants".

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

In reply to a written enquiry, Mark Taha received the following personal communication dated 24 November 1992 from Miss A.M. Enright of the Directorate of Public Affairs, Room 1334, New Scotland Yard.

"Thank you for your recent letter which has been passed to me for reply.

"I have made some enquiries on your behalf and unfortunately must inform you that I have been unable to find any information or evidence of a plot to bomb the 1981 August Bank Holiday Notting Hill Carnival.

"I am sorry that I cannot be of assistance."

The following year, the current writer received a reply to a similar written enquiry asking for more detailed information. In a letter dated 28th October 1993, Superintendent Ken Day of Special Branch wrote:

"Allegations concerning Tony MALSKI and the plot to bomb the 1981 Notting Hill Carnival were contained in the News of the World of the 12th July 1981 and the Daily Mirror of the 21st July 1981...An article in SEARCHLIGHT of September 1981 claimed that SEARCHLIGHT's exposé of MALSKI had set back his intentions. It is therefore possible that the newspaper reports were based on material supplied by SEARCHLIGHT."

The 12th July article in the *News of the World* does not relate to the bomb plot but instead to Malski's other activities. This is worth taking a look at. The article, which appeared on page 7, actually had the strange title *THE CROSSBOW RIOT KIDS SET DEADLY MENACE*, (16) and was part of a more extensive on-going smear on the British far right by the Sunday gutter press. For example, the story on page one of the same issue related to the activities of "evil" Edith Glastra of the British Movement. The article on Malski claimed that the far right were arming themselves with crossbows - as were the IRA. This is the first and indeed only time I have heard of the IRA using crossbows, but the *News of the World*, like *Searchlight*, is accustomed to printing any old rubbish.

Malski was less concerned with crossbows than with starting riots and putting in the windows of Asian corner shops, but like everything else about this military fantasist, he was all mouth no trousers. Thankfully. He boasted here and has,

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

reputedly, boasted on a number of other occasions about his being suspected of being some kind of terrorist. Special Branch were said to have searched his home for weapons on a number of occasions; he obviously gets some sort of sexual thrill out of this sort of thing. At best he is a windbag, at worst, a thoroughly nasty piece of work. (17)

When I asked Superintendent Day his opinion about the bomb plot he replied, diplomatically, that the media are interested in stories, the police are only interested in facts. He said further that if the bomb plot had been taken seriously, they'd have set up a massive operation to deal with it. (18) Notwithstanding the warning given to Malski (see later), the involvement of Special Branch in this operation appears to be a fantasy.

Returning to the plot proper, the "ideological" motivation for such a bombing is more than a little dubious. As we haven't had one, and hopefully never will, it is difficult to predict what would be the actual public reaction, but certainly outrage would not be too strong a word. This is something which surely everyone on the *racist* right realises, the odd racial attack and murder brings far greater outrage and proportionately far more condemnation than even the most heinous of IRA bombings. (19) But the mischievous, *Aryan*-hating Jewish "anti-fascists" of *Searchlight* and their *goy* fellow travellers, would see such bombings as justification for the outlawing of all the parties and similar organisations of the *racist* right. Who benefits?

Page 213: According to Hill here, the fingerless Frenchman gave him what amounted to a shopping list for the Notting Hill bomb: explosives, detonators and timing devices; a fifteen kilogram bomb would cost around £500. The idea of bombing Brixton was mooted and the assembled Nazis drank a toast to "Bologna!" Again, we can detect the hidden hand of Gerry Gable behind this cameo, for this is exactly the way the Jewish stereotype of Nazis act in his fantasy world. Alex Oumow said of this man, whose name Hill never found out, that he was perfectly sincere: "he can deliver..." Oumow is said then to have instructed Hill that the man who planted the bomb must go up with it. He must make sure that "...whoever plants the device is dispensable." That would certainly apply to Tony Malski, who would be no great loss to the Nazi movement, the *Aryan* race or the human race, but the NSAP sap wasn't mentioned at this stage. Hill does though attempt to implicate Oumow in the Munich massacre.

The following day, Hill and co allegedly went out for another "bit of fun". On page 214, he claims they travelled to an unnamed Jewish college in the centre

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

of Paris - there must be many of those - and tried to provoke Jewish students by handing out leaflets. The only Jewish college I have been able to locate in central Paris is the Collège des Etudes Juives, which is based at 45 rue La Bruyère, 75009 Paris. This is run by the Alliance Israélite Universelle, an organisation which figured heavily in the lunatic fringe conspiracy literature of the last part of the Nineteenth Century and the first part of the Twentieth.

The plan was then to firebomb them and fire down on them with .22 ammunition. (20) A few shots were allegedly fired, and two petrol bombs were thrown, which caused considerable panic. This is not the sort of incident that would have been reported in the *Times*, or even in *Le Monde*, but if it did indeed happen there will surely have been a report of it filed somewhere, if only at the local police station. Getting access to British police records is difficult enough, but if anyone wants to chase this up with the French police, I'll wager a pound to a penny there is no record of any such incident. Significantly, this incident is not mentioned in the film, nor was it mentioned in the May 1984 issue of *Searchlight*, nor in any issue of the magazine for the whole of 1981. This is further evidence, if not final proof, that it happened only in Hill's and or Gable's lurid and perverted imaginations. However, it does not end there: in December 1993, the current writer wrote to the following French publications: *Le Figaro*, *France Soir*, *International Herald Tribune*, *Le Monde*, *Le Parisienne*, and *Nouveau Detective*. (21) Disappointingly, the only reply I received was a short, handwritten missive from *Le Monde* which was translated as follows:

Dear Sir,

In reply to your letter, *Le Monde* has absolutely no record of the incident to which you refer.

Yours very truly,
(signature illegible)

I also wrote to the Alliance Israélite Universelle, and on 7 March 1994, I receive a reply from the *Bibliothécaire*, Jean-Claude Kuperminc. His letter, which was translated for me that same day by a slightly younger lady than Jane Birdwood, reads as follows:

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

Sir,

Following your letter of the 2nd February 1994, we searched in the Jewish press for traces of the anti-Semitic happenings of April 1981 that you mentioned.

Unfortunately, we have not found any articles confirming your hypothesis.

We beg you to agree, Sir, on the assurance of our distinguished salutations.

Far from failing to confirm my hypothesis, this polite letter from a well-bred French Jew - as opposed to Gable, a gutter-bred "British" Jew - confirmed it 100%. The shooting incident mentioned by Hill never happened. This was yet another example of his manipulators' unconditional racial hatred.

Page 215: Oumow is said to have suggested to Hill that Malski would be the ideal person to collect the bomb parts, and to explode the bomb. Page 216: the day after Hill returned to England he phoned Malski and dropped a hint that he should contact Oumow, obviously not talking too directly on account of the possibility of his phone being tapped. This conflicts with the *News of the World's* claim that Malski already knew about the plot and claimed it as his own. Also in this article, Hill was apparently told that Malski, in addition to knowing about the plot, had been picked by the leader of FNE, Marc Frederiksen. (22)

Another blatant lie in the same article is that Hill was responsible for "fingering" Rod Roberts, the Birmingham British Movement activist who was jailed for seven years for firearms and other offences. (23) The truth is that Roberts was "fingered" by routine police enquiries. (24)

Back on page 216 of the book, Malski phoned Hill and said: "We're going to do Notting Hill," and, just to make sure that there can be no doubt about what he meant, he added, "We've already got the geli, all we need now are the detonators. We'll give them a fucking carnival to remember."

As Larry O'Hara points out, "...despite carrying around a microphone for months (years?) on end, prior to his breaking cover, Hill did not manage to secure one incontrovertible admission on tape from anyone allegedly involved in the plot." (25) It is difficult to believe that Hill's phone was not tapped by his *Searchlight* buddies at this time, so where is the tape of this phone call? It does not exist for the obvious reason that Malski never said any such thing. Compare

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

this with the earlier claim re Souter-Clarence; all the most incriminating admissions occur off tape, again, for the obvious reason that they are manufactured in *Searchlight's* office.

So, being horrified by the plot to bomb the Carnival and open fire on the predominantly black crowds with snipers to further stir things up, Hill arranges with his buddies for Special Branch to be tipped off as Malski travels to Paris to get the "gear". There is little or no doubt that Malski did intend to collect something, but "gear" can mean just about anything. It remains to be seen too why any British Nazi group should need to travel to Paris to collect detonators, especially if they are as well armed as *Searchlight* would have us believe, and if they have such strong "links" - in Gable's words - with "Loyalist" terrorists in Northern Ireland. After all, isn't Steve Brady a well known liaison man with the Protestant murder squads?

So, Malski went off to Paris, but instead of watching him and picking him up with the "gear" on the way back, Special Branch warned him not to do anything silly on the way out. This was revealed in the film version of *The Other Face of Terror*, but Hill claims not to have known at the time, so the story of the fictitious bomb plot was fed to the *Daily Mirror* by *Searchlight* as a further precaution. As Malski himself admitted to being warned by Special Branch, (page 278), we can be fairly certain that he was indeed warned, but we have no way of knowing what he was collecting. (26) It should also be borne in mind that Malski was an ex-Territorial, and if he were as interested in guns, bombs and explosives as we are led to believe - and he probably was - it is quite likely that, if he didn't know how to make detonators himself, he would know where to obtain them. Going all the way to Paris and bringing them back through customs does not make sense. This has all the ingredients of a typical *Searchlight* frame-up: poorly researched, ill-thought out, and trying desperately to involve as many "Nazis" as possible. And, even if Malski had been collecting detonators, we have no way of knowing if there really was a plot to bomb the Notting Hill Carnival. It is not totally inconceivable that Hill, who was less a mole than an *agent provocateur*, tried to set up this obviously none too bright "Nazi" as he clearly did with others, in his stint with the South African National Front, for example.

In fact, it is not impossible that the idea for the bomb plot did in fact come from Malski in the first place. The grain of truth in the story may be as follows:

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

Malski, who even in the cranky world of "Nazis", military fantasists, anti-Jewish propagandists and anti-black bigots, is regarded as a nutter, was in the pub one day knocking back a few pints when he said something like: "The niggers are 'avin' their carnival soon. I wouldn't half like to plant a bomb in the middle of that and blow half the f***** c***s to Kingdom Come. (27)

From here on it was a case of Chinese whispers. By the time it reached *Searchlight*, or rather by the time *Searchlight* had finished embroidering it, the drunken outburst of a comic book Nazi had become a potential mini-*Holocaust*. It goes without saying that somebody made a pretty penny out of the story; it may even have brought in a few more subscriptions. As well as inciting hatred against the wicked *Aryan goyim* of the far right, *Searchlight* was later able to yet again portray its valiant Jewish "anti-fascists" and *goy* fellow travellers as the saviours of Western civilisation, a civilisation they are in fact doing their utmost to destroy.

The attempt to set up the gullible Malski was not the end of this affair; the *Searchlight* team were extremely busy throughout the entire first half of 1981 setting up Reed-Herbert and co in Leicester for the *Guns to the Right* documentary as well. The only bright spot was the death of Maurice Ludmer in May, but they lost no time weeping tears for him and also tried to entrap non-*Aryan* "Nazi" Yann Tran Long. This occurred the following January.

Page 220: Tran Long, who was said to be in Britain for about three months to learn English, was met by Hill outside a Wimpy Bar in Kings Cross. Ironically, this is a well-known haunt of drug pushers and prostitutes. We will resist the temptation to ask which of these is Hill. With the cameras rolling, Hill obtained a "shopping list" from Tran Long of arms which the Vietnamese said he could supply. Hill refers to this in the book as another piece of hard evidence, but Tran Long was an arms dealer, and any arms he did actually supply may well have been legal. In any case, no attempt was made by Tran Long to supply illegal arms to anyone in Britain, and clearly Hill acted yet again as *agent provocateur*. Also, the price list Tran Long supplied, including for sub-machine guns, does not mean that he was actually in a position to supply them, though I am not for one moment suggesting that he was an obvious fantasist like Malski.

Also, on pages 219-20, Hill says that he was informed of Tran Long's presence in the country by Tony Malski. "...Tran Long was in London and wanted to meet

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

me. He gave me a telephone number where I could reach Yann in the evenings. That same evening I contacted Yann..."

This conflicts with the account published in the May 1984 issue of *Searchlight* that "He 'phoned Hill and asked to meet." Also, in the May 1984 version, Tran is said to have claimed that he had brought a box of detonators with him. Although in the film he is supposed to have offered to sell Hill detonators, he does not make any such claim. Four years later there is no mention of this in the book; the only "proof" offered of arms dealings is Tran Long's "shopping list". (28)

There is another thing which doesn't fit in here: in all his meetings with Tran Long, Hill never purchased even a bullet, much less an arms cache. If theirs was some sort of business relationship, surely Tran Long would long since have decided that Hill was, if not an outright time waster, then certainly not much of a potential customer? Most likely he was just lonely and looked forward to seeing a friend with whom he shared - or thought he shared - an ideology. We have already established how Ray Hill treats his friends and what a totally worthless human being he is.

On page 222, Hill repeats the claim that Malski asked him to become Führer of his not-quite-non-existent National Socialist Action Party. He says he cannot understand why Malski remains at liberty; obviously because although he is a head case he has not yet broken the law, in spite of Hill's claim, (page 223) that he lived a charmed life and should rightly be serving a thirty year stretch. Hill claims he and *Searchlight* passed on information to the French government (via French "anti-fascists") which led to the arrest of a Spanish murderer on the run. Again, this is the uncorroborated claim of a proven liar. Hill then drags in Column 88 and says that he was issued with a membership card in 1981.

The current writer has published an appraisal of Column 88 as an appendix to *Searchlight on a Searchliar*. It will suffice here to say that although Les Vaughan was still hanging around the political right in 1981, and years later, he never issued Hill with any sort of membership card because a) Column 88 was dead and buried by the time Hill appeared on the scene; and b) because it never issued membership cards anyway. (29) In the film, Hill flashes a card at the screen, but this is an obvious forgery, and was probably cooked up by Gerry Gable.

Part 13: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 11

We need not devote too much space to Chapter 9, *The Men who Rewrite History*, as this was obviously written in-house. It repeats all the usual lies about Holocaust Revisionism being a plot to rewrite history by the international Nazi conspiracy. Why it's not taboo to believe in Nazi conspiracies but is taboo to believe in Jewish conspiracies has yet to be explained. The fact that the Nazis lost the war is a matter of public record, so surely they must be the weaker group?

On page 226 it is stated that "the first tentative effort to challenge the basis of the Nuremberg retribution, and the facts of the nazis' crimes" appeared only in 1969. This is such complete rubbish that it is hardly worth refuting, but we will refute it all the same. Although before the 1970s the bibliography of Holocaust Revisionism was very thin, there were people who disputed the *truth* of the Holocaust well before then. And, contrary to Zionist propaganda, it hasn't all been the work of Nazis. The German Jew, the late J.G Burg, who gave evidence at the 1988 Zündel trial, published the first of his books in 1962; Paul Rassinier's *Le mensonge d'Ulysse* was published in 1950, (Rassinier was a former concentration camp inmate); and Maurice Bardèche published the first of his books in 1947. The first serious debunking of Holocaust propaganda the current writer has been able to unearth was actually published as long ago as May 1946. (1)

On page 227, the Robin Beauclaire referred to was actually Robin Beauclair. The (now former) Ku Klux Klan leader is actually named David Duke rather than Dukes, but other people also make this error. The claims Hill makes about Anthony Hancocks's regular trips abroad and his non-sectarianism (page 228) are unquestionably true. He even printed for me. (2) For once, Hill errs on the side of caution. His claim that thousands of copies of *Did Six Million Really Die?* have been sold all over the world is far too modest. The actual figure was even then long well over a million. (3)

On page 229, Hill claims that Hancock was sympathetic to the British Movement although he considered Michael McLaughlin to be a figure of ridicule. Whether or not this is true, the following quote is entirely bogus.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

"[The British Movement] contains the nucleus of youngsters who will be indispensable in the forthcoming battle to control the streets. The niggers must be as afraid of our youth as the Jews were of the Brownshirts."

Pure fabrication! Hancock simply does not talk like that. And he is more interested in greenbacks than in Brownshirts. (4) As well as being involved in a bank fraud and escaping prosecution for a passport racket by a whisker, (5) Hancock has also printed for the organised homosexual movement, something which did not go down at all well with hard line National Socialists. (6)

On page 230, the claim is made that Hill discovered who was responsible for the anti-Semitic comic *The Stormer*, which was distributed to schools. The inference is that it was information supplied by him which led to the subsequent *Race Act* prosecutions. Again, this is uncorroborated and should therefore be dismissed. Also on this page, the claim that Hancock once said that a pamphlet he was publishing would expose "the Jewish conspiratorial nature of Christianity" is obviously pure fabrication. Hancock doesn't believe this Jewish conspiracy crap any more than say Richard Verrall. And he is most definitely not any sort of "Nazi", much less an idealist. He is simply running a business. To be scrupulously fair to Teflon Tony though, (and to everyone else on the extreme right), he does stock a number of anti-Nazi books, the runaway best seller *None Dare Call It Conspiracy* for example. (7)

On page 246, an attempt is made to implicate David Irving, not only as a *Holocaust* Revisionist (8) but as one of the crankier anti-Semites. Whatever Irving may think about the Jews, he is too fine a historian to fall for any of the communism is Jewish crap. Indeed, he has stated openly that the Jews who were in charge of Hungary at the time of the Hungarian uprising were put in charge of the country deliberately. (9) In short, the Soviets - like *Searchlight* - ruthlessly exploit anti-Semitism by using Jewish scapegoats, something the Nazis also did, in the Second World War. (10)

Part 14: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 12

We are now nearing the end of Ray Hill's lie-ridden book. Chapter 10, *Funds from Abroad*, is another chapter we need not spend too much time analysing because it was obviously written by Hill's buddies at *Searchlight*. The one surprising thing about this chapter is that it does not try to smear the PLO; page 254: whenever "Nazis" have tried to tap the PLO's London representative for money "they have been shown the door in pretty short order." The story on pages 256-7 of a South African police officer passing diamonds to a SANF member to deliver to a National Front member in Britain does not have the ring of truth, like almost everything else in this book. However, as Hill claims he had this story "on first-hand authority", it is possible for once that the lie is not his. Likewise, it is possible that the earlier claim on page 253, that Les Vaughan boasted that he had arranged Libyan money for his "political operations" may be genuine, but it is doubtful if any such transactions did take place. (1)

The most interesting part of this chapter also appears on page 253, when Hill recounts his assault on National Front member Derek Holland. He makes the curious claim that Holland declaimed wildly that the NF should be out fomenting "chaos in the streets", something Hill claims he took umbrage to, accusing Holland of being an *agent provocateur*. This is in stark contrast to Hill's other rabble-rousing and mischief-making. Let it not be forgotten that this was the man who tried to set up his own friend, the gullible John Grand Scrutton, for a gun-running charge, one which could well have brought him a heavy prison term, and who tried to do likewise to Tony Malski. This is the man who set up the South African National Front for attack by angry Jews, and who made provocative speeches in South Africa while in the pay of Organised Jewry. And who was engaged in similar subversive activity in Britain.

Hill's claim is also at odds with the claim of Keith Thompson, whom the current writer interviewed on April 26, 1994. Thompson, an old Mosleyite, said that when he went to speak at a meeting Hill had arranged sometime in the mid-eighties, Hill began shooting his mouth off as soon as they met:

"I went to speak at one of his meetings in Leicester. As soon as we were in the car he started talking about how he'd smashed Paki's off their bicycles...how he loved doing it and how we all ought to do it...I've never done anything like that, never heard of that sort of thing before. I thought 'What's this guy's bloody

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

game?" The meeting was fairly ordinary, his branch was made up of nice enough guys." Bar Hill himself, of course.

Hill says that when he "belted" Holland under the chin, the latter stormed from the room to the amusement of the others present. An entirely different account is given of this incident in *The Other Face of Searchlight*, and indeed, this publication reproduces Hill's letter of resignation from the National Front in which Hill himself denies the assault! (2) In this letter, Hill also denies associating with the British Democratic Party, which again, is clearly untrue. The fact that Hill was put on a disciplinary charge for an assault is of course entirely out of keeping with the race-hate, violence laden image of "Nazis" depicted by his largely Jewish manipulators.

Derek Holland is not the only far right activist Hill has assaulted. In 1988, BNP member Kevin Scott was studying at Newcastle University. The usual far left hate campaign was mounted by students, and *Searchlight* was brought in to add impetus to it with Hill as a speaker. Scott approached Hill in the bar and, according to Hill, Scott insulted his family, shoved him, and Hill put him on the floor with "a good left hand." This account was actually published in the December 1988 issue of *Searchlight*, (page 6), although Hill didn't name him.

The March 1989 issue of *Spearhead* reported it in slightly different terms, but here is what Kevin Scott himself had to say in a letter to the current writer dated 15th April, 1994:

"I approached him in the union bar and I asked him a couple [of] questions posing as an interested student, as I certainly was. I asked him how much he had got for his book? I asked him about his criminal convictions? *Searchlight* are always bringing up mine - two conditional discharges for assault and threatening words and behaviour...Hill jumped up, shouted 'You're Kevin Scott' and thumped me in the face."

Part 15: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 13

Chapter 11, called *The Other Face of Terror*, begins with the bald claim that Yann Tran Long was a terrorist. No evidence has ever been adduced to back up this claim, and as Hill has three convictions for assault, one of which was clearly motivated by anti-Semitism, this label is one which would be more appropriately appended to him. Again, this is a chapter which is clearly written either largely or completely by Hill's manipulators. Hill's claim on page 265 to have known Françoise Dior personally from her days in the National Socialist Movement is yet another provable lie.

Françoise Dior met Colin Jordan after his July 1962 "Free Britain From Jewish Control" rally in London's Trafalgar Square. Hill at this time was a petty crook in Birmingham, and, as we have seen, was jailed for two years on July 27th for his part in a vicious gang assault on a police officer on the day following that very rally. One would assume that with full remission he was released sometime in late 1963. At any rate, he was not around in 1962 and probably not 1963 either. Dior and Jordan married in 1963, but early the following year it was announced that the marriage had broken up after a mere three months. (1)

Mrs Jordan, as she still was, was jailed in January 1968 for conspiring with her ex-husband's neophytes to set fire to synagogues in 1965, while Hill, as we have seen, did not meet Jordan until November 1968, when he punched a photographer at a Jordan meeting. And by this time Jordan was running the British Movement, the National Socialist Movement having been wound up earlier that year. So, by all accounts, including his own, Hill never met Françoise Dior/Jordan in her NSM days, and in fact he never met her at all. And in any case, she had already been expelled from the National Socialist Movement when she was serving a four month prison sentence in Nice for the heinous crime of defacing the British Embassy with a "Hitler was right" sticker. (2)

Back on page 261, mole and undercover "anti-fascist" Hill boasts that he announced to FNE leader Marc Frederiksen that "'Bologna' was the way forward". Hill says that Frederiksen merely grunted vague assent and stared at the floor as though he regarded such talk as dangerous. He may equally have regarded Hill as dangerous, or a head case à la Malski. Attempting to incite "fascists" to commit terrorist acts is not the work of a mole, but, we must stress

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

again, of an *agent provocateur*. Hill repeats his mischief-making on page 270 where he boasts to Tran Long: "I got 500 votes. But votes are no good. Elections are no good. Boom..." Hill did "a passable impression of an explosion in an effort to draw him out."

Tran Long's comment was, "...fucking democracy..."

In retrospect, "fucking Jewish hatemongers and gay fellow travellers" would be a much more fitting comment. Gable's unconditional hatred knows no bounds that he would be prepared to use a piece of slime like Hill to incite fascists to bomb, maim and murder. In view of the embarrassingly candid revelations in this book, the more responsible Jewish organisations would be well advised to take a fresh look at anti-Semitic and related incidents. For example, how many of those swastika daubings might have been incited by the likes of *Searchlight* and other Jewish "anti-fascists"?

Earlier, on page 269, Hill says that Malski had obtained detonators from Yann Tran Long for the Notting Hill Carnival bomb; this contradicts the earlier claim that Malski having been warned by Special Branch on the way out, came back without the "gear".

Page 274: Tony Malski is said to have boasted to a *News of the World* reporter (3) that, among other things, he had arms dumps all over the place. (4)

Page 277: Hill reports a conversation with Malski in a public house which in the film took place in a phone box, ie Malski in the phone box to Hill. The wording is slightly different, but there is no doubt that this is the same, or essentially the same, conversation. (5) We are now nearly at the end of our critique of *The Other Face of Terror*, but the Ray Hill story is not quite over yet. On page 286, Hill repeats the lie that McLaughlin asked him to stand in for him at the head of BM marches.

In the acknowledgements at the front of the book, Hill thanks Gable "and his *Searchlight* colleagues for the painstaking and unflinching help they have given us from their unique archives..." Unique they certainly are, they must contain more lies and errors per square inch than any other archive in history.

Part 16: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 14

After Hill "came out", he went on speaking tours and even gave "evidence" to the European Parliament. He has been hosted by the Union of Jewish Students, but after they read his true sordid history as set out here rather than the sanitised fantasy of his autobiography, it is very doubtful if he will ever be welcome in Jewish company again. (1) Bar *Searchlight*, that is. He also put his name to a column in *Searchlight*, and still does at the time of writing. But *Hill Street News* would be more accurately called *Gable Street News*, as a thoughtful reading of it will confirm.

Whoever actually writes this column, it continues to be used to spread lies and hatred, as does the entire magazine. I have had three personal experiences of this. In the November 1992 issue, pages 4-5, Hill (Gable?) claimed "I was chatting to Mark Taha, a man whose interests range from the hard fringe of sadomasochism to libertarian and national socialist politics. He was telling me how his interest in radical green politics had been enhanced when our old friend Larry O'Hara handed him an edition of *Green Dawn*..." This is yet another bare-faced lie, and one which caused much consternation to Mark Taha, who suffers from a nervous complaint. The truth about this is that the three of us, Taha, O'Hara and myself, met up at a Libertarian Alliance conference on the environment in Central London on 26th September 1992. O'Hara, who was researching a PhD, had been corresponding with Taha and, after we had eaten together in an Oxford Street restaurant, I returned to the conference while the two of them went off together to record the interview. *Searchlight* somehow got wind of the two of them meeting at the conference - which was well advertised and open to the public - and decided to do a bit of shit stirring. In reality, all they did was confirm for the Nth time O'Hara's view that they are a bunch of rats who should be thoroughly exposed and put out of business.

The other experiences were of a more personal and intimate nature. In the November 1993 issue of *Searchlight*, Hill, or whoever really writes his column, claimed that I am one of the largest distributors of anti-Semitism in the country. (2) On 28th November I was attacked on my own doorstep by three men who proceeded to hit me with hammers and attempt to break my arms and legs. More by good fortune than design I escaped any breakages, but not serious injury. I

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

subsequently issued a libel writ against *Searchlight* publisher and editor Gable. I was mentioned again in the February 1994 issue, to put it mildly.

In 1991, Hill contributed to a debate raging in the columns of the left wing Labour Party magazine *Briefing*. Although there were many issues raised here, it centred around the ejection from an Ilford synagogue of a number of "black" activists. (3) *Searchlight* was said to be increasingly prioritising the struggle against anti-Semitism [sic] over the struggle against the mythical disease of racism. Hill's letter in the September issue of the magazine, claims that "My work for *Searchlight* is...entirely voluntary" and with regard to attending "anti-racist" meetings in order to preach the gospel of anti-Aryanism, "...I ask no payment beyond my travelling expenses." (4) Of the Ilford synagogue meeting, Hill says that two or three black members of the audience were unhappy with the rabbi being a South African expatriate, heckled and were asked to leave. Needless to say, the version given by those ejected was substantially different. (5) Hill also refers in this letter to black organisations "consisting of three men and a dog", whatever that is supposed to mean, and elsewhere glorifies the "Battle of Waterloo" in September 1992 as "the biggest street battle between fascists and anti-fascists that London has seen since the 1960s". In reality, a group of young skinheads were on their way, peacefully, to a concert that was to be held *on private property* when they were attacked by well-organised gangs of "anti-fascist" thugs.

Of course, Hill's reference to three men and a dog - perhaps he meant wog? - won't have gone down at all well with most blacks involved in the "anti-racist" movement, who, unlike *Searchlight*, are probably none too impressed with Hill's previous record of inciting hatred against them in his role as *agent provocateur*. In the June 1992 issue of *Searchlight*, Hill's column was again spitting venom at black people, this time Black Separatists. At a meeting held at South Bank Polytechnic in April 1992, Hill (Gable?) claimed that at the end of this meeting the black chairman turned to him and asked, "You are a Jew, aren't you?" To which he replied, "No, I am a practising Christian". (6) Apparently they thought *Searchlight* was part of the international Jewish conspiracy - whoever gave them that impression? Hill referred to them as "a Gucci-outfitted bunch of middle-class wankers" who espoused "black fascism" trying to tell the son of a miner the meaning of "oppression". This is what the *Searchlight* team think of anyone who doesn't whole-heartedly endorse their particular brand of "anti-fascism", ie forced race-mixing and anti-Aryan racial hatred.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

Hill's claim to being a practising Christian is of course laughable, but Mrs Hill it seems genuinely is. In 1989, the *Northern Echo* published a story about Hill, the usual rubbish, taking the mythical bomb plot at face value etc. (7) In this article, Mrs Hill was said to be apolitical - masochistic would be a better word - and to devote much of her time to her church. The Hills were also said to run a small guest house from a secret location. Actually, it wasn't so secret. Naturally, after he had "exposed" his former friends and colleagues as would-be mass murderers, among other things, they were none too happy, and the Hills thought it prudent to move from their Leicester council house. They turned up in Mablethorpe, Lincolnshire, (8) as good a place as any, one might suppose, but their relocation was obviously not chosen by throwing a dart at a map, for, in Mablethorpe, lived a certain Mr George Lewis.

This is the same Mr Lewis we have met already, who was treasurer of the South African National Front. Almost certainly unknown to Hill was the fact that Mr Lewis is Jewish; undoubtedly known to him was the fact that Mr Lewis is a convicted sex offender, who was deported from (then) Rhodesia on this account. Mr Lewis was surprised when Hill turned up in Mablethorpe but put it down to the long arm of coincidence. Now it is a fact that people do meet old friends and acquaintances in the strangest of places; certainly if they had met again in London, that would not be such an enormous coincidence, but Mablethorpe? Sooner rather than later, Mr Lewis realised that Hill hadn't just turned up like the proverbial bad penny, but had gravitated towards him like a fly around a honeypot. (9)

Whatever one may think of Mr Lewis on account of his antecedents, he does not appear to have blotted his copybook in England, and certainly not in Mablethorpe, where he was to all intents and purposes an industrious member of the community, running a taxi business and renting chalets. Ray Hill though had other ideas, and, in the words of Mr Lewis, decided not only that he wanted a share of his business, but that he was entitled to it! (10) It was undoubtedly this which led Hill to make a series of allegations against Mr Lewis on the strength of his reputation as a known sex offender.

To show exactly the kind of man Hill is, the allegations concerned his own sons. In March 1988, Mr Lewis stood trial on five charges of indecent assault. And was, justifiably, acquitted. (11) This was in spite of the prosecution raising the question of his character in court. (12) This was clearly a disgraceful attempt to blackmail a totally innocent man; that any man would seduce, bully or coerce

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

his young own sons into fitting up somebody like this is too despicable for words. (13)

Another interesting feature of this case is the allegation of anti-Semitism which Mr Lewis levelled at Hill, and which may have played a part in his deciding to fit him up anyway. Although Mr Lewis is a non-practising Jew, (14) his brother looks like a rabbi. (15) Hill was said to have verbally abused Lewis several times during the course of the trial, his behaviour bringing a warning from the judge.

Hill had apparently not just wanted a slice of Mr Lewis' pie but had wanted him to become involved in at least one dodgy business scheme, a betting shop. Hill of course was unable to get a licence for a betting shop because of his appalling antecedents, so instead he conned Andy Ashby, a former political colleague, into acting as front man. This was in the summer of 1984. (16) Unsurprisingly, the business soon folded, equally unsurprisingly, it was Ashby rather than Hill who was left to pick up the tab.

In the summer of 1987, Hill was said to have organised a series of bingo sessions among Mablethorpe holidaymakers, and guess who won the prizes? There was also reported to be a tussle with a finance company over a car. (17) The aforementioned "small guest house" the Hills were said to be running was in fact a hostel he attempted to set up for people living on Social Security. At the time he was said to be illegally sub-letting his council house. (18)

There are conflicting accounts over where the Hills went next, according to *The Other Face of Searchlight*, (page 32), they moved to Heysham, Lancashire in August 1988 then, apparently, back to the Mablethorpe area, while according to my source, Hill moved to Morecambe with about three women and ten kids! (19) My source also says that, not unreasonably, there was a lot of ill-will against Hill in the town, and the daggers were out for him.

Having wreaked havoc on the extreme right, and undoubtedly made himself, if not a nest egg, then a comfortable sum, one would have thought that Hill would have gone into a modest retirement. Not so, because in 1991, this same Ray Hill conned an anarchist magazine into publishing an article on "creating a community". In this starry-eyed piece of idealism, Hill suggested that 500 anarchists each raise a thousand pounds to buy an island somewhere. (20) Hill was actually introduced to *Green Anarchist* by Tim Hepple, *Searchlight's* latest "mole" inside the far right. The full, sickening story of the mentally unbalanced Hepple's meanderings through almost the entire political spectrum has been analysed in

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

considerable depth by Larry O'Hara. (21) His publications completely refute the trashy *At War With Society*, (claimed to be Hepple's story but actually written by Gerry Gable) for which Hill (allegedly) wrote a brief foreword. (22)

O'Hara and his collaborators have clearly demonstrated that *Searchlight's* agenda goes far beyond the fanatical anti-Aryan hatred of its controllers, and that it is to some extent an arm of the Secret State. As to Hill's agenda, I am convinced he has none. Certainly for a brief period, his first spell in the British Movement, he was a dedicated white racial nationalist, though even then he wasn't motivated by the sincere - if terribly misguided - idealism of Colin Jordan, but by hatred of men and women of other races. After that, he was only in it for the money, and if he has been used by Organised Jewry, he has certainly used them in return. Indeed, a small part of the media has already been penalised for repeating Hill's lies. On 3rd August 1988, BRMB Radio of Birmingham paid Mr Colin Jordan £400 in an out-of-court settlement over remarks made by Hill on air on 9th February 1988. (23)

Hill's evil work and lies go on, but hopefully not for much longer. On March 16, 1994, the *Guardian*, that so discerning newspaper, was suckered into publishing a two page interview/article on Hill. Black journalist Gary Younge listened sympathetically to his lies, obviously not realising how much Hill still hates his race.

In this two page article, Younge published a fistful of Hill's fabrications with what amounts to an appalling lack of critical faculty, or just plain old-fashioned homework. Among other things, Hill claimed to have been a member of the National Socialist Movement for five years - which of course he never was; never to have really believed in the Jewish conspiracy - in direct contradiction to his claim in his lie-ridden autobiography; to have been contacted by fascists on his return from South Africa - all political contacts were in fact initiated by Hill himself; and to have left South Africa in the first place because his father-in-law had died. The current writer sent a lengthy letter of criticism to the editor of the *Guardian* and an annotated version to the Press Complaints Commission. Hopefully, this will be the last major coup these lie-mongers and hatemongers perpetrate on our media.

Part 17: Conclusion

This then concludes the true, unsanitised story of *Searchlight* "mole" Ray Hill. Although in the short term the Jewish hatemongers and goy fellow travellers of *Searchlight* laughed up their sleeves when Hill went public, in the medium term, he has already proved an embarrassment to them, while in the long term it is quite possible indeed likely that he will reward them the same way he rewarded his friends and comrades on the extreme right. These included John Grand Scrutton, a gullible man through whom Hill first met Colin Jordan; Anthony Reed-Herbert, who gave him a job and befriended him; the pathetic Malski, who, Hill would have us believe, was so impressed with his "leadership" that he offered to make him Führer of his own "party"; John Tyndall, like Colin Jordan, a sorely misguided idealist, who also befriended him; the late Jack Noble, whose name he besmirched; and George Lewis, another comrade from South Africa, and the one against whom Hill practised the vilest evil of all.

There is though another, far more important moral of this story. This study of Hill's perfidy has proved overwhelmingly that both he and his controllers are liars of the first order. Even when they are not wilfully distorting the truth or fabricating smears against "fascists" the quality of their research is appalling, the evidence of which is the enormous number of innocent factual errors in Hill's book. The point is that it is these people who, more than any others, attempt to deny by *whatever means necessary* any free speech to fascists, in reality, anyone they brand a fascist, Nazi, anti-Semite, ad nauseum. Furthermore, this is a mentality which they and their predecessors have imposed on this country, and elsewhere, since the 1930s. And they have been allowed to get away with it primarily because they, or many of them, are Jews.

This has resulted not in combating fascism, but in imposing a Jewish-inspired fascist dictatorship on the Western media, and indeed on the Western mind. It has become virtually impossible as a result to make even the most muted criticism of Organised Jewry or any institution allied with it. Obviously Jewish tyrants are no better than *Aryan* tyrants, and Jewish fascism no better than *Aryan* fascism. As well as creating a smouldering cauldron of racial hatred, *Searchlight* - and similar organisations - have done more to destroy our freedoms than any mythical and all-encompassing international Nazi conspiracy. And of course,

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

not only is their lying propaganda self-serving, it is self-fulfilling. Jews are hated because of *Searchlight* and its fellow travellers, not in spite of them.

Ray Hill is a Gentile, he is also as nasty a piece of work as you could wish to find, because he is not only a crook, liar, thug and anti-Semite, but a man who has wilfully collaborated with the enemies of all races to destroy Western Man's institutions, and indeed Western Man himself. In practice, the slogan NO PLATFORM FOR FASCISTS means carte blanche for liars. We have already established who these liars are.

The most important task of Libertarians, "nationalists" and all other people of goodwill - white, black, Asian, Gentile and Jew - is to break the stranglehold Gerry Gable and his filthy cabal have effected over our media and other institutions, and restore free speech for all. This means not just for "fascists" but for *racists*, anti-Semites - real and imagined - outright Nazis, *Holocaust* Revisionists, the lot. No one must ever again be denied a platform for his views, however obscene, on any pretext whatsoever. Hopefully, the publication of this book will be the first step on the road to restoring a free press, indeed a communications media free of Gable and his kind, of their pernicious influence, their spiritual malaise, and their unconditional, racial hatred.

Appendix A: Ray Hill's Letter Of Expulsion From The British Movement

In view of Hill's oft' repeated claim that he was a serious rival to McLaughlin for the leadership of this organisation, we publish this here in full.

12th January, 1981.

Raymond Hill Esq,
13 Chaucer Street,
Leicester.

Dear Mr Hill,

Your much-publicised involvement with various groups not all of which are friendly towards the British Movement has given me some cause for concern. Members of the Movement including officials have on a number of occasions expressed doubts as to exactly where your loyalty lies. Giving you the benefit of the doubt, it is clear that you cannot serve the British Movement as an Organiser when your energies are divided between so many camps. Save for the initial free getting started parcel, Leicester has bought and distributed less literature than any other Branch. In fact it hasn't as a branch bought any literature at all in the six-months that you have been organising the area. Individual members have bought some. It isn't surprising therefore that Leicester's growth is the furthest down the league.

In addition, you have without any justification at all gone out of your way to create the impression that your position in the Movement is a great deal more exalted than it is or could be. You have never been anything other than an ordinary organiser - just one Organiser out of nearly eighty and as the previous paragraph explains, not a particularly successful one. Hand in hand with this, you have denigrated the Movement and expressed opinions that a new party should be formed. This is not something new and for some two months, ordinary

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

members have written in to speak of their concern at this. I have given you plenty of rope but these matters and others leave me no alternative but to dispense with your membership and entrust [you?] * to other groups less particular as to standards expected.

For Race and Nation
(signed) M. McLaughlin.

Following many months of open subversion etc., by Mr Hill, which has caused much concern to Organisers and members, we have had no alternative but to send him the above letter. Mr Hill is no longer associated with the British Movement in any form.

* A word has been omitted here.

Appendix B: The Other Face Of Terror: A Critique Of The Film

We have already covered parts of this lie-ridden film in our critique of the lie-ridden book of the same name, so we will not dwell unduly on the subject of Hill's and *Searchlight's* unreliability and will try also to avoid repetition. We have though included some comment from the far right activist mentioned earlier in the book. We have not covered everything, the film's smears on the IHR, David Irving etc, but have covered everything that is relevant to Hill's alleged role as a "mole".

In the film, Hill says that he entered the Anti-Immigration Movement through AIMS in Leicester, which tallies with the book, but by the time this organisation was founded, March 1968, he was hardly newly married. The claim that Hill was a "star and leader of the British Movement" is of course rubbish. The common motivating factor of the right is hatred, he says. When one considers the hatred - specifically racial hatred - that has gone into this film and the book of the same name, that is most definitely a case of the pot calling the kettle black. For example, a Dutch "anti-fascist" group is shown in the film chanting "Death to the fascists!" And that is only the hatred of *Aryan* "anti-fascists" for their racial kin. Hill refers to the burning of "thirty odd" synagogues in the sixties. We have already dealt with this nonsense, and in any case, the synagogue arson attacks pre-dated his involvement with far right politics.

Throughout the film, Hill played up to the camera; it was claimed that he led the team to the Hancocks, as if the Hancock family were some obscure religious sect hiding away in a secret temple in the Sussex countryside instead of the notorious "Nazi godfathers" that Hill and co claimed. Both the business and personal addresses of the Hancock family are well known not only to the authorities but to Organised Jewry, and of course to anyone who reads anti-Semitic literature and all the other obscure books and pamphlets they stock.

A number of photographs were shown of victims of alleged race attacks. One of these victims, although attacked by National Front supporters, was certainly not a victim of a racial attack. The white man in the still was none other than Graeme Atkinson, *Searchlight's* European editor. This photograph, which orig-

inally appeared in the communist newspaper the *Morning Star*, was taken as long ago as 1975! (1) Atkinson required nineteen stitches after he was hit in the face with a bottle at a political meeting in the North of England, the meeting was related to the situation in Ireland, and as it was pro-IRA it obviously wouldn't have gone own at all well with a lot of people, most of whom wouldn't give the National Front the time of day. That neither justifies nor excuses this vicious attack, (the meeting was indeed wrecked by National Front members) but neither does it excuse or justify the use of what, to all intents and purposes, is a fake photograph.

The claims made about Diksmuide are just not true. The footage is most definitely not "not for public consumption" - if the reader will excuse the double negative. These videos are sold openly through far right outlets; if they are not advertised to the general public this is for the same reason that chess magazines, *Psychic News* and similar publications are not given mass publicity. Apart from the expense of advertising, this is a niche market, however much the Hancocks of this world may wish it were not. No explanation is needed as to why outsiders' cameras may not be popular at Diksmuide.

Two particularly absurd claims are made about this festival. The gathering is said to be clandestine. How many other "clandestine" gatherings accommodate 40,000 visitors? And the Belgian authorities are said to be "impotent in the face of this invasion". Presumably the local traders are impotent in the face of it too, and would do anything to stop these 40,000 people visiting the town where they will do such outrageous things as spend enormous sums of money on accommodation, souvenirs, food, and, of course, beer.

Hill was said to have attended Diksmuide "to investigate the source of *racist* books and pamphlets flooding into Europe". This claim, which is also made in the book, (page 13) is utter nonsense. The publishers of such material have been known to Organised Jewry and to the authorities for decades. In Britain, the Hancock gang's Historical Review Press is the main publisher/distributor; in the United States there are many, the Sons of Liberty publishing house churns out some particularly nasty material.

"Through Ray Hill we traced the Hancock printing works to this industrial estate in Sussex." Indeed. As if these premises were not known to *Searchlight* already, when in 1981 one of their "researchers" was jailed for two and a half years for burning the place down! (2) Hancock is said to hide "behind the facade of a plastics factory". This is nonsense. The simple truth is that as a printer,

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

Hancock prints a great deal of purely commercial material. And if he does "hide" his operation behind "the facade of a plastics factory", the aforementioned arson - by Jewish hatermonger Manny Cappel - explains why. The torching of the Hancock print works is actually mentioned on page 240 of the book, although the arsonist is not credited, for obvious reasons. Hancock is said also to be extremely careful not to be seen and at the centre of a worldwide network of propaganda and violence. He won't have to try very hard, because he isn't. Hancock, like Gable, is making a comfortable living out of hatred, but, unlike Gable, his activities are confined primarily to publishing. If they have anything in common at all, it is publishing forged documents.

In the film, Max Bollo is said to be serving fifteen years in South Africa. According to the book, (page 73), he was sentenced to fifty-two years.

Hill is also filmed sitting at a table outside a café laughing and joking with a black guy. Exactly who is this supposed to fool? Hill's claim of how he converted from a "fascist" to an "anti-fascist" is entirely different from the explanation given in the book. No pathetic Indian family by the roadside here, rather it was the situation in Britain, gangs of skinheads firebombing Asian takeaways. In fact, even if he'd had any ideology at all - and I think we have conclusively demonstrated that he hadn't - the fact that he was (and remains) anti-black, anti-Asian and anti-Jewish doesn't mean he was ever a fascist, much less a Nazi. He could simply have been an evil, bigoted bastard. One can find people like this within the Conservative Party and even the Labour Party. And certainly the Liberal Democrats!

Hill's account of his own involvement with the Italian fugitives in Britain is that he was approached in 1980-1. In reality, there were other Italians already safe-housed here before he arrived on the scene. He claimed to have set that up. He didn't. Fiore and co had others helping them. (3) The claim that the Italian authorities bungled the extradition of the Italians - "administrative errors" - is just not true. The case was thrown out by the magistrate because he considered there was no case to answer in English law. (4) Hill's account of meeting Irving suggests that he was merely a member of an audience.

Remer was said to have been the man who saved Hitler's life. This is absolute nonsense. Remer's actual role in putting down the July plot (Operation Valkyrie) is worth recounting in some detail. On July 20th 1944, an attempt was made to assassinate the Führer while he directed the course of the war. Count von Stauffenberg left a bomb in a briefcase under Hitler's table; though the

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

bomb exploded, Hitler survived, but the word went out that he had been killed. Stauffenberg realised Hitler was still alive but tried to carry through the conspiracy to seize power anyway.

Remer, who was a major at the time, was sent to arrest Goebbels, but Goebbels put Hitler on the line who said, "Major Remer, can you hear - do you recognize my voice?" Remer did, and Hitler told him that he was speaking as Supreme Commander. He ordered Remer to restore order in Berlin and shoot anyone who disobeyed. Remer did. He was awarded the Knight's Cross with Oak Leaves and was subsequently promoted to general. (5)

Hill claims that at the Hitler birthday party in Paris he was asked to contact people who may be interested in bombing the Carnival.

When asked: "Who asked you that?"

Hill replied two people at the party, "not British people."

Bearing in mind that this was 1984, four years later when the book was published, these two people had become "the fingerless Frenchman" who also gave him a "shopping list" for the bomb, (page 213).

The late John Kingsley Read is interviewed in the film. He claimed to have designed the cover of *The Hoax of the Twentieth Century*, which may be true. He says Dr Butz "claims to be a doctor, I don't know what of". If he had looked inside the cover of the book he would have read that Arthur Butz obtained his PhD in Control Sciences from the University of Minnesota in 1965, and that in 1966 he joined the Faculty of Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois, where in 1977 (the date of the Second Edition) he was Associate Professor of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences.

The film also referred to the ADL as a "Jewish *anti-racist* organisation". Thought police and Zionist hatemongers would be a much more fitting description. *The Other Face of Terror* was screened on the evening of March 29, 1984; the review in the *Times* of that date, (page 31), said of this 75 minute pseudo-documentary "THE OTHER FACE OF TERROR...is a minutely-detailed exposé of right-wing extremism...the authenticity of the film's revelations about fascist-inspired terrorism appears to be beyond doubt..." Hill was also referred to erroneously as the former deputy leader of the British Movement. Anyone can be conned, even a newspaper with a reputation as formidable and prestigious as the *Times*.

Appendix C: The Other Face Of "Searchlight": A List Of Palpable Errors

The authors of this pamphlet are uncredited but Nick Griffin informs me that Patrick Harrington researched it and he wrote it. Without wishing to curry favour with Griffin or slag off the totally insincere Harrington I can truthfully state that it is better written than researched.

Page 5 claims that *Searchlight* magazine was founded in the mid 60s. Actually a broadsheet was published, once a year, from 1965-8. The origins of the Searchlight organisation are shrouded in mystery but it was probably set up soon after Colin Jordan's July 1962 "Free Britain From Jewish Control" rally:

Page 7: Company director Leslie Jacobs is said to have put up £1,000 bail for Gable et al. He actually provided a surety of £250 for each of the three. (1) Each man provided his own bail. (2) This error is traceable to *Focal Point*, May 30, 1981, page 5, where David Irving reports that bail was set at nearly £1,000. Gable was said also to have been "linked" to the 62 Group. He was actually a member. (3)

Page 9: *Searchlight's* controllers have always denied that Dave Roberts was a member of their editorial board, which is probably technically correct as the magazine has always been under the firm control of Ludmer, now fortunately deceased, and Gable, regrettably still with us. However, he was definitely feeding them "information" on the extreme right from 1974 to 1976.

Page 10: Roberts was not convicted of conspiracy to burn down an Asian restaurant. This error is again traceable to Irving. (4) He was actually convicted of conspiracy to assault the staff of the *Bombay* restaurant. He was given a suspended sentence, which he later served, his co-conspirators were both jailed. (5)

Page 17: the photographer shown here is not Michael Cohen but David Hoffman. Although he is Jewish, he is, as far as I know, an otherwise respectable photographer. He is a member of the NUJ London Freelance Branch, and has a substantial picture library; he specialises in social issues.

Page 23: The letter on British Democratic Party headed notepaper reproduced here contains a number of spurious allegations against Ray Hill, which,

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

if made against someone with only a slightly less tainted character - like Dr Crippen - would be libellous. Hill was never a member of the National Socialist Movement, so he didn't make genocidal remarks to the *Daily Sketch* in 1964. The claim that he had a Negro mistress is a wild fiction, and one that is almost certainly based on the spurious claim attributed to Hill in the *Leicester Mercury* of June 7 1968 and September 16, 1969, that he had fostered a Negro child "for many years". Hill's chronology proves this claim to have been a lie. He has no convictions for unlawful sexual intercourse or bank robbery, in Leicester or anywhere else. Likewise, he has no conviction for embezzlement, but only because he jumped bail in South Africa.

Page 24: The *Guardian* article reproduced here was actually published on May 11th 1979 and not April 18th.

Page 30: The observation is made that Hill's knowledge of and qualifications to speak on the National Front are zero. (6) This is valid, indeed, as we have clearly demonstrated, the Ray Hill legend has really been built on his intense involvement with the British Movement between November 1968 and December 1969, and even that was purely local. Also on page 30, the claim is repeated that Hill defrauded a bank in Leicester and that it was this that led to his emigrating to South Africa. Again, we have found no evidence of this.

Page 31: Raises many interesting points, not the least of which is the question of how an unemployed family man could afford to drink heavily and buy a Rover! The authors might have added that before he "came out" Hill, by his own account, "bought myself a small property in another part of the country", (see the book, page 14). It is not clear if this was the boarding house his wife subsequently ran, but it is clear that Hill - his and *Searchlight's* claims to the contrary - did exceedingly well out of his tall tales *before* the publication of his lie-ridden book, which, presumably, also brought in a shekel or two.

Appendix D: Ray Hill's 1962 Indictment For Wounding A Police Officer, Assault And Attempted Theft

The indictment against Hill reads as follows:

Wounding with intent contrary to Section 18 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.

Michael John Jim HUCKFIELD, Raymond HILL and Frank William Alfred WRIGHT, on the 2nd day of July 1962, at Oldbury in the County of Worcester wounded Albert Grafton WORRALL, with intent to do him grievous bodily harm, or to maim disfigure or disable him or to prevent the lawful apprehension of the said Michael John Jim HUCKFIELD.

Wounding contrary to Section 20 of the Offences Against the Person Act 1861.

Michael John Jim HUCKFIELD, Raymond HILL and Frank William Alfred WRIGHT, on the 2nd day of July 1962 at Oldbury, in the County of Worcester maliciously wounded Albert Grafton WORRALL.

Assault Occasioning actual bodily harm.

Michael John Jim HUCKFIELD, Raymond HILL and Frank William Alfred WRIGHT, on the 2nd day of July 1962, at Oldbury in the County of Worcester, assaulted Albert Grafton WORRALL, thereby occasioning him actual bodily harm.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

Attempted Larceny.

Michael John Jim HUCKFIELD, Raymond HILL and Frank William Alfred WRIGHT, on the 2nd day of July 1962 at Oldbury in the County of Worcester, attempted to steal a quantity of cigarettes the property of Arthur PATRICK and Isobel BROWN.

Assault occasioning actual bodily harm.

Raymond HILL and Frank William Alfred WRIGHT, on the 30th day of June 1962 at Oldbury in the County of Worcester, assaulted Jack MUCKLOW, thereby occasioning him actual bodily harm.

Common assault.

Raymond HILL and Frank William Alfred WRIGHT, on the 30th day of June 1962 at Oldbury in the County of Worcester, assaulted Allan Edgar COLLINS.

Appendix E: Ray Hill - Bibliography

Although Hill's name appears on the *Hill Street News* column in *Searchlight*, there can be little doubt that this column is actually penned by Gable. In this connection the reader might like to consider how much of what Hill wrote for *Spearhead* was actually Gable's work, and how much of what he published under his own name in South Africa was the work of Zionist mischief-makers. The South African National Front published a journal called *Hitback*. No further information is available on that, but presumably Hill contributed to it, and presumably it was as nasty as he is. This "party" also published at least four anti-black and "anti-Zionist" pamphlets. Again, no further information is available. Aside from one review of *The Other Face of Terror*, *Searchlight* articles have not been included.

The following are, as far as possible, in chronological order:

P-c. lost part of ear: 3 sentenced, published in the *Birmingham Mail & Birmingham Gazette*, (LATE NIGHT FINAL), July 28, 1962, page 3.

Disorder At AIMS Meeting As Students Gate-crash, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), June 7, 1968, page 8.

IF AMERICA IS 'SICK' THEN SO IS BRITAIN, a letter about the recent Kennedy assassination, from Ray Hill, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), June 10, 1968, page 4.

Murder Threat To AIMS Official, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), June 12, 1968, page 1. Continued on page 19 as *MURDER THREAT: WIFE 'VERY UPSET'*.

City Man "Involved In Colin Jordan Incident", published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), November 11, 1968, page 18.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

City Man Remanded On Assault Charge, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), November 25, 1968, page 17.

'Nasty assault' on Pressman, published in the *Birmingham Evening Mail*, (LATE NIGHT FINAL), December 9, 1968, page 9.

'Photographer hit after meeting', published in the *Birmingham Post*, December 10, 1968, page 2.

Photographer Punched On Jaw At Jordan Meeting: LEICESTER MAN FOUND GUILTY OF 'NASTY ASSAULT', published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), December 10, 1968, page 11.

"Racist Undertones" Protest To Questioners, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), February 10, 1969, page 10.

OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OVER "WHO THE HELL" LEAFLET Breach of Law, says Colin Jordan Man, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), March 11, 1969, page 5.

HUMANISTS' DISCLAIMER, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), June 17, 1969, page 4.

British Movement Man In Scuffle, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), June 24, 1969, page 3.

LEICESTER MEETING ON RACE RELATIONS ENDS IN UPROAR, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), September 16, 1969, page 5.

Prosecution Threat Over Leprosy Leaflets, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), October 20, 1969, page 15.

LEAFLETS STREWN AT TOWN HALL - NO ACTION LIKELY, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), October 21, 1969, page 19.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

Burglar took Colin Jordan documents, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), November 11, 1969, page 11.

TOP NATS BRANDED 'RACE TRAITORS', by Nic van OUTDSHOORN, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Times*, March 19, 1978, page 5. This is the main story. Secondary story *The National Front is playing it cool*, by Ray Joseph. Same page *Tyndall unimpressed*, by David Beresford.

Nat Front Noble gets a message from his boss: 'Get out!', by Rob Hudson, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Times*, July 23, 1978, page 1.

Police warning to NF militants, by Ray Joseph, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Times*, September 17, 1978, page 9.

UK 'Front' boss seeks SA visa, by Ray Joseph, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Times*, November 25, 1978, page 15.

MPs want action over racist Front, by Craig Tyson, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Express*, January 28, 1979, page 6.

Jewish youth determined to turn back National Front, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Express*, February 4, 1979, page 16.

Beware the snooping NF: RACISTS' COMPLAINTS FORCED RESIDENTS TO LEAVE, by Jean Le May, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Express*, March 4, 1979, pages 6-7.

Top Herstigte resigns over link with NF: ERIC LOUW'S SON DISGUSTED BY 'INSULT TO JEWISH BOYS', by Jean Le May, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Express*, March 11, 1979, page 10.

National Front men in court over cash, published in the (Johannesburg) *Star*, Thursday, March 29, 1979, page 4.

Man in fraud prosecution flees to UK: Ex-NF chief in South Africa jumps bail, by David Beresford, published in the *Guardian*, May 11, 1979, page 30. Appar-

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

ently published in another edition with the slightly different title of *Man in fraud prosecution flees to Britain*.

Ex-British Movement official in court 10 years after attack, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), July 4, 1979, page 5.

Right-wing man sentenced, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, July 13, 1979, page 10.

WE EXPOSE THE NEW NASTY NAZIS Hate Squads are on the march again, by Andrew Drummond, published in the *News of the World*, July 6, 1980, page 1. This story is continued on page 3 as *SIEG HEIL CHANTS AT THE RALLY OF HATE*, with a small inset called *The terror chiefs meet*, by Adrian Needlestone.

Spearhead, June 1981, No 152, page 7-8, *TWO VIEWS ON UNITY IT'S A NON-STARTER...says RAY HILL*. Hill's article page 7, Tyndall's pages 7-8.

RIGHT-WING GROUP DISOWN RALLY "LEADER", published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (ROYAL PICTURES), June 30, 1981, page 13.

Letter published in *Spearhead*, July 1981, No 153, page 16, from Ray Hill re Nationalist unity.

Guns to the Right, a *World in Action*, "documentary", screened on ITV, July 6, 1981.

CARNIVAL RACE WAR PLOT: Nazis planned a festival bloodbath, by Roger Todd, published in the *Daily Mirror*, July 21, 1981, pages 1 & 3.

When the law goes too far, by Ray Hill, published in *Spearhead*, 155, September 1981, pages 13 & 20.

How the law protects lie-mongers, by Ray Hill, published in *Spearhead*, January 1982, issue 159, page 20.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

LEFT-WING 'NATIONALISM' SEEN FROM TWO ANGLES It splits our camp says RAY HILL, published in *Spearhead*, June 1982, issue 164, page 6. Part 2 by Tyndall.

RIGHT-WING ATTEMPT TO SMASH BNSM FAILS, published in the *Phoenix*, Undated, cNovember 1982, issue 12, page 3.

Letters column Spearhead, January 1983, No 171, page 16. Letter from Ray Hill attacking democracy in reply to one in November.

The nuclear debate LET'S NOT FALL INTO THE TORY TRAP The fact that the left is wrong does not mean that the right is right says RAY HILL, published in *Spearhead*, February 1983, issue 172, pages 6-7.

Leicester BNP win further victory over local council, published in *Spearhead*, February 1983, issue 172, page 18.

SOCIOLOGY TAKES A KNOCK Review by RAY HILL, published in *Spearhead*, March 1983, No 173, page 10.

Race differences still divide 'Zimbabwe', by Ray Hill, published in *Spearhead*, May 1983, No 175, page 11.

PROPAGANDA MASQUERADING AS HISTORY: Some observations by RAY HILL, published in *Spearhead*, September 1983, No 179, page 9.

We Expose Nazi Terror, by Andrew Drummond, published in the *News of the World*, March 25, 1984, pages 4-5.

The Other Face of Terror, the Channel 4 documentary screened on March 29, 1984. Networked throughout Europe. "Researched" by - among others - Gerry Gable.

Short letter from Dr T.S. Morley re Ray Hill, published in *Spearhead*, April 1984, issue 186, page 16.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

IMPRESSIONS OF A TURNCOAT, by John Tyndall, published in *Spearhead*, April 1984, No 186, pages 17 and 20.

OPEN LETTER TO RAYHILL, from John G. Wood, published in *Spearhead*, May 1984, issue 187, page 18.

Letter from Ray Hill published in *Spearhead*, June 1984, No 188, page 16. Protesting against allegations of treason! Lengthy comment by Tyndall.

THE OTHER FACE OF TERROR: Inside Europe's Neo-Nazi Network, by Ray Hill with Andrew Bell, published by Grafton Books, London, (1988).

Confessions of a nazi mole, a review of *The Other Face of Terror*, by "Playwright David Edgar" in *Searchlight*, February 1988, issue 152, pages 10-1.

Divided we kill, by Glyn Ford, published in *New Statesman & Society*, February 19, 1988, pages 32-3.

County man cleared of indecency, published in the *Lincolnshire Echo*, March 26, 1988, page 5.

FOCUS ON THE MERCHANTS OF HATE: Plot to bomb the Notting Hill Carnival, by John Symes, published in the London freesheet *Nine to Five*, March 28, 1988, pages 34-5.

THE OTHER FACE OF SEARCHLIGHT, a review of *The Other Face of Terror*, published in *National Front News*, issue 108, undated but certainly 1988, page 4. Reprinted from the anarchist paper, *Black Flag*, No 181, 25/4/88.

WELL, IF IT ISN'T...!: An old acquaintance turns up again in Lincolnshire, published in *Spearhead*, July 1988, issue 223, pages 14 & 20.

THE OTHER FACE OF SEARCHLIGHT: Thuggery, Buggery, Arson and Whores, authors not credited, researched by SID - the National Front's "Security and Intelligence Department", published by BCM Grimmir, London, (1989).

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

Campus reds harass BNP man, published in *Spearhead*, March 1989, issue 241, page 20.

RENEGADE RAY COMES A CROPPER, published in *Gothic Ripples*, Nos. 20 & 21, April 1989, page 20.

The Other Face of Terror, reviewed by Danny Reilly, pages 100-1, *Race and Class*, Volume 31, Number 1, July-September, 1989.

Two letters from *Searchlight* apologists, one of them was Hill, published in the *More on Searchlight...*, from the *RIGHT OF REPLY* column in the magazine (Labour) *Briefing*, September, 1991, page 25.

Inside story of the fight with fascism, by Martin Shipton, published in the *Northern Echo*, September 28, 1989, page 1p, (Compiled from CD-ROM).

Nazi threat growing, group warns, by Adam Batstone, published in the *Northern Echo*, October 15, 1991, page 8, (Compiled from CD-ROM).

CREATING A COMMUNITY "It is time we stopped talking and started doing", by Ray Hill, published in *Green Anarchist*, Autumn '91, No. 28, pages 14-15.

A letter published in *Green Anarchist*, Winter '91, No. 29, pages 18-19, from the curiously named AH of Lancaster.

Notes from the Underground: British Fascism 1974-92, Part Two, by Larry O'Hara, published in *Lobster*, pages 15-21, issue 24, December 1992.

A LIE TOO FAR -- SEARCHLIGHT, HEPPLER & THE LEFT, by Larry O'Hara and Tim Scargill, produced by Mina Enterprises, GA Mail Order, Camberley, (1993).

At War With Society: The Exclusive Story Of A Searchlight Mole Inside Britain's Far Right, by Gerry Gable, published by Searchlight Magazine, London, (1993).

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

AT WAR WITH THE TRUTH THE TRUE STORY OF SEARCHLIGHT AGENT TIM HEPPLER, by Larry O'Hara, Produced by Mina Enterprises, London, (1993).

An errand boy's ire, from the *LETTERS* section of *New Statesman & Society*, August 27, 1993, page 23.

Editors! Are You Being Fed A Load Of Bullshit? You Are If You Subscribe To Searchlight: A Documented Exposé Of Gerry Gable's Error-prone, Lie-ridden, Mischief-making Magazine, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, (September 1993).

Searchlight Magazine: A Case Study in Secret State Media Manipulation. A speech given by Larry O'Hara at a Libertarian Alliance conference held on Sunday 14th November, 1993 at the New Cavendish Club, Central London. The conference was called: *THE SECURITY SERVICES: ARE THEY A THREAT TO FREEDOM?* The second scheduled speaker, Robin Ramsay, editor of *Lobster*, was replaced by Fred Holroyd.

The Truth About "Searchlight's" Information Network, by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, (November 1993). Second printing, December 1993.

Fighting talk, by Gary Younge, published in the *Guardian*, March 16, 1994, pages 12-13.

THE PRESS WE DON'T DESERVE: How The "Guardian" Gives Aid And Comfort To The World's Master Liars And Thereby Perpetuates The Nazi Underground Myth - Yet More "Searchlight"-Inspired Disinformation Exposed, published by InfoText Manuscripts, London, (July 1994).

Notes And References

Part 1: From Racial Hatred To Racial Treason

(1) Fortunately, the organised left is opposed to I.D. cards, predictably because these would be *racist*!

(2) In other words, the real struggle is between the open society and *laissez faire* capitalism on the one hand, and all forms of collectivism on the other. [*Socialism: An Economic and Sociological Analysis* by Ludwig Von Mises, Translated by J Kahane, published by Jonathan Cape, London, (1951).]

(3) A while ago, the well-known author Dennis Bardens related the current writer an anecdote about a pogrom in the Slovakian town of Topol'carny after the Second World War. A Jewish doctor had been immunising the children on market day and the rumour spread that he was injecting them in revenge for what the Germans had done to the Jews. This was the work of Russian ex-Nazis who were "playing footsie" with the communists. It should be noted in this context that Mussolini started his political career as a socialist.

Part 2: A Mole Surfaces Or A Worm Turns?

(1) *WE EXPOSE NAZI TERROR: Top fascist quits to uncover the threat to Britain*, by Andrew Drummond, published in the *News of the World*, March 25, 1984, pages 4-5.

(2) *Ibid.*

(3) *Searchlight*, April 1984, issue 106, page 2.

(4) *Man in fraud prosecution flees to UK: Ex-NF chief in South Africa jumps bail*, by David Beresford, published in the *Guardian*, May 11, 1979, page 30.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

Also, apparently published in another edition with the slightly different title of *Man in fraud prosecution flees to Britain*. (According to one of its librarians, Christine Jarrett, there are four editions of the *Guardian*).

(5) *Burglar took Colin Jordan documents*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), November 11, 1969, page 11.

(6) Hill claims to have emigrated to South Africa at the end of 1969, arriving there on New Year's Day.

(7) See Appendix A, Hill's letter of expulsion from the British Movement.

(8) Although there have been parties with the same name, the current BNP was inaugurated on 22nd June 1980 - *Spearhead*, June 1980, issue 140, page 9.

(9) According to BNP Führer John Tyndall, and he should know. (*Spearhead*, April 1984, No 186, page 20).

(10) *IMPRESSIONS OF A TURNCOAT*, by John Tyndall, published in *Spearhead*, April 1984, No 186, pages 17 and 20.

Part 3: The Other Face Of Ray Hill: A Critique Of The Other Face Of Terror - 1

(1) Attributed to Ray Hill, *News of the World*, March 25, 1984, page 5, (op cit).

(2) *THE OTHER FACE OF SEARCHLIGHT: Thuggery, Buggery, Arson and Whores*, authors not credited, researched by SID - the National Front's "Security and Intelligence Department", published by BCM Grimmir, London, (1989).

(3) For example, the *Guardian* article of May 11th 1979 (already alluded to) is said to have appeared in this august newspaper on April 18th.

(4) Hill was never a member of the National Socialist Movement, and his account of how he became embroiled in far right politics has the ring of truth.

(5) *THE OTHER FACE OF TERROR: Inside Europe's Neo-Nazi Network*, by Ray Hill with Andrew Bell, published by Grafton Books, London, (1988). Bell, an Oxford graduate, was at the time news editor of the London-based events magazine *Time Out*. He is living proof that a first class education is no guarantee of either wisdom or integrity.

(6) Larry O'Hara is a Liverpool schoolteacher who at the time of writing is researching a PhD on the far right. He is also a leftist with a long pedigree of

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

fighting something called *racism*, a good buddy of the anti-Zionist Jew Tony Greenstein, and about as unlikely a Nazi as you could wish to find.

(7) I am not claiming that he is any sort of fascist, but David Irving is one person on the extreme right who clearly relishes this sort of "exposure".

Part 4: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 2

(1) *Maggie's Militant Tendency*, which was screened on January 30, 1984.

(2) *Lies, damn lies...and Panorama*, by Robin Oakley, published in the *Daily Mail*, March 16, 1984, pages 22-3.

(3) *Ibid.*

(4) Page 128, *ANTI-RACISM: A Mania Exposed*, by Russell Lewis, published by Quartet Books, London, (1988).

(5) See in particular *Private Eye* May 29, 1987, page 8; December 22, page 6; and June 7, 1991, page 7.

(6) *Searchlight*, issue 204, page 12, reproduces a flyer which makes this claim.

(7) This was a *World In Action* "documentary" programme.

(8) November 1981, issue 77, page 2, railed at the Chief Constable of Leicestershire for refusing to take the magazine seriously.

(9) I covered the BNP's 1991 annual rally for the *Observer* newspaper. My report was not published.

Part 5: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 3

(1) *P.-c. lost part of ear: 3 sentenced*, published in the *Birmingham Mail & Birmingham Gazette*, (LATE NIGHT FINAL), July 28, 1962, page 3.

(2) Page 186, *BRITISH PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION RESULTS 1950-1973*, Compiled and Edited by F.W.S. Craig, published by Parliamentary Research Services, Chichester, West Sussex, Second Edition, (1983).

(3) See for example *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA) June 7, 1968, page 8.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

(4) *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), May 31, 1968, page 19.

(5) On June 12, 1968, the *Leicester Mercury* published a story about a death threat Hill had allegedly received. Hill, who was apparently a regular contributor to the paper's letter page, was said to have received the threatening letter after publication of a letter the previous Monday. In his letter (about the recent assassination of Robert Kennedy) Hill, who was said to have an eleven year old child - an obvious misprint, it should have read eleven months - claimed that he deplored violence! He was living at 83 Bosworth Street and his occupation was given as a marketing consultant. He was said also to be secretary of the north-west district of AIMS.

(6) AIMS also generated a considerable volume of correspondence to the *Leicester Mercury*; most of it was hostile. See for example May 10, 1968, page 4.

(7) *Disorder At AIMS Meeting As Students Gate-crash*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), June 7, 1968, page 8.

(8) At an AIMS meeting the following year, see *LEICESTER MEETING ON RACE RELATIONS ENDS IN UPROAR*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), September 16, 1969, page 5.

(9) It is extremely unlikely that there is even a grain of truth in this claim as Hill was sentenced to jail in July 1962, married in June 1966 and soon had a child on the way. It is a tribute to human imagination, "Chinese whispers" and rumour-mongering that a statement made by a many times proven liar under such circumstances can grow into something as absurd as the claim that a man like Hill had a Negro mistress and two illegitimate offspring by her.

(10) Personal correspondence, 21st October 1993.

(11) Although Organised Jewry screams anti-Semitism whenever such charges are made, there can be no doubt that many Jews exploit "tribal loyalties" to the full, although this is not by any means unique to them. Old boy networks, friends in high places, etc., are all manifestations of the same phenomenon.

(12) Britain's and indeed the world's major problem is the financial system, but even if it were run entirely and only by Jews, getting rid of them - by whatever means - would not rectify the problem. The problem of course is the creation of credit *ex nihilo* as an interest-bearing debt, but that is beyond the scope of the current work.

(13) *FRAUDULENT CONVERSION: The Myth Of Moscow's Change Of Heart*, by Colin Jordan, published by the Britons, London, (1955).

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

(14) The nearest Jordan's book comes to Hill's claim is on page 130, "Zionism is strongly entrenched among the vastly powerful Jews of Wall Street, the great Finance-Capitalists of America...some of them financed the Communist Revolution of 1917 for the same opportunist reasons as Moscow supported Israel at her creation...The influence of these magnates over American political life is enormous."

(15) It is just conceivable that there are as many gaffes in this book as there are in Hill's. On page 1, Jordan states that, "This book is concerned with showing that, contrary to this fiction, the fact is that Communism is Jewish."

This "fiction" referred specifically to the *Doctors' Plot* and the anti-Jewish purges in the Soviet Union that were going on at the time.

Page 8 repeats the spurious quote attributed to the American Zionist, Rabbi Stephen Wise, "Some call it Communism; I call it Judaism." American Nazi Lincoln Rockwell admitted this was a fake after being interviewed by *Playboy* magazine in 1966. *

Jordan claims also that Engels, a "Manchester capitalist" was a Jew. Engels was most definitely not Jewish.

On page 12 he cites *Russia No 1. (1919), a Collection of Reports on Bolshevism*, as a British Government White Paper which describes the Bolshevik Revolution, as the work of Jews. ** He also cites the autobiography of Edgar Sisson as proof of the Jewishness of Bolshevism. *** In fact, Sisson's book, a copy of which can be found in the British Library, makes no mention of the "Jewish plot". In any case, the *Sisson Documents*, which the author (Sisson) includes as an appendix, are largely forgeries although they are based in part on authentic material.

On page 15, Jordan cites a document allegedly found on a Jewish Bolshevik commander in the Red Army, Zunder, (Jordan names him as Sunder), **** as further proof that communism is a Jewish conspiracy. The text of this document, which is essentially a simplified update of the *Protocols*, is too ridiculous for any intelligent man to give any credence to whatever. The tragedy is that Jordan is a highly intelligent man, as indeed are many of his ilk.

Finally, for good measure, Jordan, who dedicates this nonsense to his father, claims, (page 25) that Stalin himself was of Jewish origin!

* *Playboy*, April 1966, vol. 13. no. 4, page 79.

** *One Hundred Red Days: A Personal Chronicle of the Bolshevik Revolution*, by Edgar Sisson, Special Representative of President Wilson in Russia, Yale University Press, (1931)]

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

*** *The GERMAN-BOLSHEVIK CONSPIRACY*, WAR INFORMATION SERIES, No 20 October, 1918 Issued by the Committee on Public Information. George Creel, Chairman. This purports to show that Lenin and Trotsky were German agents and that the Bolshevik Revolution was financed by the German Imperial Bank.

**** As published in the *Sunday Times* (of all places!), April 4, 1920, page 12. The full text of this document appears in Norman Cohn's definitive study of the *Protocols, Warrant For Genocide*. It is stated variously to have been written in Hebrew and Yiddish.

(16) See *Playing Fair by a Fascist*, published in the *New Statesman*, July 13, 1962, pages 40-1. This article is surprisingly objective and unhysterical for such a left wing publication. How times have changed!

(17) See for example *MR JORDAN DISMISSED NUT appeal possible*, published in the *Guardian*, August 30, 1962.

(18) See *Penitent arsonists freed*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, April 8, 1966, page 1.

(19) *Jewish Chronicle*, March 19, 1965, page 1. (This was later reduced to £80,000).

(20) *SYNAGOGUE FIRES 'GOOD IDEA'*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, November 19, 1965, page 16.

(21) *Mrs Jordan: Hitler my eternal leader*, published in the *Times*, January 16, 1968, page 7.

(22) *Jewish Chronicle*, February 19, 1966, page 1.

(23) For example, the *Jewish Chronicle* for February 18, 1966, page 1, reported that a 24 year old man in Terenure, Dublin had been charged with burning down a synagogue. In November the previous year, the paper reported that 39 year old Aubrey Cadogan was jailed for five years for setting fire to a London synagogue. He was said to have admired Colin Jordan, but had no political connections and was obviously a nutter.

(24) We have also spoken over the phone.

(25) An attempt was made to implicate Jordan; the disgusting pimp and paedophile Harry Bidney - Gerry Gable's hero - seduced one of the arsonists, a youth named Dukes, and, as well as convincing him to turn himself in, tried, unsuccessfully to drag in Colin Jordan as well. * In 1977, Bidney was convicted of living off immoral earnings; his employer was also said to have attempted to procure a youth for him. ** Bidney probably met Dukes in a public toilet.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

* *Jewish Chronicle* February 18, 1966, page 13.

** "**DISGUSTING**" *VICE CHIEF IS JAILED*, by Neil Blincow, published in the *London Evening Standard*, (Closing Prices), pages 1 and 40, Friday, August 5, 1977.

(26) When I spoke to Mr Jordan in December 1993 he told me that he had always strongly disapproved of burning synagogues and regarded such acts as "silly". He was also very charitable to the former Mrs Jordan, now deceased, and insisted that she was an ideological anti-Semite as much as an out and out Jew-hater.

(27) Personal correspondence from Colin Jordan dated 21st October 1993.

(28) *City Man "Involved In Colin Jordan Incident"*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), November 11, 1968, page 18.

(29) *City Man Remanded On Assault Charge*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), November 25, 1968, page 17.

(30) *Photographer Punched On Jaw At Jordan Meeting: LEICESTER MAN FOUND GUILTY OF 'NASTY ASSAULT'*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), December 10, 1968, page 11.

(31) Ibid.

(32) Personal correspondence, 21st October 1993.

(33) "*Racist Undertones*" *Protest To Questioners*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), February 10, 1969, page 10.

(34) *HUMANISTS' DISCLAIMER*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), June 17, 1969, page 4.

(35) "*REVOLUTIONARIES*" *LAUNCH ATTACK ON SCHOOLS*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), February 26, 1969, page 1.

(36) *OFFICIAL COMPLAINT OVER "WHO THE HELL" LEAFLET Breach of Law, says Colin Jordan Man*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), March 11, 1969, page 5.

(37) Page 77, *The National Front*, by Martin Walker, published by Fontana, Second Edition, (1978).

(38) *British Movement Man In Scuffle* published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), June 24, 1969, page 3.

(39) Personal communication, 2nd November 1993.

(40) *Swastika stickers obscure Jordan*, published in the *Guardian*, June 26, 1969.

(41) For the record, 12,000 Jews died for Germany in World War I, many received the Iron Cross. They too were victims of this senseless fratricidal

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

slaughter. Many Jews were also actively engaged in the peace movement at this time.

(42) Personal communication, 2nd November 1993.

(43) *Ex-British Movement official in court 10 years after attack*, published in the *Leicester Mercury* (EXTRA), July 4, 1979, page 5.

(44) *Right-wing man sentenced*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, July 13, 1979, page 10.

(45) Ibid.

(46) Ibid.

Part 6: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 4

(1) *LEICESTER MEETING ON RACE RELATIONS ENDS IN UPROAR*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), September 16, 1969, page 5.

(2) Ibid.

(3) Ibid.

(4) *Prosecution Threat Over Leprosy Leaflets*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), Monday, October 20, 1969, page 15.

(5) *LEAFLETS STREWN AT TOWN HALL - NO ACTION LIKELY*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), October 21, 1969, page 19.

(6) *Burglar took Colin Jordan documents*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), November 11, 1969, page 11.

(7) *Boxing News*, June 20, 1975, page 15.

(8) Page 200, *GENERAL ELECTIONS IN SOUTH AFRICA, 1943-1970*, by Kenneth Heard, published by Oxford University Press, London, (1974).

(9) From a brief reading of Kenneth Heard's book - see previous footnote - it appears that the HNP, or at least Jaap Marais, is more anti-English than anti-Jewish.

(10) See page 327, *CAPITALISM AND APARTHEID* South Africa, 1910-1986, by Merle Lipton, published by Wildwood House, Aldershot, (1986).

(11) Page 269, *Facts On File Yearbook*, published by Facts On File, Inc, New York, (1979).

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

(12) The librarian at South African House sent the current writer a copy of Marais' entry in *WHO'S WHO OF SOUTHERN AFRICA*, 1993-4, (page 319). Jacob Albertus Marais, leader of the HNP since 1977, was a National Party MP from 1958-70, a local government administrative officer, a town councillor, a member of the general council of the National Party and an author of two books, but Mr Marais has never been a Cabinet Minister

(13) The words of advocate Martin Louw, quoted in *Top Herstigte resigns over link with NF: ERIC LOUW'S SON DISGUSTED BY 'INSULT TO JEWISH BOYS'*, by Jean Le May, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Express*, March 11, 1979, page 10.

(14) Ibid.

(15) Further down the same page, Hill claims that Noble launched the SANF in 1977!

(16) The (Johannesburg) *Sunday Express*, March 4, 1979, page 7, lists Jack Noble as the SANF's founder.

(17) *Nat Front Noble gets a message from his boss: 'Get out!'*, by Rob Hudson, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Times*, July 23, 1978, page 1.

(18) (Ibid). Noble is said to have run an anti-censorship campaign in 1971. He was employed in a three star hotel in what he described as a "gay bar". One need not take this claim too seriously, if this is a genuine quote it is obviously sour grapes. Anonymous callers had threatened to blow up the hotel unless Noble was sacked. The SANF was said to have built up a membership of nearly four hundred in 4 months. This organisation was apparently quite well funded, it published a magazine or paper called *Hitback* and a number of anti-black and anti-Semitic pamphlets; on another occasion, Noble boasted of sending money to England. Yet its leader was a humble barman. This is strange, to say the least.

(19) *MPs want action over racist Front*, by Craig Tyson, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Express*, January 28, 1979, page 6.

(20) *Jewish youth determined to turn back National Front*, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Express*, February 4, 1979, page 16.

(21) It is a colossal lie that the average white South African hates blacks and other non-whites; he just does not want to be forced to integrate with them. Apartheid was based less on racial bigotry than on long-held social customs and mores. Marxist and Zionist propaganda to the contrary.

(22) *Beware the snooping NF: RACISTS' COMPLAINTS FORCED RESIDENTS TO LEAVE*, by Jean Le May, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Express*, March 4, 1979, pages 6-7.

(23) The SANF's policy was to "refuse to provide one cent for Black 'welfare', for Black health or for Black education..." Hill, questioned on this by the *Sunday Express*, allegedly replied, "I suppose there would be a big rise in the Black infant mortality rate. That's tough - but that's natural selection."

(24) Ibid.

(25) The SANF were also said to have claimed responsibility for the bombing of a Pretoria theatre during a multi-racial performance and for police action against multi-racial night clubs.

(26) Diamond is the co-author (with his co-racialist Arthur Suzman) of *Six Million Did Die*, an ad hominem, wailing-and-gnashing-of-teeth polemic against the now infamous pamphlet by "Richard Harwood", *Did Six Million Really Die?*

(27) *Beware the snooping NF...*, (op cit).

(28) *RIGHT-WING ATTEMPT TO SMASH BNSM FAILS*, published in the *Phoenix*, issue 12, undated, but cNovember 1982, page 3. This is not quite correct, Hill has no criminal record in South Africa, as far as I know. But only because he jumped bail.

(29) My South African source * who also joined the SANF said that Hill was trying to play both ends. When I suggested that Hill was well in with Organised Jewry in South Africa, he replied that Hill liked to think that he was.

* This person, who has requested anonymity, told me he joined the SANF after being asked to infiltrate it and feed back information about what was going on. When I asked him if this had been at the behest of a Jewish organisation he replied that it was more a government one, but would not be more specific.

Part 7: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 5

(1) *Jewish youth determined to turn back National Front*, published in the (Johannesburg) *Sunday Express*, February 4, 1979, page 16. Hill reports the punch-up on pages 64-5.

(2) *Man in fraud prosecution flees to UK: Ex-NF chief in South Africa jumps bail*, by David Beresford, published in the *Guardian*, May 11, 1979, page 30. Also published in another edition as *Man in fraud prosecution flees to Britain*.

(3) Private information.

(4) *An errand boy's ire*, published in the *LETTERS* section, page 23.

(5) One of these press reports was the aforementioned *Guardian* article of May 11, 1979 which stated that: "Mr Ray Hill was due to appear in court on charges in connection with a £2,000 credit card fraud. Two days before the hearing he left the country on a duplicate passport, forfeiting £1,500 bail which had been put up by his wife in cash.

The police in Johannesburg confirmed yesterday that Mr Hill had also faced prosecution in connection with the alleged embezzlement of £20,000 from the funds of the Sons of England..."

The question has yet to be answered as to where Mrs Hill obtained the £1,500 to put up for her husband's bail.

(6) *National Front men in court over cash*, published in the (Johannesburg) *Star*, March 29, 1979, page 4.

(7) Hill was said to have been formerly employed by the St George's Home, Cleveland, Johannesburg. This is only speculation, but it seems not unlikely that Hill was dismissed from his post when his cheque card fraud came to light.

(8) Private information.

(9) *The Other Face of Searchlight*, page 30, (op cit).

(10) Author's telephone conversation with George Lewis, November 1993.

Part 8: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 6

(1) See his off-beat autobiography *OUT OF STEP: Events in the Two Lives of an Anti-Jewish Camel-Doctor*, published by the author, Guildford, Surrey, (1951).

(2) *THE IMPERIAL FASCIST LEAGUE* - a six page (formerly secret) report in PRO file HO 45/24967.

(3) He begins this chapter (page 74) with the words "It's surprising how much difference an absence of eleven years can make." Actually, he was away for less than ten years.

(4) Personal correspondence, 2nd November 1993.

(5) "In mid-1939 a new political party was formed called the British People's Party", page 328, *Fellow Travellers of the Right: BRITISH ENTHUSIASTS FOR NAZI GERMANY 1933-39*, by Richard Griffiths, published by Oxford University Press, (1983).

(6) It is a myth that real Nazis went around attacking Jews; the Nazi persecution of the Jews was almost entirely economic; it was only after the outbreak of World War Two - a war which the Nazis never wanted - that this persecution intensified. A study of the forever wailing-and-gnashing-of-teeth *Jewish Chronicle* for the Nazi era bears this out; National Socialists who committed "individual acts" against Jews were punished by the courts, sometimes severely.

(7) This was reported in *Spearhead* for November 1979, issue 133, pages 18-9, ironically in an article called *Unity affirmed at Gt. Yarmouth A.G.M.* The following June, Tyndall launched the New National Front.

(8) *The Other Face Of Searchlight*, page 30, (op cit).

(9) On page 625 of the *Solicitors' Diary and Directory 1977*, published by Waterlow, London, Anthony Reed-Herbert is listed as P.A.F. Reed-Herbert, B.Sc., in practice with Harding and Barnett of 14 New Street, Leicester LE1. Hill spells Reed-Herbert without the hyphen throughout, (ie Reed Herbert) as does *Searchlight*. Mostly!

(10) *The Other Face Of Searchlight*, page 30, (op cit). I have also heard from a confidential source (a former senior member of the National Front) that Webster, and possibly Tyndall, did not want Hill in the Front. My source told

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

me that Webster was unhappy about something Hill had said about homosexuality.

(11) Private information.

(12) Private information.

(13) *I AM NOT A FASCIST* says author who was tricked by anti-Nazis, published in the *Islington Gazette*, January 17, 1964, page 8.

(14) *Anti-racist jailed for press arson*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, April 24, 1981, page 9.

(15) I am not implying for one moment that Ray Hill was ever a decent white person, indeed, everything we know about him indicates the contrary.

(16) In *The Far Right in Contemporary Britain*, an error-prone essay he contributed to the 1991 book *Neo-Fascism in Europe*, Gable, who has always branded the National Front fascist, refers to the NF's ideology as that of "a decentralised economy and state..." If this is so, then how can the National Front be a fascist party? [For a detailed analysis the reader is referred to *Searchlight on a Searchliar*, Edited by Alexander Baron, published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, (1993)].

(17) One suspects that for Gable at least it is the desire of white men to see their daughters have white babies, something he clearly finds obscene.

(18) Private information.

(19) Private information.

(20) The idea that JT would ever allow anyone to become Führer of his own party, or even to allow a significant challenge for the leadership, is a little difficult to swallow.

(21) Again, if Hill genuinely believed that he would supersede McLaughlin as BM Chairman, he was living in a fool's paradise. McLaughlin's eventual opting out of politics had nothing to do with Hill, and was probably more related to his personal circumstances. For one thing, he married his secretary Sue Hayes. Years later, the current writer was informed that one day he had turned up at the Hancock printing press in Uckfield as a paper company rep.

(22) *Spearhead*, June 1981, No 152, page 7-8, *TWO VIEWS ON UNITY IT'S A NON-STARTER...says RAY HILL*. Hill's article page 7, Tyndall's pages 7-8.

(23) "...John Grand Scrutton, a gullible, none too bright errand boy of Reed Herbert's..." (page 101); "John Grand Scrutton obediently did his master's bidding", (page 102). "Scrutton joined the Front in about 1975 and quickly became friendly with Reed Herbert who used him as an errand boy", (page 107).

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

(24) *Leicester Mercury*, (EXTRA), December 10, 1968, page 11. We have already discussed this article in another context.

(25) See *Searchlight on a Searchliar...* and *The Truth About "Searchlight's" Information Network*, both published by Anglo-Hebrew Publishing, London, (1993).

(26) The interested reader is referred to the following: *Hitler birthday party uncovered*, by Peter Gladstone Smith, published in the *Daily Telegraph*, April 6, 1975, page 3. This was the first *exposé* of Column 88, the first I have found at any rate. *Searchlight* conned the *Daily Telegraph* and fed it a number of stories about this bogus terrorist group. *Court frees man who "spied on Hitlerites"*, by Anne McHardy, published in the *Guardian*, March 25, 1976, page 24; *COLUMN 88 THE STORY OF BRITAIN'S UNDERGROUND NAZIS - A SEARCHLIGHT EXCLUSIVE*, published in *Searchlight*, May 1975, pages 3-6; *EXPOSE*, cover story and in-depth *exposé*, published in *Searchlight*, May 1976, pages 2-4; *MR. R.S. MARSHALL AND THE N.F.*, published in *Searchlight*, May 1976, pages 12-3; *THE ROBERTS STORY part two*, published in *Searchlight*, June 1976, pages 9-11; *THE ROBERTS STORY part 3*, published in *Searchlight*, July/Aug, 1976, pages 6-8. *TWO DISMISSED OVER NEO-NAZI EXERCISE*, published in the *Daily Telegraph*, July 3, 1976, page 1. This last story was the bottom line of the plot to bomb, burn and take over the country: two "Nazis" who had joined the volunteer army.

(27) Roberts' death was reported in the local press: *Students' president dies*, published in the *Staffordshire Newsletter*, June 11, 1982, page 7. (He was 32, not 33 as his obituary claimed). The death of this "hero" and valiant "anti-fascist" appears to have gone unnoticed by the left wing press. Even *Searchlight* failed to report it, probably with good reason.

Part 9: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 7

(1) Personal communication, 2nd November 1993.

(2) Jordan's shoplifting case was reported (imaginatively) in the *Sun* on May 17, 1975, page 3. *PANTIE THIEF JORDAN: I ACCUSE RACISTS*, said that Jordan of Tudor Avenue, Coventry, was fined £30 with £29.42 costs. Mr Jordan

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

claims that he did not intend to steal the goods but merely walked out of the store without paying. This was his first ever conviction for an offence of dishonesty so it is quite likely that Jordan - a man of over fifty - was telling the truth.

(3) Private information.

(4) Private information.

(5) Private information.

(6) Private information.

(7) Private information.

(8) Private information.

(9) Unless Hill - or whoever wrote this passage - is referring to the Six Day War, which is not unlikely! Selected issues of *Gothic Ripples*, including the first issue (on microfilm), are held in the Wiener Library. There are also some in the British Library.

(10) Private information.

(11) Tyndall's undisguised contempt for democracy is likely to ensure that he remains leader of that party until such time as he decides to retire. Then his successor will just as surely be chosen by "royal assent" rather than by vote. Assuming the BNP outlives its founder that is, which is by no means certain.

(12) Private information.

(13) The *Times*, April 28, 1976. page 2.

(14) Private information.

(15) Private information.

(16) Private information.

(17) Private information.

(18) Private information.

(19) Private information.

(20) Private information. This sort of thing actually happens all the time, especially to the extreme left. When it does happens to the left, it's the "fascist" state security services at work. When it happens to "Nazis" on the other hand, it's paranoid ravings about "conspiracies".

(21) Private information.

(22) *Spearhead*, September 1983, issue 179, page 20.

(23) Issue 27, cover story, *PETER MARRINER LABOUR'S FASCIST INFILTRATOR...*

(24) *THE OTHER FACE OF SEARCHLIGHT*, pages 9-10, (op cit).

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

- (25) Private information.
- (26) Private information.
- (27) Private information.
- (28) Private information.
- (29) "Crap" was the word my informant used.
- (30) Private information.
- (31) Private information.
- (32) Private information.
- (33) Private information.
- (34) Private information.
- (35) Private information.
- (36) Private information.
- (37) In the *News of the World* "exposé, Hill is reputed to have said "Hitler's Mein Kampf was my bible".

Part 10: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 8

(1) On page 149, Hill says he blamed the South African affair on a media smear campaign. Tyndall, as everyone on the extreme right, knows all about media smear campaigns, so personal experience may well have clouded his judgment.

(2) *Spearhead*, June 1980, issue 140, page 9.

(3) Actually, Webster is a truly nasty piece of work; I wrote to him once, many years ago, and the reply I received to my inquiry convinced me that the man is a pig. This opinion is widely shared by people on the extreme right.

(4) Welsh, Irish, Scottish, English: a right wing anti-immigration pressure group.

(5) Hill is shown in his usual provocative pose, "making his usual rabble-rousing speech" according to the *Searchlight* article *RALLY FOR UNITY FAILS TO ATTRACT*, issue 75, September 1981, page 7.

(6) Private information.

(7) Private information.

(8) Private information.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

(9) The Zionist lobby in the United States is far more powerful than in Britain and the situation consequently far, far worse. The loyalty of many Zionists - to Israel rather than to the United States - is a matter of public record, as their tireless lobbying on behalf of this quasi-fascist entity clearly proves.

(10) See for example, the *Times*, December 4, 1980, page 19.

(11) *No More Wars Between Brothers*, by Sonia Hochfelder, published in *League Review*, August 12, 1976, issue 10, pages 18-9.

(12) Ibid.

(13) *League Review*, August 1978, issue 21, page 20, *READER RESPONSE*.

Part 11: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 9

(1) See in particular *Notes from the Underground British Fascism 1974-92 Part Two*, issue 24, December 1992, pages 15-21.

(2) I am not suggesting for one moment that this monstrous crime should have attracted anything else, but contrast the reaction to this with, for example, the response of the fanatical Trotskyite newspaper *Socialist Worker* to the October 1993 Shankill Road bomb atrocity which killed ten people, including one of the bombers. In its October 30, 1993 edition, page 5, the story *After ten killed in bomb blast...How do we end this horror?* contained no condemnation of the mass murder, instead it stated:

"THE PRESS claim the killings are about Protestant versus Catholic extremists. They never look at the real causes of the troubles in Northern Ireland - politicians who have whipped up divisions between Catholics and Protestants for centuries."

The nearest it came to condemnation was: "The IRA's bomb was aimed at the UDA's headquarters in an office above the fish shop where people died."

The IRA later apologised for killing innocent people. This will not do."

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

Talk about gall. This is a typical leftist reaction to "politically correct" terrorist murders worldwide.

(3) Page 13, *PUPPETMASTERS: The political use of terrorism in Italy*, by Philip Willan, published Constable, London, (1991).

(4) Willan, *Puppetmasters...*, pages 14-5, (ibid).

(5) The May and June 1984 issues.

(6) Actually by the Hancock family (*Searchlight*, May 1984, page 10), the late Alan Hancock was still alive then, but his son, Tony, has been built up by *Searchlight* into some sort of international Nazi contact man.

(7) Ibid.

(8) O'Hara, *Lobster* 24, December 1992, page 18, (op cit).

(9) Author's telephone conversation with Nick Griffin. Griffin was a leading light in the National Front and later went on to co-found the International Third Position.

(10) *WE EXPOSE THE NEW NASTY NAZIS Hate Squads are on the march again*, by Andrew Drummond, published in the *News of the World*, July 6, 1980, page 1. The story is continued on page 3 as *SIEG HEIL CHANTS AT THE RALLY OF HATE* with a small inset called *The terror chiefs meet*, by Adrian Needlestone.

(11) *The Other Face of Searchlight*, page 31, (op cit).

(12) *RIGHT-WING GROUP DISOWN RALLY "LEADER"*, published in the *Leicester Mercury*, (ROYAL PICTURES), June 30, 1981, page 13.

(13) Ibid.

(14) Private information.

(15) Bar his white skin - which counted in South Africa but doesn't here! Let it not be forgotten also that Hill claims to be disabled.

(16) *Guardian*, May 11, 1979, page 30, (op cit).

(17) Private information.

(18) I am instructed that the Major's surname is actually hyphenated, Hill and co notwithstanding.

(19) Private information.

(20) The current writer managed to obtain a bootleg of this film, from Larry O'Hara. At one point I telephoned *Searchlight* publisher Gable and asked if he could supply a copy, he was very cagey. O'Hara said this was because Gable realised what crap the film was.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

(21) Private information. The brains behind this outfit is supposedly Les Vaughan, as far as any of these pillocks have any brains.

Part 12: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 10

(1) From page 29 of *The Programme of the NSDAP The National Socialist German Workers' Party and its General Conceptions*, by Gottfried Feder, translated by E.T.S. Dugdale, 1980 Edition by B.P. Publications, Shotton, Clwyd.

(2) This doesn't mean they can't be fascists, indeed, Benito Mussolini, the founding father of fascism, appointed several jews to his government, and under his entry in *The Universal Jewish Encyclopedia* he is said to have exerted a restraining influence on Hitler. But whatever else FANE might have been, Nazis? No way!

(3) Even those historians who stubbornly cling to the Final Solution now admit, or claim, that the extermination of the Jews was not integral to Nazi policy. For example, Raul Hilberg claims that it evolved from their other policies. In his rewritten standard work on the *Holocaust* he suggests that the Final Solution "emerged in Nazi minds" * only in 1941 - a drastic revision of the standard line.

* Volume 1, page 8, *THE DESTRUCTION OF THE EUROPEAN JEWS: REVISED AND DEFINITIVE EDITION*, (in three volumes), by Raul Hilberg, published by Holmes & Meier, New York and London, (1985).

(4) I do not refer to Anthony Hancock as a Nazi because if he had lived in National Socialist Germany, Teflon Tony would undoubtedly have ended up as a concentration camp inmate sooner or later, most probably sooner. Anyone who rips off banks, allows his print works to be used for forging passports, and, most unforgivable, prints homosexual literature, must surely be good for a ticket to camp.

(5) Private information.

(6) This version of the conversation has been tidied up a little. Malski tends to drop his "g's" when swearing, for example.

(7) Hill also refers to this as a café but the distinction is not important. However, there can't be that many Jewish diners in Paris, so why not name it? The obvious answer to that question is because no such attack ever took place.

(8) Neither did the *Jewish Chronicle*. I searched the two issues following the date of the alleged incident without success. I also searched every issue of *Searchlight* from May-December 1981 and could find no mention of it, an omission which stinks to high heaven. The July issue reported an incident allegedly involving fascists at Arras University, Paris, (page 11), so it is no excuse for Gable and co to say that they were not aware of what was going on in the French capital at the time, even without their "mole" and man on the spot.

(9) *News of the World*, March 25, 1984, page 5, (op cit).

(10) Ibid.

(11) *Searchlight*, May 1984, page 11.

(12) I had a personal and quite dramatic experience of this. In 1992 I witnessed an attempted robbery at a building society in Catford. When the police came to interview me about it they told me that at least one witness had described the would-be robber as black when he was white, at least he appeared white to me.

(13) He had a finger missing from his right hand.

(14) *News of the World*, March 25, 1984, page 5, (op cit).

(15) Perhaps this is a reference to Gerry Gable!

(16) *THE CROSSBOW RIOT KIDS SET DEADLY MENACE*. EXCLUSIVE by Gerry Brown, Dick Saxty and Robert Strange, published in the *News of the World*, July 12, 1981, page 7.

(17) I am assuming here that Malski was quoted correctly, which is not necessarily the case; like the rest of the tabloid press, the *News of the World* is not averse to putting words in people's mouths, even a windbag like Malski's.

(18) Telephone conversation, November 8, 1993.

(19) I do not wish to suggest for one moment that racially motivated murders should not generate outrage, but I would invite the reader to draw a contrast between such murders and the IRA's campaign. A handful of murders of non-whites in London and the South East over a period of more than a year resulted in mass protests against the British National Party and demands that its headquarters be shut down; by the same token, the British government is now trying to draw the IRA into "peace" talks, and this after one of the bloodiest months on record following the October 1993 Shankill Road massacre and reprisals by "Loyalists".

(20) Someone who knows about guns tells me it is possible to kill a man with this sort of ammunition, certainly with a head shot, but one would not normally expect to.

(21) All the letters were written in French; an English translation of the letter was also sent to the Paris office of the *International Herald Tribune*. There are French newspapers in the British Library Newspaper Library at Colindale, including the *Le Monde* index, but although I "studied" French for six years, I can just about count up to ten in that language and can't even string a sentence together. I asked Lady Birdwood - a fluent French speaker - if she would be so kind as to translate for me. She told me that her written French leaves much to be desired and arranged the translation through a friend. Mr Gable may - and undoubtedly will - read whatever he likes into this.

(22) *News of the World*, March 25, 1984, page 5, (op cit). Actually he says "The French", but Frederiksen was certainly the man in charge. In this article Oumow is spelt Ormouve, but this is not important. Alex Oumow is not to be confused with John Ormowe.

(23) *News of the World*, March 25, 1984, page 5, (ibid).

(24) The full story of this is related in *DILIGENT TEN UNEARTH BRITISH MOVEMENT CELL*, by Tim Hylton, published in the police magazine *Beacon*, April 1981, issue 31, page 2. At this time, Hill was a member of the National Front in Leicester and heavily involved with the Reed-Herbert faction; the claim that he had anything to do with Roberts' conviction is unsupported by any evidence.

The Leicester arms fiasco is also paraded by Hill here - in the *News of the World* - but of course the truth is that without his and *Searchlight's* involvement there would have no "arms deal" at all.

(25) *Lobster*, December 1992, issue 24, pages 17-8, (op cit).

(26) Superintendent Ken Day told the current writer that Special Branch in London would have no way of knowing if something like this had indeed happened. I made further enquiries of the police about Tony Malski, particularly in his home county, Hertfordshire, but my requests for information about his activities were politely declined.

(27) Private information from someone still active in the extreme right.

(28) Tran Long is said to have claimed (page 221) that his bedsit was searched by Special Branch, who failed to find a box of detonators "hidden" under his bed, although it is not claimed that he brought them through customs.

(29) Private information.

Part 13: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 11

(1) In the *Social Crediter*, May 11, 1946, page 4. The analysis, unsigned, but very likely written by Major Douglas himself, takes up only one sixth of an A4 page, but is convincing.

(2) When I was running a legal campaign on behalf of extradition prisoner Lorrain Osman, the mastermind of the Carrian banking rip off. Osman was eventually extradited to Hong Kong to face fraud charges. All the while Hancock was printing for me (and for my Malaysian client) he believed me to be Jewish. And still does. I approached Hancock partly because I was researching the extreme right and wanted to get as much information out of these bods as possible, and partly because some of the material printed was of a "sensitive" nature.

(3) In her classic apologia for Jewish *Holocaust* liars, * Gitta Sereny states that, according to Robin Beauclair, almost a million copies of *Did Six Million Really Die?* had been distributed in 40 countries by 1979. Anthony Hancock once informed the current writer that a "pirate" version of *Did Six Million Really Die* had been published in Polish. He seemed more amused than perturbed at the loss of revenue this would entail.

* *The men who whitewash Hitler*, by Gitta Sereny, published in the *New Statesman*, November 2, 1979, pages 670-3.

(4) This is my personal assessment of Hancock, who do you believe: Hill or me? Although my encounters with Hancock occurred at the start of the 1990s and people do change, Hill's quote does not have the ring of truth, indeed, it sounds like something out of the *Protocols of Zion*. In any case, the battle is not for the streets, but for the mind, and the first step in that battle is to secure free speech. This can be done by exposing the people who now control our print and telecommunications media for what they are - liars - as a prelude to sweeping them away. Hopefully, this book will play a modest part in securing that goal.

(5) In 1991, Hancock was facing charges of forging passports at his premises. When the case came to court however he turned up as the chief prosecution

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

witness! He argued, successfully, that he had been unaware of what was going on. By all accounts this was a purely criminal act totally unrelated to "racial idealism", something which is in any case in short supply at Uckfield. This was reported in the March 1992 issue of *Searchlight* under the title *HANCOCK'S FINEST HOUR?* Gable and co were dismayed at Teflon Tony's performance. In the above article they wrote that at the second trial, "Hancock looked like he was about to come apart at the seams, as Ray Hill's autobiography was quoted to him..." Those words may well prove ironic for Gable and Hill in the not too distant future.

(6) Private information from a leading member of the British Movement, which, claims to the contrary, is far from extinct.

(7) One can hardly call the far right Libertarian, but they certainly believe that people should have access to all shades of opinion, and the idealists among them are not afraid to put their dogma to the test, repeatedly. This does not apply to Hancock, who is no more an idealist than Ray Hill or his manipulators.

(8) Irving has since come out of the closet, as it were, but most people on the extreme right had been aware for many years before his appearance at the 1988 Zündel thought crime trial and his subsequent endorsement of the *Leuchter Report* that he didn't really believe in the *Holocaust*.

(9) Irving's speeches often border on the anti-Semitic, but he has made this important point, that communists exploit Jews for their own purposes.

(10) *JEWS MUST SERVE NAZI POLICY Food Controllers in Rumania*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, June 13, 1941, page 10, reported that the Nazis had appointed dozens of Rumanian/German-speaking Jews as food controllers so that they would be scapegoated for the food shortage. The alternative was the concentration camps.

Part 14: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 12

(1) It is more likely though that this lie was manufactured by Gerry Gable, this Aryan-hating Jewish "anti-fascist" also loathes the charismatic Colonel Qathafi, who is of course seen as a major player in the anti-Semitic international on account of his staunch opposition to Zionist Imperialism. On another note,

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

when commenting on the manuscript of this book, Nick Griffin told the current writer that the boast he heard c1981 was that Vaughan was being backed by Iraqi money. In reality, there is no evidence whatsoever that Column 88 was bank-rolled by any foreign government; the only people who ever took this group seriously were those who were duped by the Searchlight team.

(2) The letter, which is reproduced on page 25, says in part, "The...charge that I 'assaulted one Derek Holland, a party member,' is unfortunately untrue. I say 'unfortunately' because I can think of nothing that would give me greater pleasure than to assault Derek Holland unless it is to assault that repulsive fat glutton whom he calls his friend and who has brought the NF to its present sorry state." [The "glutton" was a reference to Martin Webster].

Part 15: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 13

(1) *NAZI COLIN TOLD: 'MARRIAGE IS OVER'*, by Aubrey Thomas, was the front page story in the *Daily Mirror*, January 7, 1964.

(2) *Mrs Jordan claims that Hitler opposed burning of synagogues*, published in the *Guardian*, January 16, 1968, page 4. This article also appeared in another edition under the title *Jordan denies fire plot*.

(3) *News of the World*, July 12, 1981, page 7, (op cit).

(4) In the June 1983 issue of *Searchlight*, page 3, *MP DEMANDS PROBE INTO MALSKI'S "Army"*, it was reported that Malski had boasted in *The European*, the journal of his National Socialist Action Party [sic], that he would be buying "all types of guns and ammunition" to equip "an effective paramilitary army".

Whatever outbursts military fantasist Malski may have made in his rag, it is most doubtful if he could recruit half a dozen like himself, much less an army. The word "effective" is obviously a spelling mistake, it should be "defective", and the credibility one should attach to such ravings is a little less than zero. The passage of a decade without any such incidents has surely vindicated the view of most informed people who monitor the extreme right, ie that Malski is a thoroughly nasty piece of work and a windbag to boot rather than a "Nazi" terrorist.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

(5) We have already quoted from this conversation - in the film - in relation to page 207 of the book.

Part 16: A Critique Of Ray Hill - 14

(1) Early in 1993 I was informed by a Jewish journalist (a former employee of the *Jewish Chronicle*) that it was generally understood (in Zionist circles?) that Ray Hill had never been a mole, rather that he had agreed to "come over" to the other side as it were, provided that certain debts were taken care of and that he was provided for. The journalist in question also agreed that Hill's book was primarily the work of Andrew Bell, (though obviously with a great deal of input from Gable and his clowns) and was not to be taken literally. In other words, that it was a pack of lies. This is hardly in keeping with the claim in the December 1988 issue of *Searchlight*, (page 6), that "His book, *The Other Face of Terror*, has become a reference work on the secrets of Europe's paramilitary nazis."

(2) I was also featured on page 4 in an article, *Jewish community targeted*.

(3) Black, in "anti-racist" parlance, means anyone who is not white.

(4) Letter to *Briefing*, September 1991, page 25.

(5) See *Black People Banned From Meeting* and *SEARCHLIGHT: The Slide into Racism*, both published in the *NEWS AND VIEWS* column in *Return*, December 1990, No 5, page 5.

(6) So was Torquemada.

(7) *Inside story of the fight with fascism*, by Martin Shipton, published in the *Northern Echo*, September 28, 1989, page 1p. (I am referring here to the CD-ROM version of this article).

(8) An article in *Spearhead*, July 1988, would appear to date Hill's actual move to Mablethorpe as early as 1982.

(9) Telephone conversation with George Lewis, November 1993. Mr Lewis has what to me sounds like a Jewish, but what could equally be a South African accent. We will not concern ourselves here with how Hill tracked Mr Lewis to Mablethorpe, it will suffice to say that this sort of detective work is not too difficult when one's best friend (my mate Gerry) has friends in Special Branch, M.I.5. and similar organisations.

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

(10) Telephone conversation, (ibid).

(11) *County man cleared of indecency*, published in the *Lincolnshire Echo*, March 26, 1988, page 5. An account of the trial was reported in *Spearhead*; from reading the article * it appears that a BNP member was actually in court. Tyndall, who presumably wrote this unsigned piece, says that four boys were involved. This clearly exposes Hill as the lowest of the lowest of the low.

* *WELL, IF IT ISN'T...!:* *An old acquaintance turns up again in Lincolnshire*, published in *Spearhead*, July 1988, issue 223, pages 14 & 20.

(12) Something which is generally not permitted, but I am not *au fait* with the full details of the case.

(13) Mr Lewis couldn't remember their exact ages, but said they would have been about ten and fifteen years old at the time.

(14) I gather that he was once a bona fide Jew as opposed to someone who is simply Jewish by birth, like the *treif* "Jew" Gable.

(15) This claim was repeated in the July 1988 issue of *Spearhead*. Mr Lewis confirmed to me that although he isn't one, his brother does indeed look like a rabbi.

(16) *The Other Face of Searchlight*, page 32, (op cit).

(17) *The Other Face of Searchlight*, page 32, (ibid).

(18) *The Other Face of Searchlight*, page 32, (ibid).

(19) Private information.

(20) *CREATING A COMMUNITY* "It is time we stopped talking and started doing", by Ray Hill, published in *Green Anarchist*, Autumn, '91, No. 28, pages 14-15.

(21) See *A LIE TOO FAR -- SEARCHLIGHT, HEPPLER & THE LEFT*, by Larry O'Hara and Tim Scargill, produced by Mina Enterprises, GA Mail Order, Camberley, (1993). Also *AT WAR WITH THE TRUTH THE TRUE STORY OF SEARCHLIGHT AGENT TIM HEPPLER*, by Larry O'Hara, Produced by Mina Enterprises, London, (1993).

(22) *At War With Society: The Exclusive Story Of A Searchlight Mole Inside Britain's Far Right*, by Gerry Gable, published by Searchlight Magazine, London, (1993).

(23) *RENEGADE RAY COMES A CROPPER*, published in *Gothic Ripples*, Nos. 20 & 21, April 1989, page 20.

Appendix B: The Other Face Of Terror: A Critique Of The Film

(1) *FRONT ATTACK "WELL PLANNED"*, by Jim Arnison, published in the *Morning Star*, December 2, 1975, page 3. Atkinson was later employed by the *Morning Star* but was dismissed by the paper in 1986. He claimed an attempt had been made to recruit him by Bulgarian intelligence!

(2) See for example, *Anti-racist jailed for press arson*, published in the *Jewish Chronicle*, April 24, 1981, page 9. Carpel's conviction was also reported in the June issue of *Searchlight* under the heading *JOURNALIST GAOLED FOR ARSON*, (page 13). Naturally no mention was made of his work for the magazine, nor was the article written in the same tone of righteous indignation which is inevitably exhibited when similar attacks are made on "anti-racist" targets.

(3) Private information.

(4) Author's telephone conversation with Nick Griffin. Griffin - who has a law degree - also gave me some very prosaic explanations for some of the things Fiore and company allegedly got up to in Britain. At one time, Fiore was driving a mini-cab, he and others were also washing dishes in a pizza restaurant (see earlier reference). So much for the Nazi menace.

(5) See for example the chapter *Germany encircled* in Martin Gilbert's 1989 book *Second World War*, and *DO YOU RECOGNIZE MY VOICE?* in David Irving's *Hitler's War*. There can't be many things the official whitewasher of Churchill and Hitler's biggest fan agree on, but this is unquestionably one of them.

Appendix C: The Other Face Of "Searchlight": A List Of Palpable Errors

(1) Issue 188, February 1991, page 20 of Gable's error-prone magazine published a short obituary for Leslie Jacobs, a "former 1962 Committee (62 Group) section leader". Say no more!

LIARS OUGHT TO HAVE GOOD MEMORIES

(2) "*Bogus GPO men tried to grab Nazi papers*" *HISTORIAN TELLS OF TRIO WHO CAME TO TEST PHONE*, published in the *Evening Standard*, (WEST END FINAL CLOSING PRICES), Wednesday, December 18, 1963, page 13.

(3) *Lobster*, issue 12, (undated but cDecember 1986), page 35.

(4) For a normally meticulous historian - the 1967 PQ 17 libel action excepted - Irving has been more than a little sloppy here. On page 3 of the May 30, 1981 *Focal Point* he claims that Roberts was convicted of conspiracy to burn down an Asian restaurant while on page 4 it was said that he helped set fire to a Pakistani restaurant.

(5) See for example *Court frees man who "spied on Hitlerites"*, by Anne McHardy, published in the *Guardian*, March 25, 1976, page 24.

(6) Hill appeared in a 1988 Channel 4 TV *Dispatches* "documentary", *Disciples of Chaos*.

The Other Face Of Hatred

Anti-Semitism has been called the longest hatred; the cruelty and inhumanity of the Nazis and others towards persons of the Jewish faith and race has been extensively documented, as have the countless other sufferings of the Jewish people at the hands of bigots and tyrants over the past two thousand years. There exists though, another type of Jew-Gentile hatred, the unconditional racial hatred of a certain type of Jew for the society which he holds responsible for the historic persecution of his race. This racial hatred has been written out of the history books, you will not read about it alongside the history of the trials of Jews during the Middle Ages on trumped-up charges of ritual murder, the notorious Protocols of Zion fabrication, or the Nazis' Final Solution, (whatever it was). The history of this racial hatred has been made taboo by the powerful, hidden hand of Organised (Zionist) Jewry, who have used the anti-Semite smear with gay abandon to cow historians, journalists and even politicians, into spineless denunciation of any interpretation of history Jewish leaders find distasteful. Under pressure from powerful Zionist organisations, denying, or even questioning the Holocaust has recently been made illegal in Germany.

Like all tyrants, the leaders of Organised Jewry are not content merely to tyrannise by passive means, instead they seek out collaborators amongst their victims and employ them as paid agents: allies, spies, and even as agents provocateurs to provoke criminal acts which will be used to justify their tyranny and to impose further and even more Draconian restrictions on freedom, in particular freedom of speech, as a necessary prerequisite to the total destruction of Western Man's democratic institutions, and, ultimately, of Western Man himself. In this book, the hidden hand has been caught in the act and dragged into the light of day for all to see, all who are not wilfully blind. This is the story of one Jew's hatred for Aryan society, Gerry Gable, and of the agent provocateur he employed, Ray Hill.

Published by InfoText Manuscripts,
93c Venner Road,
Sydenham,
London SE26 5HU.

ISBN 1 871473 67 5

Copyright Alexander Baron, 1994.